

VOTING LIST
Execution of driving disqualifications with a Union-wide effect

TRAN/9/11393 -2023/0055(COD)

Rapporteur: Vitanov Petar

(PR751.805v01-00) - (AM: 752.939v01-00)

Concerned text	AM	Tabled by	Remarks	Rapp	Vote
Article 1 – paragraph 1	57	Metz	RCV requested by EPP	+	RCV
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1	58	Metz	Vote together <i>Metz Demerit points block</i>		
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4	60	Metz			
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 a (new)	61	Metz			
Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)	69	Metz			
Article 4 – paragraph 3 a (new)	75	Metz			
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)	87	Metz			
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c a (new)	90	Metz			

Article 1 – paragraph 1	56	Kountoura	RCV requested by EPP	+	RCV
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 12 a (new)	65	Kountoura	Fall if 57 adopted Vote together <i>Kountoura Demerit points block</i>		
Article 3 – paragraph 1	67	Kountoura			
Article 4 – title	70	Kountoura			
Article 4 – paragraph 1	74	Kountoura			
Article 6 – title	84	Kountoura			
Article 6 – paragraph 1 a (new)	85	Kountoura			
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3	6	Vitanov			
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1	59	Deli	No vote Linguistic		
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6	7	Vitanov		+	
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10	62	Ferber		+	
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 11 – point d a (new)	63	Metz	RCV requested by Greens Vote together <i>Hit and run offence</i>	-	RCV
Recital 10	41	Metz			
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 11 a (new)	64	Ferber	Vote together <i>Driving without a valid licence offence</i>	+	
Recital 10	40	Ferber			

Article 3 – paragraph 1	66	Deli		+	
Article 3 – paragraph 1	68	Bilbao Barandica		+	
Timelines	CA 2	EPP, S&D, RE, Greens, ECR, Left	If adopted, 71, 72, 8, 73, 105, 107, 106, 109, 20, 110, 111, 21, 22, 113, 23, 114, 115, 117, 116, 25, 26, 44, 43, 48, 49, 3, 50 A, 50 B, 51 and 4 fall	+	
Article 4 – paragraph 1	71	Deli	Falls if CA 2 adopted	-	
Article 4 – paragraph 1	72	Ferber	Falls if CA 2 or 71 adopted	+	
Article 4 – paragraph 1	8	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 4 – paragraph 1	73	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 8 adopted	+	
Article 4 – paragraph 3	9	Vitanov		+	
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point b	76	Grapini		+	
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point c	10	Vitanov		+	
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point c	77	Bilbao Barandica		+	
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point f a (new)	78	Dzhambazki		+	
Article 5 – paragraph 3	79	Dzhambazki	Vote together <i>(80 deletion)</i>	-	
Article 5 – paragraph 4	80	Dzhambazki			
Recital 13	46	Dzhambazki			
RESPER	CA 3	EPP, S&D, RE, Greens, ECR, Left	If adopted, 81 A, 81 B, 11, 82, 112, 121, 27, 35, 1, 42 A, 42 B, 43, 5 and 53 fall	+	

Article 5 – paragraph 5 a (new)	81 A	Metz	Falls if CA 3 adopted <i>Part A: Whole text without the words: "including the timely exchangeDRIVING LICENCES]"</i>	+	
Article 5 – paragraph 5 a (new)	81 B	Metz	Falls if CA 3 adopted or 57 or 56 NOT adopted <i>Part B: Those words: "including the timely exchangeDRIVING LICENCES]"</i>	+	
Article 5 – paragraph 5 a (new)	11	Vitanov	Falls if CA 3 or 81 A adopted	+	
Article 5 – paragraph 5 a (new)	82	Bilbao Barandica	Fall if CA 3 adopted Vote together	+	
Recital 25 a (new)	54	Bilbao Barandica			
Demerit points recital	CA 6	S&D, RE, Greens, Left	RCV requested by EPP If adopted, 83 and 45 (2nd part) fall	+	RCV
Article 5 – paragraph 5 b (new)	83	Bilbao Barandica	RCV requested by EPP Falls if CA 6 adopted	+	RCV
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – introductory part	12	Vitanov		+	
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – introductory part	86	Dzhambazki	Falls if 12 adopted	-	
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – point b	13	Vitanov	Vote together <i>(13 deletion)</i> <i>Changing structure of point</i>	+	
Article 6 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 (new)	14	Vitanov			
Article 6 – paragraph 2 a (new)	88	Ferber		+	

