



2025/0571R(APP)

2.2.2026

AMENDMENTS

1 - 292

Draft report

Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares
(PE779.351v01-00)

Interim report on the proposal for the multiannual financial framework for
2028-2034
(2025/0571R(APP))



Amendment 1

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to Articles 311, 312 and 323 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Amendment

– having regard to Articles **285, 287, 311, 312 and 323** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Or. en

Amendment 2

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to Articles **311, 312 and 323** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Amendment

– having regard to Articles **8, 311, 312, 323 and 349** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Or. en

Amendment 3

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– Tendo em conta os artigos 311.º, 312.º e 323.º do Tratado sobre o Funcionamento da União Europeia (TFUE),

Amendment

– Tendo em conta os artigos 311.º, 312.º, 323.º e 349.º do Tratado sobre o Funcionamento da União Europeia (TFUE),

Or. pt

Amendment 4
Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– vu les articles 311, 312 **et 323** du traité sur le fonctionnement de l'Union européenne (traité FUE),

Amendment

– vu les articles 311, 312, **323 et 349** du traité sur le fonctionnement de l'Union européenne (traité FUE),

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– **having regard to Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2509 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 September 2024 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union (recast)[1] (the Financial Regulation), [1] OJ L, 2024/2509, 26.9.2024, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/2509/oj>.**

Or. en

Amendment 6
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– **having regard to the report of 10 April 2024 by Enrico Letta entitled ‘Much**

Amendment 7

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget[1] (the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation) [1] OJ L 433 I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>.*

Amendment 8

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission communication of 11 December 2019 entitled 'The European Green Deal' (COM(2019)0640);*

Amendment 9

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Agreement adopted at the 15th Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (COP 15) in Montreal on 19 December 2022 (Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework);*

Or. en

Amendment 10

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Agreement adopted at the 21st Conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC (COP 21) in Paris on 12 December 2015 (the Paris Agreement);*

Or. en

Amendment 11

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals;*

Or. en

Amendment 12

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 10 September 2025 on the role of cohesion policy investment in resolving the current housing crisis;*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the report of April 2024 by Enrico Letta entitled ‘Much more than a market’ (the Letta report);*

Or. en

Amendment 14

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Tendo em conta o Regulamento (UE) n. ° 228/2013 do Parlamento Europeu e do Conselho, de 13 de março de 2013, que estabelece medidas específicas no domínio da agricultura a favor das regiões ultraperiféricas da União e revoga o Regulamento (CE) n. ° 247/2006 do Conselho,*

Or. pt

Amendment 15
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the report of 17 April 2024 by Enrico Letta entitled ‘Much more than a market – speed, security, solidarity: empowering the Single Market to deliver a sustainable future and prosperity for all EU Citizens’ (the Letta report),*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Having regard to the report of April 2024 by Enrico Letta entitled “Much more than a market - Speed,*

Security, Solidarity - Empowering the Single Market to deliver a sustainable future and prosperity for all EU Citizens (the Letta report)”

Or. en

Amendment 17
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Agreement adopted at the 15th Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (COP 15) in Montreal on 19 December 2022 (Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework),*

Or. en

Amendment 18
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the 2025 United States National Security Strategy, published on 5 December 2025,*

Or. en

Amendment 19
Andrzej Halicki, Jagna Marczułajtis-Walczak

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regards to the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities*

Or. en

Amendment 20

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Tendo em conta a Comunicação da Comissão Europeia de 3 de maio de 2022 sobre uma estratégia renovada para as Regiões Ultraperiféricas, intitulada "Dar prioridade às pessoas, garantir o crescimento sustentável e inclusivo, realizar o potencial das Regiões Ultraperiféricas da União Europeia",*

Or. pt

Amendment 21

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Resolution of the European Committee of the Regions on the proposal for the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) 2028-2034, adopted at the CoR plenary session on 15 October 2025;*

Or. en

Amendment 22
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Agreement adopted at the 21st Conference of the Parties to the UNFCCC (COP 21) in Paris on 12 December 2015 (the Paris Agreement),*

Or. en

Amendment 23
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission communication of 12 November 2025 entitled "A Culture Compass for Europe" (COM(2025)0785),*

