

VOTING LIST

Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive 2009/21/EC on compliance with flag State requirements

Rapporteur: Vera Tax

Concerned text	AM	Tabled by	Remarks	Rapp	Vote
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 3 – point g	31	Van Overtveldt	<i>Deletion</i>	-	
	CA1			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – sub-point -1 (new) Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4 – title	35	Delli	Falls if CA1 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – subpoint -1a (new) Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4 – paragraph –1 (new)	36	Delli	Falls if CA1 adopted		
Recital 11 a (new)	22	Delli	Falls if CA1 adopted Deemed adopted if 36 adopted AND CA1 NOT adopted		
Recital 11	3	Tax	Falls if CA1, 36 or 22 adopted		

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4 – paragraph 1	37	Delli	Falls if CA1 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4 – paragraph 1	38	Daly	Falls if CA1 or 37 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4 – paragraph 1	39	Karleskind	Falls if CA1, 37 or 38 adopted		
Article 4 – paragraph 1	PECH14		Falls if CA1, 37, 38 or 39 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4 – paragraph 1a (new)	40	Karleskind	Falls if CA1 or 38 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4c – paragraph 2	50	Delli	Falls if CA1 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 2009/21/EC – Article 4c – paragraph 2a (new)	52	Delli	Falls if CA1 or 50 adopted		

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6a – paragraph 3	12	Tax	Falls if CA1 or 52 adopted		
Recital 2	PECH3		Falls if CA1 adopted		
Recital 11	21	Virkkunen	Falls if CA1 adopted		
Recital 11	PECH9		Falls if CA1 or 21 adopted		
Recital 21	28	Delli	Falls if CA1 adopted		
Recital 15 a (new)	5	Tax	Falls if CA1 adopted		
	CA2			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new) Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 1 – paragraph 1 – sub-paragraph (b)	34	Karleskind	Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4a – paragraph 3	43	Delli	Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4a – paragraph 3	9	Tax	Falls if CA2 or 43 adopted		
Article 4a	PECH15		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 4a	PECH16		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 4a	PECH17		Falls if CA2 adopted		

Article 4a	PECH18		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 4a	PECH19		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 4a	PECH20		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 4a	PECH21		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point a a (new) Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 3 – point d	29	Karleskind	Falls if CA2 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 3 – point f	30	Karleskind	Falls if CA2 adopted		
Recital 1 a (new)	14	Karleskind	Falls if CA2 adopted		
Recital 1	PECH1		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Recital 1 a	PECH2		Falls if CA2 or 14 adopted		
Recital 2 a	PECH4		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Recital 5	PECH5		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Recital 12 a	PECH10		Falls if CA2 adopted		
Recital 12 b	PECH11		Falls if CA2 adopted		
	CA3			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 3 – point k	33	Virkkunen	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3	42	Delli	Falls if CA3 adopted		

Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4a – paragraph 2 – sub paragraph ca (new)					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4b – paragraph 4	46	Novak, Marinescu, Adamowicz	Falls if CA3 or 42 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4b – paragraph 4	47	Delli	Falls if CA3 or 46 adopted		
Recital 7 a (new)	17	Novak, Marinescu, Adamowicz	Falls if CA3 or 42 adopted Deemed adopted if 46 adopted AND CA3 AND 42 NOT adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4a – paragraph 2 – subparagraph c	41	Virkkunen	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4b – paragraph 1	44	Delli	Fall if CA3 adopted Vote together		
Annex I Directive 2009/16/EC – Annex– Part 2 – Point 43	88	Delli			

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4b – paragraph 2	45	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – point b 2009/21/EC – Article 8 – paragraph –2a (new)	66	Delli	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – point b Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 8 – paragraph 2b	67	Delli	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Recital 3	15	Virkkunen	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Recital 7	16	Oetjen	Falls if CA3 adopted		
Recital 8	PECH7		Falls if CA3 adopted		
	CA4			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part	10	Tax	Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6 – paragraph 1 –	53	Karleskind	Falls if CA4 adopted		

point (aa) (new)					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6 – paragraph 2	11	Tax	Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6 – paragraph 1– sub point e	54	Delli	Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6 – paragraph 1– sub point ea (new)	55	Delli	Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH22		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH23		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH24		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH25		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH26		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH27		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH28		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH29		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH30		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Article 6	PECH31		Falls if CA4 adopted		
Recital 15 a (new)	26	Karleskind, Chabaud	Falls if CA4 adopted		
Recital 16 a (new)	27	Karleskind, Oetjen	Falls if CA4 adopted		