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – introductory part	15	Vitanov		+	
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point b	16	Vitanov		+	
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point c	89	Deli		-	
Alignment	CA 1	EPP, S&D, RE, Greens, ECR, Left	If adopted, 91, 17, 93, 36 and 38 fall	+	
Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)	91	Metz	Falls if CA 1 adopted	-	
Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)	17	Vitanov	Falls if CA 1 or 91 adopted	+	
Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)	92	Flego		-	
Article 6 a (new)	93	Ferber	Falls if CA 1 adopted	+	
Article 7 – paragraph 3	18	Vitanov		+	
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point d	94	Bilbao Barandica	RCV requested by Greens Identical Deletion	+	RCV
	95	Metz			
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point g	96	Dzhambazki	<i>Deletion</i>	-	
Derogation speeding	CA 4	S&D, RE	RCV requested by EPP If adopted, 97, 99 and 19 fall	+	RCV
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b	97	Metz	Fall if CA 4 adopted Vote together <i>(97, 98 identical)</i> <i>(97 deletion)</i> <i>Speed derogation</i>	-	
	98	Kountoura			
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)	100	Metz			

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b b (new)	101	Metz			
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b c (new)	102	Metz			
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b d (new)	103	Metz			
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b	99	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 4 or 97 adopted	-	
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b	19	Vitanov	Falls if CA 4, 97 or 99 adopted	+	
Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point c	104	Dzhambazki	<i>Deletion</i>	-	
Article 8 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1	105	Grapini	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 9 – paragraph 1	107	Deli	Fall if CA 2 adopted Identical	-	
	108	Dzhambazki			
Article 9 – paragraph 1	106	Ferber	Falls if CA 2, 8, 73 or 107 adopted	+	
Article 9 – paragraph 1	109	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 107 adopted	+	
Article 9 – paragraph 2	20	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 9 – paragraph 2	110	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 20 adopted	+	
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1	111	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 adopted	-	
Article 9 – paragraph 3 –	21	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 or 111 adopted	+	

subparagraph 1					
Article 10 – paragraph 1	22	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 10 – paragraph 1	112	Ferber	Falls if CA 3 adopted	+	
Article 10 – paragraph 1	113	Metz	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 10 – paragraph 1	114	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part	23	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part	115	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 23 adopted	+	
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part	117	Grapini	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part	116	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 117 adopted	+	
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point a	24	Vitanov		+	
Article 13 – paragraph 1	25	Vitanov	Fall if CA 2 adopted Identical	+	
	118	Bilbao Barandica			
Article 13 – paragraph 2 – point a – point i	119	Ferber		+	
Article 15 – paragraph 2	26	Vitanov	Fall if CA 2 adopted Identical	+	
	120	Bilbao Barandica			
Article 15 – paragraph 3	121	Ferber	Falls if CA 3 adopted	+	
	27	Vitanov		+	

Article 15 – paragraph 3	122	Bilbao Barandica	Fall if CA 3 adopted Identical		
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)	123	Bilbao Barandica		+	
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point c	28	Vitanov		+	
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)	124	Bilbao Barandica		+	
Article 19 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1	29	Vitanov		+	
Article 19 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1	125	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if 29 adopted	+	
Article 19 – paragraph 2	30	Vitanov		+	
Article 19 – paragraph 2	126	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if 30 adopted	+	
Review	CA 5	EPP, S&D, RE, Greens, ECR, Left	If adopted, 31, 127, 128 A, 128 B, 32, 129 and 130 fall	+	
Article 20 – title	31	Vitanov	Falls if CA 5 adopted	+	
Article 20 – title	127	Metz	Falls if 30 or CA 5 adopted	+	
Article 20 – paragraph 1	128 A	Metz	Falls if CA 5 adopted <i>Part A: The whole text without the words “including in particular the demerit point system”</i>	+	
Article 20 – paragraph 1	128 B	Metz	Falls if CA 5 adopted or 57 NOT adopted <i>Part B: Those words “including in particular the demerit point system”</i>	+	