Or. en

Amendment 24
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Opinion of the European Committee of the Regions on the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) post 2027, including own resources package of 4 March 2026^{1a}*

Or. en

Amendment 25

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Tendo em conta a Resolução do Parlamento Europeu, de 13 de junho de 2023, sobre a avaliação da nova comunicação da Comissão sobre as Regiões Ultraperiféricas,*

Or. pt

Amendment 26

Rasmus Nordqvist

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals,*

Or. en

Amendment 27

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Tendo em conta as conclusões do estudo de avaliação de 2024 da Comissão*

Europeia sobre os regimes de apoio da UE à agricultura nas Regiões Ultraperiféricas (POSEI), que confirmam a eficácia e a relevância deste programa para a segurança alimentar, coesão territorial, social e económica, e para a manutenção da atividade agrícola em contextos insulares e ultraperiféricos particularmente vulneráveis,

Or. pt

Amendment 28

Joachim Streit, Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas, pursuant to Article 311 TFEU, the Union **must** provide itself with the necessary means to attain its objectives **and carry through its policies;**

Amendment

A. whereas, pursuant to Article 311 TFEU, the Union **shall** provide itself with the necessary means to attain its objectives, **within the limits of the Treaties and respecting the principle of budgetary sovereignty of the Member States;**

Or. en

Amendment 29

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. in der Erwägung, dass sich die Union gemäß Artikel 311 AEUV mit den erforderlichen Mitteln ausstatten muss, **um ihre Ziele erreichen und ihre Politik durchführen zu können;**

Amendment

A. in der Erwägung, dass sich die Union gemäß Artikel 311 AEUV mit den erforderlichen Mitteln ausstatten muss, **unter uneingeschränkter Achtung der Haushaltsautonomie der Mitgliedstaaten sowie der Grundsätze der Subsidiarität und Verhältnismäßigkeit;**

Or. de

Amendment 30

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. Considerando que, nos termos do artigo 311.º do TFUE, a União deve dotar-se dos meios necessários para atingir os seus objetivos e realizar com êxito as suas políticas;

Amendment

A. Considerando que, nos termos do artigo 311.º *e do artigo 349.º* do TFUE, a União deve dotar-se dos meios necessários para atingir os seus objetivos e realizar com êxito as suas políticas;

Or. pt

Amendment 31

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A A. Considerando que a Política Agrícola Comum é uma política fundacional do projeto europeu e que a produção alimentar e agrícola desempenha um papel estratégico no novo contexto geopolítico, central para a segurança, defesa e soberania europeias; que a capacidade de garantir o abastecimento alimentar interno, reduzir a dependência de importações de produtos sensíveis e assegurar a continuidade das cadeias de valor agroalimentares é fundamental para a resiliência da União Europeia face a crises externas, conflitos armados ou tarifários, instabilidade nos mercados globais e fenómenos climáticos extremos; e que a agricultura europeia deve ser reconhecida não apenas como setor económico, mas também como pilar geopolítico, instrumento de estabilidade social e territorial e componente crítica das políticas de segurança e defesa da

União;

Or. pt

Amendment 32
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. having regard to all relevant reports of the European Court of Auditors related to Multiannual Financial Framework,

Or. en

Amendment 33
Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A B. Considerando que, nos termos do artigo 349.º do Tratado sobre o Funcionamento da União Europeia, a União tem de conceber e implementar medidas específicas para apoiar as Regiões Ultraperiféricas e que estas medidas devem estar plasmadas no próximo QFP através de dotações específicas e adequadas para estas regiões;