Recital 18	7	Tax	Falls if CA4 adopted		
Recital 18	PECH13		Falls if CA4 or 7 adopted		
	CA5			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6a– paragraph 1	56	Virkkunen	Falls if CA5 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 Directive 2009 21 EC – Article 6a– paragraph 4	57	Monteiro de Aguiar	Falls if CA5 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 6a– paragraph 5	58	Karleskind	Falls if CA5 adopted		
	CA6			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 7 – paragraph 1	60	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA6 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 7 – paragraph 1	61	Delli	Falls if CA6 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 –	62	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA6 adopted <i>Deletion</i>		

point 7 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 7 – paragraph 2					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 Directive 2009 21 EC – Article 7 – paragraph 2	63	Monteiro de Aguiar	Falls if CA6 or 62 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 2009/21/EC – Article 7 – paragraph 3	64	Delli	Falls if CA6 adopted		
Recital 13 a (new)	23	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA6 adopted Deemed adopted if 60 adopted AND CA6 NOT adopted		
Recital 14	24	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA6 adopted Deemed adopted if 62 adopted AND CA6 NOT adopted <i>Deletion</i>		
Recital 14	25	Monteiro de Aguiar	Falls if CA6 or 24 adopted		
Recital 14 a (new)	4	Tax	Falls if CA6 or 24 adopted		
	CA7			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9a – paragraph 1	69	Karleskind, Oetjen	Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC –	70	Monteiro de Aguiar	Falls if CA7 adopted		

Article 9a – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9a – paragraph 2 – point a	71	Delli	Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9a – paragraph 2 – point c	72	Delli	Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9a – paragraph 2 – point ja (new)	73	Delli	Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 9a	PECH32		Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 9a	PECH33		Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 9a	PECH34		Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 9a	PECH35		Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 9a	PECH36		Falls if CA7 adopted		
Article 9a	PECH37		Falls if CA7 adopted		
Recital 17	PECH12		Falls if CA7 adopted		
	CA8			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC –	74	Karleskind	Falls if CA8 adopted		

Article 9b – paragraph 1					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9b – paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)	75	Delli	Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9b – paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)	76	Karleskind	Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 9b	PECH38		Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 9b	PECH39		Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 9b	PECH40		Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 9b	PECH41		Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9b – paragraph 2 (new)	77	Delli	Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9b – paragraph 3 (new)	78	Karleskind, Oetjen	Falls if CA8 or 77 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6 Directive	59	Van Overtveldt	Fall if CA8 adopted Vote together		

2009/21/EC – Article 6a – paragraph 5a (new)					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9b – paragraph 4 (new)	79	Van Overtveldt			
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 12 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 10a – paragraph 1	80	Delli	Falls if CA8 adopted		
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1	81	Delli	Falls if CA8 adopted		
Annex I Directive 2009/21/EC – Annex	82	Van Overtveldt	Fall if CA8 adopted Identical <i>Deletion</i>		
	83	Van Overtveldt			
	84	Van Overtveldt			
	85	Van Overtveldt			
	86	Van Overtveldt			
Annex I Directive 2009/21/EC – Annex – Part 2 – point 15	87	Delli	Falls if CA8 or 82 adopted		
	CA9			+	
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b Directive 2009/21/EC –	32	Cutajar	Falls if CA9 adopted		

Article 3 – point i					
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4c – title	48	Van Overtveldt	Fall if CA9 adopted Vote together		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4c – paragraph 1	49	Van Overtveldt			
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 4c – paragraph 2	51	Van Overtveldt			
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 2009/21/EC – Article 7 – paragraph 3a (new)	65	Delli	Falls if CA9 or 48 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – point a Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3	13	Tax	Falls if CA9, 48 or 65 adopted		
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 9 Directive 2009/21/EC – Article 9	68	Novak, Marinescu, Adamowicz	Falls if CA9 adopted		