Article 20 – paragraph 1	32	Vitanov	Falls if CA 5 adopted	+	
Article 20 – paragraph 1	129	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 5 or 32 adopted	+	
Article 20 – paragraph 1	130	Grapini	Fall if CA 5 adopted Vote together	+	
Recital 26	55	Grapini			
Article 20 – paragraph 1 a (new)	131	Metz		+	
Article 21 – title	33	Vitanov		+	
Recital 5	34	Metz	Falls if 57 or 56 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 5 a (new)	35	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 3 adopted	+	
Recital 6	36	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 1 adopted	+	
Recital 6	37	Metz	Falls if 57 or 56 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 6	38	Ferber	Falls if CA 1 adopted	+	
Recital 10	39	Grapini		+	
Recital 12	1	Vitanov	Falls if CA 3 adopted	+	
Recital 12	42 A	Metz	Falls if CA 3 or 1 adopted <i>Part A: The whole text without the words: "such as the equivalence...related to offences"</i>	+	
Recital 12	42 B	Metz	Falls if CA 3 adopted or 57 or 56 NOT adopted <i>Part B: Those words: "such as the equivalence...related to offences"</i>	+	
Recital 12	44	Grapini	Falls if CA 2 adopted	+	
Recital 12	43	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2, CA 3 or 44 adopted	+	
Recital 12 a (new)	45 A	Metz	<i>Part A: Whole text without last sentence</i>	+	
Recital 12 a (new)	45 B	Metz	Falls if CA 6 adopted <i>Part B: last sentence</i>	+	

Recital 13	2	Vitanov		+	
Recital 14	47	Metz	Falls if 57 or 56 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 17	48	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 adopted or 110 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 19	49	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 111 adopted	+	
Recital 20	3	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 adopted or 20 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 20	50 A	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 adopted <i>Part A: Whole text without words: "no later than 15 days after the decision"</i>	+	
Recital 20	50 B	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 or 3 adopted or 110 NOT adopted <i>Part B: Those words: "no later than 15 days after the decision"</i>	+	
Recital 21	51	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 2 adopted or 25 NOT adopted	-	
Recital 21	4	Vitanov	Falls if CA 2 or 51 adopted	+	
Recital 24	52	Metz	Falls if 57 or 56 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 25	5	Vitanov	Falls if CA 3 adopted Deemed adopted if 81 A adopted AND CA 3 NOT adopted Deemed adopted if 11 adopted AND CA 3 NOT adopted	+	
Recital 25	53	Bilbao Barandica	Falls if CA 3, 81 A, 11 or 5 adopted	+	
► Final vote – Draft as amended (Roll-call vote)				+	RCV
Vote on mandate – Interinstitutional negotiations (Roll-call vote; Required majority: 25)				+	RCV



2023/0055(COD)

22.11.2023

COMPROMISE AMENDMENTS

1 - 6

Draft opinion

Petar Vitanov

(PE751.805v01-00)

Execution of driving disqualifications with a Union-wide effect

Proposal for a directive

(COM(2023)0128 – C9-0036/2023 – 2023/0055(COD))

Agence Europe

EPP, S&D, RE, Verts, ECR, Left

Compromise amendment replacing Amendments 17, 36, 38, 91, 93

Proposal for a directive

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) However, the implementation of this Directive should not require the harmonisation of national rules concerning the definition of road traffic offences, their legal nature and the applicable sanctions for such offences. In particular, the Union-wide effect of driving disqualifications should be pursued regardless of the qualification of the national measures in the Member State of offence as administrative or criminal.

Amendment

(6) However, the implementation of this Directive should ***respect the principle of subsidiarity and*** not require the harmonisation of national rules concerning the definition of road traffic offences, their legal nature and the applicable sanctions for such offences. In particular, the Union-wide effect of driving disqualifications should be pursued regardless of the qualification of the national measures in the Member State of offence as administrative or criminal. ***When applying a Union-wide driving disqualification, within the existing legal limits of national rules in that regard, the Member States should aim to align their decisions as much as possible.***

Or. en

Proposal for a directive

Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. The Member State of issuance shall aim to ensure that, within the existing legal limits of national rules, the measures taken pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 with regard to driving disqualifications are aligned to the extent possible with the corresponding measures imposed by the Member State of the offence.