Or. pt

Amendment 34
Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A C. Considerando o Relatório Especial 03/2026 do Tribunal de Contas Europeu sobre as medidas específicas de apoio à agricultura nas Regiões Ultraperiféricas da UE, publicado em janeiro de 2026, onde é referido que o apoio da UE nestas regiões “contribuiu para manter a competitividade de alguns setores tradicionais e apoiou a diversificação da agricultura”, que, todavia, desde 2010, o orçamento global do POSEI manteve-se inalterado, sendo que “os fundos nacionais que complementam a contribuição da UE são significativos, representando 121% da ajuda do POSEI no caso de França, 55% no de Espanha e 29% no de Portugal”, o que é manifestamente insustentável já que esta é uma responsabilidade da União Europeia que tem sido assumida pelos Estados-Membros, que “o futuro a longo prazo destes setores está ameaçado pelas questões ambientais, climáticas e demográficas que pesam sobre as Regiões Ultraperiféricas”; que, por outro lado, “em 2023, os produtores das Canárias venderam a sua banana, em média, a 0,44 euros/kg, ao passo que os seus custos ascendiam a 0,71 euros/kg, ou seja, as vendas cobriram apenas 62% dos custos de produção”, o mesmo acontecendo em outros setores, como o setor dos laticínios nos Açores, sendo por isso o “POSEI uma importante fonte de rendimento para manter as atividades agrícolas nestas regiões e, para alguns agricultores, equivale a uma grande parte do seu volume de negócios gerado pelas atividades agrícolas”;

Or. pt

Amendment 35

PE784.180v01-00

16/181

AM\1336434XM.docx

Motion for a resolution

Recital A d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A D. Considerando que a Política Comum das Pescas é uma política fundacional do projeto europeu e que a União Europeia depende de cerca de 70 % de importações de produtos do mar para satisfazer a sua procura interna; que a capacidade de garantir o abastecimento sustentável de produtos do mar, reduzir a dependência externa e assegurar a continuidade das cadeias de valor do setor pesqueiro é fundamental para a resiliência da União Europeia face a crises externas, conflitos armados ou tarifários, instabilidade nos mercados globais e fenómenos climáticos extremos; e que as pescas europeias devem ser reconhecidas não apenas como setor económico, mas também como pilar geopolítico, instrumento de estabilidade social e territorial e componente crítica das políticas de segurança e defesa da União Europeia;

Or. pt

Amendment 36

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. considérant ***qu'il est vite*** apparu que le CFP ne permettait pas de réagir à ***une série*** de crises et de nouveaux défis politiques ***qui n'étaient pas prévus au moment de l'adoption; qu'aux fins de l'obtention des financements nécessaires, le CFP a été poussé à ses limites, notamment par un*** recours sans précédent

B. considérant ***que, s'il est*** apparu que le CFP ne permettait pas de réagir de ***manière adéquate à certaines*** crises et à de nouveaux défis politiques ***non anticipés lors de son adoption, le*** recours ***accru et*** sans précédent aux dispositions en matière de flexibilité et aux instruments spéciaux ***a mis en évidence de graves lacunes en***

aux dispositions en matière de flexibilité et aux instruments spéciaux, *après épuisement* des marges *disponibles*;

matière de conception, de transparence et d'évaluation; considérant quela Cour des comptes européenne a souligné dans son rapport spécial n° 18/2025, que la Commission n'a procédé à aucune évaluation ex ante ni rétrospective du fonctionnement des marges, de l'instrument de flexibilité ni de la réserve d'aide d'urgence, ce qui ne permet ni d'apprécier l'efficacité réelle de ces outils ni d'en tirer des enseignements pour l'avenir; considérant que cette absence d'évaluation n'est pas conforme aux principes d'une gestion des risques efficace ni à une élaboration des politiques fondée sur des données probantes; considérant que le recours systématique à la flexibilité ne saurait être considéré comme une réponse structurelle adéquate aux insuffisances du CFP, mais révèle au contraire la nécessité d'un CFP mieux conçu, plus prévisible et fondé sur des priorités clairement établies;

Or. fr

Amendment 37

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. considerando che l'attuale QFP si è presto dimostrato insufficiente ad affrontare una serie di crisi e di nuove sfide politiche che non erano state previste al momento della sua adozione; che, al fine di garantire i finanziamenti necessari, il QFP ha raggiunto i propri limiti, in particolare a seguito di un ricorso senza precedenti alle disposizioni in materia di flessibilità e agli strumenti speciali, dopo aver esaurito i