Recital 9	20	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA9 adopted Deemed adopted if 48 adopted AND CA9 NOT adopted Falls if 13 adopted AND 48 NOT adopted		
Recital 9	2	Tax	Falls if CA9, 48 or 20 adopted Falls if 20 adopted AND CA4 AND 10 NOT adopted		
Recital 21	8	Tax	Falls if CA9, 48 or 20 adopted		
Recital 7	PECH6		Falls if CA9 adopted		
Recital 8	18	Van Overtveldt	Falls if CA9 adopted <i>Deletion</i>		
Recital 8	1	Tax	Falls if CA9 or 18 adopted		
Recital 9	19	Oetjen	Falls if CA9 or 20 adopted		
Recital 9	PECH8		Falls if CA9, 20 or 19 adopted		
Recital 16	6	Tax	Falls if CA9 adopted		
Final vote – Draft as amended (Roll-call vote)					
Vote on mandate - Interinstitutional negotiations (Roll-call vote; Required majority: 25)					+

FLAG STATE REQUIREMENTS - COMPROMISE AMENDMENTS (28.11.2023)

COMPROMISE 1 :

granting the right to fly a flag - “genuine link”, compliance with international conventions including MLC 2006

Following AMs are covered or fall: 21 (EPP), 22, 28, 35, 36, 37, 50, 52, (Greens), 38 (Left), 39, 40 (Renew), 3, 5, 12 (S&D), PECH14, PECH3, PECH9

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Prior to allowing a ship to operate, which has been granted the right to fly its flag, the Member State concerned shall ensure that the ship in question complies with the applicable international rules and regulations. In particular, it shall verify the safety records of the ship using the inspection reports and certificates contained in database referred to in article 6a. It shall, if necessary, consult with the losing flag State in order to establish whether any outstanding deficiencies or safety issues identified by the latter remain unresolved.

Amendment

1. Prior to allowing a ship to operate, which has been granted the right to fly its flag, the Member State concerned shall ensure that the ship in question complies with the applicable international rules and regulations. In particular, it shall **carry out on-board inspections and** verify **both** the safety records of the ship **and documentation the purpose of which is to ensure that the ship meets international environmental and social standards**, using the inspection reports and certificates contained in **the inspection** database referred to in article 6a. **It shall also verify that the operation of the ship complies with international environmental and labour conventions, that it is in line with Directive 2013/54/EU, and that the crew is trained in accordance with Directive 2022/993 of the European Parliament and of the Council^{1a}.** It shall, if necessary, consult with the losing flag State in order to establish whether any outstanding deficiencies or safety issues identified by the latter remain unresolved.

^{1a} Directive (EU) 2022/993 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2022 on the minimum level of training of seafarers (OJ L 169, 27.6.2022, p. 45).

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 4c – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission, seeking the advice of the high level group on flag State matters referred to in Article 9a(1), shall develop a common capacity building scheme (post-qualification at national level) and keep it updated, considering new technologies and in relation to new or additional obligations arising from the

Amendment

2. The Commission, seeking the advice of the high level group on flag State matters referred to in Article 9a(1), shall develop a common capacity building scheme (post-qualification at national level) and keep it updated, considering new technologies and in relation to new or additional obligations arising from the

relevant international instruments, for Member States flag State surveyors and inspectors.

relevant international instruments, for Member States flag State surveyors and inspectors, and paying ***particular attention to the implementation of Directive 2013/54/EU.***

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3

2009/21/EC

Article 4c – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The Commission, with the assistance of EMSA, shall produce a guidance document offering advice on how to implement Directive 2013/54/EU. EMSA shall also provide detailed information about the problems most frequently detected during port State inspections of ships under each flag, as well as explanations and clarifications concerning the specific documentation and certificates of each flag State.