Proposal for a directive
Article 16 - paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By ... [one year after the date of entry into force of this Directive] Member States shall communicate up-to-date information to the Commission on the rules in force concerning the penalties applicable in their legal system for major road-safety-related traffic offences. Member States shall inform the Commission within 3 months of any major change to those penalties by providing an update to the information that they previously transmitted.

By ... [18 months after the date of entry into force], the Commission shall publish an overview of the information received under this paragraph on the Portal for cross-border exchange of information on road-safety-related traffic offences ('CBE Portal') established in accordance with Article 8 of Directive (EU) 2015/413 in all of the official languages of the European Union. In the event of a major change of the system in place in a Member State, the Commission shall update the overview within 3 months of receiving the information.

Or. en

Amendment 2

TIMELINES

EPP, S&D, RE, Verts, ECR, Left

Compromise amendment replacing Amendments 3, 4, 8, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 43, 44, 48, 49, 50, 51, 71, 72, 73, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 120

Proposal for a directive
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The Member State which imposed the driving disqualification (“Member State of the offence”) should notify the Member State that issued the driving licence of the person concerned (“Member State of issuance”) of any driving disqualification imposed for a duration of one month or more on such person, in order to trigger the procedures necessary to ensure the Union-wide effect of the driving disqualification. Such notification should be transmitted by means of a standard certificate, in order to ensure a seamless, reliable and effective exchange of information between the Member States.

Amendment

(12) The Member State which imposed the driving disqualification (“Member State of the offence”) should notify the Member State that issued the driving licence of the person concerned (“Member State of issuance”) of any driving disqualification imposed for a duration of one month or more on such person, in order to trigger the procedures necessary to ensure the Union-wide effect of the driving disqualification. Such notification should be transmitted by means of a standard certificate ***no later than ten working days after the decision imposing the driving disqualification***, in order to ensure a seamless, reliable and effective exchange of information between the Member States.

Or. es

Proposal for a directive
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) The proper implementation of this Directive presupposes close, swift and effective communication between the competent national authorities involved. The competent national authorities of the Member States should therefore consult each other whenever necessary, ***via appropriate means***. Moreover, in specific well-defined cases, both the Member State of issuance and Member State of offence should provide each other with important information in relation to the application of this Directive ***without delay. This should be the case for*** the adoption of measures granting a Union-wide effect to driving disqualifications, decisions taken on grounds of exemption, the completion of the driving disqualifications and for any circumstances affecting the originally

Amendment

(20) The proper implementation of this Directive presupposes close, swift and effective communication between the competent national authorities involved. The competent national authorities of the Member States should therefore consult each other whenever necessary. Moreover, in specific well-defined cases, both the Member State of issuance and Member State of offence should provide each other with important information in relation to the application of this Directive ***no later than ten working days following a decision on*** the adoption of measures granting a Union-wide effect to driving disqualifications ***or a*** decision taken on grounds of exemption, the completion of the driving disqualifications and for any circumstances affecting the originally

imposed driving disqualifications.

imposed driving disqualifications.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive

Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) After being notified of a driving disqualification and granting it Union-wide effects, the Member State of issuance should inform the person concerned ***without delay***, in order to allow the exercise of fundamental rights such as the right to be heard and to challenge the decisions before the competent national courts and tribunals.

Amendment

(21) After being notified of a driving disqualification and granting it Union-wide effects, the Member State of issuance should inform the person concerned ***no later than seven working days following that notification***, in order to allow the exercise of fundamental rights such as the right to be heard and to challenge the decisions before the competent national courts and tribunals.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Member State of the offence shall notify the Member State of issuance ***of any*** driving disqualification ***imposed*** for a duration of one month or more on a person who does not have its normal residence in the Member State of the offence and who holds a driving licence issued by the Member State of issuance.