Amendment

B. considerando che l'attuale QFP si è presto dimostrato insufficiente ad affrontare una serie di crisi e di nuove sfide politiche che non erano state previste al momento della sua adozione; che, al fine di garantire i finanziamenti necessari, il QFP ha raggiunto i propri limiti, in particolare a seguito di un ricorso senza precedenti alle disposizioni in materia di flessibilità e agli strumenti speciali, dopo aver esaurito i

margini disponibili;

margini disponibili, *che, unitamente alle politiche ideologiche in tema di transizione verde e di appesantimento burocratico, il QFP è stato del tutto inadeguato nel sostenere la competitività dell'UE e dei suoi Stati membri, determinando una forte regressione della crescita economica, con la contrazione del mercato del lavoro;*

Or. it

Amendment 38

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. Considerando que el actual MFP ha demostrado rápidamente su incapacidad para responder a una serie de crisis y nuevos retos políticos que no se previeron en el momento de su adopción; que, con el fin de garantizar la financiación necesaria, se forzó el MFP hasta el límite, incluido un recurso sin precedentes a las disposiciones de flexibilidad y a los instrumentos especiales, una vez agotados los márgenes disponibles;

Amendment

B. Considerando que el actual MFP ha demostrado rápidamente su incapacidad para responder a una serie de crisis y nuevos retos políticos que no se previeron en el momento de su adopción; que, con el fin de garantizar la financiación necesaria, se forzó el MFP hasta el límite, incluido un recurso sin precedentes a las disposiciones de flexibilidad y a los instrumentos especiales, una vez agotados los márgenes disponibles; *Recuerda que esto provocó que programas europeos como la política de cohesión fueran recurrentemente utilizados para dar respuesta a esas urgencias, provocando así que se dificultara el cumplimiento de sus objetivos a largo plazo;*

Or. es

Amendment 39

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. in der Erwägung, dass sich der aktuelle mehrjährige Finanzrahmen (MFR) schnell als unzulänglich erwiesen hat, was die Reaktion auf verschiedene Krisen und neue politische Herausforderungen anging, die zum Zeitpunkt seiner Annahme nicht absehbar waren; in der Erwägung, dass der MFR im Zusammenhang mit der Sicherstellung der erforderlichen Mittel an seine Grenzen gedrängt wurde, wobei nach Ausschöpfung der verfügbaren Spielräume in noch nie dagewesenem Maße auf die Flexibilitätsbestimmungen und besonderen Instrumente zurückgegriffen wurde;

Amendment

B. in der Erwägung, dass sich der aktuelle mehrjährige Finanzrahmen (MFR) schnell als unzulänglich erwiesen hat, was die Reaktion auf verschiedene Krisen und neue politische Herausforderungen anging, die zum Zeitpunkt seiner Annahme nicht absehbar waren; in der Erwägung, dass der MFR im Zusammenhang mit der Sicherstellung der erforderlichen Mittel an seine Grenzen gedrängt wurde, wobei nach Ausschöpfung der verfügbaren Spielräume in noch nie dagewesenem Maße auf die Flexibilitätsbestimmungen und besonderen Instrumente zurückgegriffen wurde; ***betont jedoch, dass außergewöhnliche Instrumente keine dauerhafte Ausweitung der Haushaltsarchitektur der Union begründen dürfen;***

Or. de

Amendment 40

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the current MFF quickly proved its inadequacy in responding to a series of crises and new political challenges that were not anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, for the purpose of securing the necessary funding, the MFF was pushed to its limits including an unprecedented recourse to the flexibility provisions and special instruments, after exhausting the available margins;

Amendment

B. whereas the current MFF quickly proved its inadequacy in responding to a series of crises and new political challenges that were not anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, for the purpose of securing the necessary funding, the MFF was pushed to its limits including an unprecedented recourse to the flexibility provisions and special instruments, after exhausting the available margins; ***whereas such measures must remain exceptional and cannot replace sound budgetary planning;***

Or. en

Amendment 41
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the **current MFF** quickly proved its inadequacy in responding to a series of crises and new political challenges that were not anticipated at the time of **its** adoption; whereas, for the purpose of securing the necessary funding, the MFF was pushed to its limits including an unprecedented recourse to the flexibility provisions and special instruments, after exhausting the available margins;