Proposal for a directive

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) The establishment and development of a database providing essential information, in an electronic format on ships flying the flag of a Member State should contribute to enhanced exchange of information, further improve the transparency of the performance of a high quality fleet and allowing enhanced monitoring of flag State obligations to ensure a level playing field between maritime administrations.

Amendment

(11) The establishment and development of a database providing essential information, in an electronic format on ships flying the flag of a Member State should contribute to enhanced exchange of information, further improve the transparency of the performance of a high quality fleet and allowing enhanced monitoring of flag State obligations to ensure a level playing field between maritime administrations. ***In accordance with Article 91(1) of UNCLOS, there must be a genuine link between a vessel and its***

flag State. In order to proceed with the correct analysis of the reflagging of vessels and the use of open registries, the Commission should facilitate the task of Member States in determining the existence of such a link by developing guidelines. Those guidelines should set out what constitutes a genuine link and its consequences. In drawing up those guidelines, the Commission should take into account the recommendation of the High Level Group on flag State matters and input from stakeholders, including those from the private sector, civil society and academia. Shipowners are obliged to pay social security contributions under the law of the State of the flag that they are flying, unless the employee pays them in accordance with the law of the State in which they reside. Those rules can have undesirable consequences when they are used to avoid high contribution levels.

**Proposal for a directive
Recital 15 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15a) In coordination with the International Labour Organisation (ILO), the Commission and Member States should promote capacity building for third countries on inspection and enforcement by establishing campaigns with social partners to raise awareness of rights and obligations under the Maritime Labour Convention adopted by ILO in 2006 (MLC 2006). Furthermore the Commission should promote the establishment by the ILO of a database of inspection results and complaints, for seafarers and dockworkers, ensuring the accuracy of records of hours of work and rest, to help seafarers and shipowners seek the most reliable and MLC 2006-compliant recruitment and employment services.

**Proposal for a directive
Recital 21**

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) The European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) should provide the necessary support to ensure the implementation of Directive 2009/21/EC.

Amendment

(21) The European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) should provide the necessary support to ensure the implementation of Directive 2009/21/EC. ***The training provided by EMSA to flag State administrations should fully cover the obligations on Member States set out in the MLC 2006. EMSA should facilitate coordination and exchange of information and good practices between flag State administrations.***

COMPROMISE 2 :

“safety on-board / human factor”

Following AMs are covered or fall:

9 (S&D), 43 (Greens), 14, 29, 30, 34 (Renew), PECH15-21, PECH1, PECH2, PECH4, PECH5, PECH10, PECH11

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point -1 (new)

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Present text

1. The purpose of this Directive is:
(a) to ensure that Member States effectively and consistently discharge their obligations as flag States; and
(b) to enhance safety and prevent pollution from ships flying the flag of a Member State.

Amendment

(-1) In Article 1, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

"1. The purpose of this Directive is:
(a) to ensure that Member States effectively and consistently discharge their obligations as flag States; and
(b) to enhance safety, ***including safety onboard***, and to prevent pollution from ships flying the flag of a Member State"

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 4a – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that any deficiencies confirmed or revealed by an inspection carried out in accordance with paragraph 2(c) are rectified.

Amendment

3. Member States shall ensure that any deficiencies confirmed or revealed by an inspection carried out in accordance with paragraph 2(c) are rectified ***and all necessary corrective measures addressing time-critical safety and environmental concerns are taken before the ship proceeds to sea. Inspections shall focus in particular on the safety and environmental performance of all ships flying the flag of a Member State, as well as on the working conditions of the crews, including the accuracy of data on working and resting hours in line with Directive 2013/54/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.***

Proposal for a directive Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) It is important to take into account the on-board working and living conditions of the crew and the training and qualifications of crew members, in line with Directive 2013/54/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹, given that health, safety, security and the human factor are closely interlinked and that it is of utmost importance to prevent any damage caused by human error.

¹ Directive 2013/54/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2013 concerning certain flag State responsibilities for compliance with and enforcement of the Maritime Labour Convention, 2006.