Amendment

1. The Member State of the offence shall notify the Member State of issuance ***no later than ten working days following any decision imposing a*** driving disqualification for a duration of one month or more on a person who does not have its normal residence in the Member State of the offence and who holds a driving licence issued by the Member State of issuance. ***The Member State of the offence shall also notify the person concerned if he or she does not have his or her normal residence in the Member State of issuance.***

Or. en

Proposal for a directive

Article 8 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Whenever the Member State of issuance intends to apply in a specific case a ground for exemption pursuant to paragraph 1 or 2,

Amendment

Whenever the Member State of issuance intends to apply in a specific case a ground for exemption pursuant to paragraph 1 or 2,

it shall inform *without delay* the Member State of the offence and, where applicable, request any necessary information for the purpose of examining whether a ground for exemption referred to therein applies. The Member State of the offence shall provide the requested information *without delay* and may provide any additional information or comment it deems relevant.

it shall inform *no later than ten working days following a decision to apply an exemption*, the Member State of the offence and, where applicable, request any necessary information for the purpose of examining whether a ground for exemption referred to therein applies. The Member State of the offence shall provide the requested information *no later than ten working days following the request* and may provide any additional information or comment it deems relevant.

Or. ro

Proposal for a directive Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Member State of issuance shall take the measures referred to in Article 6 (1) or adopt the decision that a ground of exemption pursuant to Article 8 applies without delay and, without prejudice to paragraph 3, no later than 15 days after it received the certificate in accordance with Article 5(1).

Amendment

1. The Member State of issuance shall take the measures referred to in Article 6 (1) or adopt the decision that a ground of exemption pursuant to Article 8 applies without delay and, without prejudice to paragraph 3, no later than 15 *working* days after it received the certificate in accordance with Article 5(1).

Or. en

Proposal for a directive Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The national contact point of the Member State of issuance shall communicate *without delay* the measures taken under Article 6(1) or the decision that a ground of exemption applies pursuant to Article 8 to the national contact point of the Member State of the offence through RESPER.

Amendment

2. The national contact point of the Member State of issuance shall communicate *no later than ten working days following the adoption of* the measures taken under Article 6(1) or the decision that a ground of exemption applies pursuant to Article 8 to the national contact point of the Member State of the offence through RESPER.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Where it is not possible, in a specific case, to meet the time-limit set out in paragraph 1, the national contact point of the Member State of issuance shall inform the national contact point of the Member State of the offence ***without delay by any means***, giving the reasons for which it was not possible to meet that time-limit.

Amendment

Where it is not possible, in a specific case, to meet the time-limit set out in paragraph 1, the national contact point of the Member State of issuance shall inform ***via RESPER*** the national contact point of the Member State of the offence ***no later than ten working days following the expiry of that time-limit***, giving the reasons for which it was not possible to meet that time-limit.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Where necessary, Member States shall consult each other, ***via appropriate means and without delay***, to ensure the effective application of this Directive.

Amendment

Where necessary, Member States shall consult each other ***in a timely manner, in order*** to ensure the effective application of this Directive.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive
Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The national contact point of the Member State of issuance shall ***without delay*** inform the national contact point of the Member State the offence:

Amendment

The national contact point of the Member State of issuance shall inform the national contact point of the Member State ***of the offence no later than ten working days following the adoption:***

Or. en

Proposal for a directive
Article 12 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The national contact point of the Member State of the offence shall ***without delay*** inform the national contact point of the

Amendment

The national contact point of the Member State of the offence shall inform the national contact point of the Member State ***of issuance, no later than ten working***

Member State issuance:

days following the adoption:

Or. es

Proposal for a directive Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Following both the reception of the notification under Article 4(1) and the adoption of measures under Article 6(1), respectively, the Member State of issuance shall inform the person concerned ***without delay***, in accordance with procedures under its national law.

Amendment

1. Following both the reception of the notification under Article 4(1) and the adoption of measures under Article 6(1), respectively, the Member State of issuance shall inform the person concerned ***no later than seven working days following the reception of the notification under Article 4(1) or the adoption of measures under Article 6(1)***, in accordance with procedures under its national law.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that their respective national contact points cooperate with the authorities competent for the enforcement of the driving disqualifications imposed for the commission of major road-safety-related traffic offences, in particular in order to ensure that all necessary information is shared in due time, and that the time-limits laid down in ***Article 9*** are complied with.

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that their respective national contact points cooperate with the authorities competent for the enforcement of the driving disqualifications imposed for the commission of major road-safety-related traffic offences, in particular in order to ensure that all necessary information is shared in due time, and that the time-limits laid down in ***this Directive*** are complied with.