COMPROMISE 3:

“inspection quota”

Following AMs are covered or fall:

42, 44, 47, 88 (Greens), 15, 17, 33, 41, 46, 67, 68, (EPP), 16 (Renew), 45 (ECR), PECH7

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 4b – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. In order to ensure harmonisation of the supplementary flag State inspections referred to in Article 4a(2) point (c), the Commission, after consulting the high level group on flag State matters referred to in Article 9a(1), shall adopt implementing acts to define the uniform measures to determine the minimum requirements for the implementation of the obligations provided for by paragraph 1. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 10(2).'

Amendment

4. In order to ensure harmonisation of the supplementary flag State inspections referred to in Article 4a(2) point (c), the Commission, after consulting the high level group on flag State matters referred to in Article 9a(1), shall adopt implementing acts to define the uniform measures to determine the minimum requirements for the implementation of the obligations provided for by paragraph 1. ***These minimum requirements shall in particular include a yearly minimum inspection quota of at least 30% of ships flying its flag for every Member State, commensurate with the size and type of its fleet and a qualitative element that takes account of the risk profile of the ship being inspected.*** Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 10(2).'

Proposal for a directive

Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) To ensure the effectiveness of this Directive, every EU flag State should carry out its fair share of inspections, commensurate with the size and type of its fleet. A yearly minimum inspection quota of at least 30 % of ships flying its flag for every Member State should therefore be set.

COMPROMISE 4 :

Article 6 “electronic certificate and information exchange”

Following AMs are covered or fall:

7 (S&D), 10, 11 (S&D), 26, 27, 53 (RENEW),
54, 55 (GREENS), PECH22-31, PECH13

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that at least the following information concerning ships flying their flag is kept and is made accessible in an electronic format compatible and interoperable with Union maritime safety databases:

Amendment

1. ***Electronic certificates shall be mandatory for all Members States from [three years from the date of entry into force of this amending Directive], at the latest.*** Member States shall ensure that at least the following information concerning ships flying their flag is kept and is made accessible in an electronic format compatible and interoperable with Union maritime safety databases:

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6 paragraph 1 – point (a a) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) particulars of shipboard working arrangements and records of seafarers' daily hours of work as defined in Directive 1999/63/CE.

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The information shall be communicated to the inspection database provided for in Article 6a. The master shall also be provided with a copy of the report.

Amendment

2. The information shall be communicated to the inspection database provided for in Article 6a, ***since the interoperability of databases contributes to a harmonised approach to the reporting and measuring of performance of the fleet that is under the responsibility of the flag***

State. The master shall also be provided with a copy of the report.

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1– point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) outcome of the port State control inspections (deficiencies: yes or no; detentions: yes or no);

Amendment

(e) outcome of the port State control inspections (deficiencies: yes or no; detentions: yes or no; **and, if there are deficiencies or detentions, further details as appropriate**);

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1– point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) statutory certificates that confirm if any reported deficiencies identified through port State control inspections have been rectified;

Proposal for a directive

Recital 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16a) The Commission should issue a transparency report based on information from the mandatory database, which is accessible to all Member States.

COMPROMISE 5:

Article 6a “Inspection database”

Following AMs are covered or fall: 56, 57 (EPP), 58 (RENEW),

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6a – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States shall have access to all the information recorded in the inspection database referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article and the inspection system provided for in Directive 2009/16/EC. Nothing in this Directive shall prevent the sharing of such information between relevant competent authorities within and between Member States, with the Commission **or** with the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) established by Regulation (EC) No 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁶.

³⁶ Regulation (EC) 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 establishing a European Maritime Safety Agency (OJ L 208 5.8.2002, p. 1).

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 6a – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall ensure that Statutory certificates referred to in Article 6(1) **paragraph b, shall be** transmitted electronically to the inspection database referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article using the functional and technical specifications for a harmonised electronic reporting interface provided for in Article 24a of Directive 2009/16/EC.

Amendment

4. Member States shall have access to all the information recorded in the inspection database referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article and the inspection system provided for in Directive 2009/16/EC. Nothing in this Directive shall prevent the sharing of such information between relevant competent authorities within and between Member States, with the Commission **and** with the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) established by Regulation (EC) No 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council³⁶.

³⁶ Regulation (EC) 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 establishing a European Maritime Safety Agency (OJ L 208 5.8.2002, p. 1).

Amendment

5. Member States shall ensure that **shipboard working arrangements and records of seafarers' daily hours of work or of their daily hours of rest, in line with Directive 2013/54/EU, as well as** Statutory certificates, referred to in Article 6(1), **points (aa) and (b), are** transmitted electronically to the inspection database referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article using the functional and technical specifications for a harmonised electronic reporting interface provided for in Article 24a of Directive 2009/16/EC.

COMPROMISE 6:

Article 7: “IMO audits/ monitoring of compliance and performance” / inspections

Following AMs are covered or fall: 25, 63 (EPP), 61, 64 (Greens), 4 (S&D), 23, 24, 60, 62 (ECR)

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall take the necessary measures to undergo the IMO audit of their administration at least once every **seven** years, and shall publish the outcome of the audit as well as any corrective actions in the Global Integrated Shipping Information System (GISIS) database set up by the IMO. Member States shall also make the same information available to the public, in accordance with relevant national legislation on confidentiality.

Amendment

1. Member States shall take the necessary measures to undergo the IMO audit of their administration at least once every **five** years, and shall publish the outcome of the audit as well as any corrective actions in the Global Integrated Shipping Information System (GISIS) database set up by the IMO. Member States shall also make the same information available to the public, in accordance with relevant national legislation on confidentiality.

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Directive 2009 21 EC

Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that the Commission, **assisted by** EMSA, **is** allowed to participate as an observer in the IMO auditing process and, that any Audit report and the information on subsequent action taken is immediately made available to the Commission.

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that the Commission **and** EMSA **are** allowed to participate as an observer in the IMO auditing process and, that any Audit report and the information on subsequent action taken is immediately made available to the Commission.

Proposal for a directive

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(14) The Commission, ***assisted by*** the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) established by Regulation (EC) No 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council³¹, should be invited and involved as observer by the auditee Member State as flag State for the purpose of ensuring consistency between IMO audit and Commission assessments, executed by EMSA to check the implementation of Union maritime safety legislation via its visit and inspection scheme, on behalf of the Commission.

³¹ Regulation (EC) 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 establishing a European Maritime Safety Agency (OJ L 208 5.8.2002, p. 1).

Proposal for a directive
Recital 14 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The Commission ***and*** the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) established by Regulation (EC) No 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council³¹, should be invited and involved as observer by the auditee Member State as flag State for the purpose of ensuring consistency between IMO audit and Commission assessments, executed by EMSA to check the implementation of Union maritime safety legislation via its visit and inspection scheme, on behalf of the Commission.

³¹ Regulation (EC) 1406/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 establishing a European Maritime Safety Agency (OJ L 208 5.8.2002, p. 1).

Amendment

(14a) The involvement of the Commission and EMSA in the audits should make the auditing process more effective and comprehensive. It should also facilitate the introduction and implementation of simplified procedures, including incentives for compliance with environmental, social, public health and labour law standards and standards ensuring the safety on board ships calling at Union ports of seafarers, as well as possibilities for effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions, taking into account environmental, public health, tax and social law.

COMPROMISE: 7

Article 9a “High level group on flag State matters”

Following AMs are covered or fall:

69 (RENEW), 70 (EPP), 71, 72, 73 (GREENS),
PECH32-37, PECH12

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10
Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9a – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall establish a high level group on flag State matters for discussing flag State issues and facilitate exchanges of experience between the Member States' national authorities, flag State experts and inspectors, **including as appropriate those** from the private sector.

Amendment

The Commission shall establish a high level group on flag State matters for discussing flag State issues and facilitate exchanges of experience between the Member States' national authorities, flag State experts and inspectors, **and all relevant stakeholders** from the private sector, **civil society and academia**.

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9a – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The high level group on flag State matters shall be composed of representatives of the Member States and of the Commission, **assisted by** EMSA.