Or. en

Amendment 3

RESPER

EPP, S&D, RE, Verts, ECR, Left

Compromise amendment replacing Amendments 1, 5, 11, 27, 35, 42, 43, 53, 54, 81, 82, 112, 121, 122

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. *The national contact points of the Member State of the offence and the Member State of issuance shall also use RESPER for the exchange of the information to be provided in accordance with Articles 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14 and 15. . The Commission shall ensure that RESPER is equipped with the necessary resources to fulfil this task.*

Or. en

Proposal for a directive
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Member States shall inform the Commission of the national contact points designated for the purposes of this Directive. The Commission shall make the information received under this Article available to all Member States *on* its website.

3. Member States shall inform the Commission of the national contact points designated for the purposes of this Directive. The Commission shall make the information received under this Article available to all Member States *via RESPER, and on the CBE Portal once it becomes operational, until then the Commission shall make the information available* on its website.

Or. en

Proposal for a directive
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12) The Member State which imposed the driving disqualification (“Member State of the offence”) should notify the Member State that issued the driving licence of the person concerned (“Member State of issuance”) of any driving disqualification imposed for a duration of one month or more on such person, in order to trigger the procedures necessary to

(12) The Member State which imposed the driving disqualification (“Member State of the offence”) should notify the Member State that issued the driving licence of the person concerned (“Member State of issuance”) of any driving disqualification imposed for a duration of one month or more on such person, in order to trigger the procedures necessary to

ensure the Union-wide effect of the driving disqualification. Such notification should be transmitted by means of a standard certificate, in order to ensure a seamless, reliable and effective exchange of information between the Member States.

ensure the Union-wide effect of the driving disqualification. Such notification should be transmitted by means of a standard certificate, in order to ensure a seamless, reliable and effective exchange of information between the Member States.
The transmission of the certificate and the exchange of other required information between the national contact points of the Member States in respect of the application of this Directive should be carried out through the EU driving licence network ('RESPER').

Or. en

Proposal for a directive

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) In order to ensure the seamless, reliable and effective exchange of information, each Member State should designate a national contact point for the purposes of this Directive. They should further ensure that their respective national contact points cooperate with the relevant authorities involved in the enforcement of the driving disqualifications covered by this Directive, in particular to ensure that all necessary information is shared in due time.

Amendment

(25) In order to ensure the seamless, reliable and effective exchange of information ***via RESPER***, each Member State should designate a national contact point for the purposes of this Directive. They should further ensure that their respective national contact points cooperate with the relevant authorities involved in the enforcement of the driving disqualifications covered by this Directive, in particular to ensure that all necessary information is shared in due time.

Or. en

Amendment 4

DEROGATION - SPEEDING

S&D, RE

Compromise amendment replacing Amendments 19, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103,

Proposal for a directive

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the driving disqualification was imposed only on grounds of speeding and

Amendment

(b) the driving disqualification was imposed only on grounds of speeding and

the speed limits in force in the Member State of the offence were exceeded by less than 50 km/h;

the speed limits in force in the Member State of the offence, ***provided that the speed limit on the road where the speeding took place was clearly demarcated***, were exceeded ***in the case of residential area roads, by less than 30km/h and in the case of non-residential area roads***, by less than 50 km/h;

Or. en

Amendment 5 **REVIEW**

EPP, S&D, RE, Verts, ECR, Left

Compromise amendment replacing Amendments 31, 32, 55, 127, 128, 129, 130

Proposal for a directive

Article 20

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Report on the application

Review

By [entry into force + 5 years], and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of this Directive, including its impact on road safety. The report shall be accompanied, if necessary, by ***proposals for amendments to*** this Directive.

By ... [***five years after the date of entry into force of this Directive***], and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and ***to*** the Council on the implementation of this Directive, including its impact on road safety. ***The report shall include statistics from the Member States on the use of the mechanism laid down by this Directive, as well as bottlenecks and areas for potential improvement.*** The report shall be accompanied, if necessary, by ***a legislative proposal to amend*** this Directive.

Or. en

Amendment 6 **DEMERIT POINTS**

S&D, RE, Verts, Left

Compromise amendment replacing Amendments 83, 2nd part of 45

Proposal for a directive
Recital 26a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26a) Within the scope of its review, the Commission should also include in its report on the implementation of this Directive an assessment of the possibility of creating a similar legal framework for demerit point systems, including the exchange of information on penalty points and transferring or duplicating point additions or subtractions between the Member State of the offence and the Member State of issuance

Or. en