Amendment

The high level group on flag State matters shall be composed of representatives of the Member States and of the Commission **and** EMSA.

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9a – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) assist the Commission in the development of the technical method for what constitutes appropriate resources, commensurate with the size and type of fleet, referred to in Article 4b;

Amendment

(c) assist the Commission in the development of the technical method for what constitutes appropriate resources, commensurate with the size and type of fleet **and the number of inspections to be undertaken**, referred to in Article 4b;

COMPROMISE: 8

Article 9b “information and data”

Following AMs are covered or fall:

74, 76, 78 (RENEW) , 75, 77, 80, 81, 87 (Greens),
59, 79, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86 (ECR), PECH38-41

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9b – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall establish an electronic reporting tool for the purposes of gathering information and data from the Member States in relation to this Directive. Member States shall periodically, and at least once a year, inform the Commission, about:

Amendment

1. The Commission shall establish an electronic reporting tool for the purposes of gathering information and data from the Member States in relation to this Directive. Member States shall periodically, and at least once a year, inform the Commission, about:

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9b – paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv a) the extent to which the risk profile of ships was taken account of in the surveys, inspections and audits carried out by flag States;

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9b – paragraph 1 – point a – point iv b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv b) working conditions based on records of seafarers' daily hours of work and daily hours of rest in line with Directive 2013/54/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council;

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9b – paragraph 2 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The Commission shall issue an annual report based on the information collected under paragraph 1 of this Article, which shall be published on the official website. After its publication, the Commission shall present the results of that report to the European Parliament and to the Council.

COMPROMISE 9:

“training and reporting”

Following AMs are covered or fall:

1, 2, 6, 8, 13, 32, (S&D), 65 (Greens), 68 (EPP), 20, 48,49,51 (ECR), 19 (Renew), PECH6, PECH8

Proposal for a directive

Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) The fulfilment of a harmonised capacity building scheme, post-qualification, by flag State surveyors and inspectors, should ensure a level playing field between maritime administrations and contribute to the qualitative performance of ships flying the flag of a Member State.

Amendment

(9) The fulfilment of a harmonised capacity building scheme, post-qualification, by flag State surveyors and inspectors, should ensure a level playing field between maritime administrations and contribute to the qualitative performance of ships flying the flag of a Member State. **The training programme developed by the European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) should lead to the adoption of harmonised approaches by all Flag State surveyors and inspectors, including the mandatory use of electronic certificates by all Members States from three years from ... [three years from the date of entry into force of this amending Directive], at the latest.**

Proposal for a directive

Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) **The European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA)** should provide the necessary support to ensure the implementation of Directive 2009/21/EC.

Amendment

(21) EMSA should provide the necessary support to ensure the implementation of Directive 2009/21/EC. **The training provided by EMSA to flag State administrations should be continued, reinforced and extended to cover MLC 2006 in more detail. EMSA should**

facilitate coordination and exchange of information and best practices between flag State administrations.

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – point a

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Each Member State shall ensure that other personnel assisting in the performance of inspections have education, training and supervision commensurate with the tasks they are authorized to perform.

Amendment

Each Member State shall ensure that other personnel assisting in the performance of inspections have education, training and supervision commensurate with the tasks they are authorized to perform. ***Flag States shall revise the guidelines provided to their inspectors, and to those authorised to act on their behalf, so that they cover the systematic verification of the accuracy of records. Training provided by EMSA to flag State administrations shall cover such verification procedures and shall be continuous, reinforced and extended to cover MLC 2006 in more detail. EMSA shall also facilitate the coordination and exchange of information and good practices between flag State administrations.***

Proposal for a directive

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Directive 2009/21/EC

Article 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) Article 9 ***is deleted.***

Amendment

(9) Article 9

Reports and Review

Every five years, and for the first time by [three years from the date of entry into force of this amending Directive] the Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the application of this Directive.

That report shall contain an assessment of the performance of the Member States as flag States and shall be accompanied,

where appropriate, by a legislative proposal for the amendment of this Directive.

Agence Europe