Amendment

B. whereas the **European Commission** quickly proved its inadequacy in responding to a series of crises and new political challenges that were not anticipated at the time of **the** adoption **of the current MFF**; whereas, for the purpose of securing the necessary funding **for correcting the failures of the European Commission**, the MFF was pushed to its limits including an unprecedented recourse to the flexibility provisions and special instruments, after exhausting the available margins;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Rasmus Nordqvist

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the current MFF quickly proved its inadequacy in responding to a series of crises and new political challenges that were not anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, for the purpose of securing the necessary funding, the MFF was pushed to its limits including an unprecedented recourse to the flexibility provisions and special instruments, after exhausting the available margins;

Amendment

B. whereas the current MFF quickly proved its inadequacy in responding to a series of crises and new political challenges that were not **and could not have been** anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, for the purpose of securing the necessary funding, the MFF was pushed to its limits including an unprecedented recourse to the flexibility provisions and special instruments, after exhausting the available margins **as well as several amendments on the MFF**;

Or. en

Amendment 43

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. Considerando que o atual QFP demonstrou rapidamente a sua inadequação face a uma série de crises e a novos desafios políticos não previstos no momento da sua adoção; que, para garantir o financiamento necessário, o QFP foi levado aos seus limites, inclusive mediante um recurso sem precedentes a disposições em matéria de flexibilidade e aos instrumentos especiais, depois de esgotadas as margens disponíveis;

Amendment

B. Considerando que o atual QFP demonstrou rapidamente a sua inadequação face a uma série de crises, ***entre as quais a inflacionista***, e a novos desafios políticos não previstos no momento da sua adoção; que, para garantir o financiamento necessário, o QFP foi levado aos seus limites, inclusive mediante um recurso sem precedentes a disposições em matéria de flexibilidade e aos instrumentos especiais, depois de esgotadas as margens disponíveis;

Or. pt

Amendment 44

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B bis. En la línea de dar respuesta a las urgencias sobrevenidas durante el anterior periodo, destacó la aprobación de los fondos europeos de recuperación Next Generation para dar respuesta a las consecuencias económicas del Covid a través de deuda mancomunada. El repago de los intereses de dicha deuda condicionó notablemente los presupuestos anuales del anterior Marco Financiero, limitando la capacidad presupuestaria para dar respuesta a las necesidades de los distintos programas de la UE. Recuerda que en el Marco Financiero 2028-2034 comenzará el repago de la deuda Next Generation, con una estimación de 150.000 millones de euros

que condicionan notablemente este Marco Financiero y sus presupuestos anuales, especialmente, el Pilar 1 Modelo Social Europeo y Calidad de Vida.

Or. es

Amendment 45
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. *whereas the MFF mid-term revision agreed in 2024 proved to be imperative due to the lack of resources that continue to undermine the current MFF to this day;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 46
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. *considérant que la révision à mi-parcours du CFP convenue en 2024 s'est avérée indispensable en raison du manque de ressources qui continue de fragiliser le CFP actuel à ce jour;*

C. *considérant que la révision à mi-parcours du CFP, convenue en 2024, s'est révélée nécessaire non pas comme un ajustement exceptionnel, mais comme la conséquence directe d'une conception initiale insuffisante du CFP, caractérisée par une sous-estimation manifeste des besoins, une utilisation intensive et prématurée des marges et des instruments spéciaux, ainsi que par l'absence de mécanismes adéquats permettant de faire face durablement à des crises majeures et par l'absence de rigueur budgétaire;*

Or. fr

Amendment 47

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccioi, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. considerando che la revisione intermedia del QFP concordata nel 2024 si è dimostrata indispensabile a causa della mancanza di risorse che continua a compromettere l'attuale QFP fino ad oggi;

Amendment

C. considerando che la revisione intermedia del QFP concordata nel 2024 si è dimostrata indispensabile a causa della mancanza di risorse che continua a compromettere l'attuale QFP fino ad oggi, ***e che, tuttavia, anch'essa non ha consentito di raggiungere gli obiettivi sperati, come evidenzia l'andamento della crescita economica e la crisi di competitività denunciata anche nella relazione Draghi;***

Or. it

Amendment 48

Alexander Jungbluth

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. in der Erwägung, dass sich die 2024 vereinbarte Halbzeitrevision des MFR angesichts des – den aktuellen MFR bis heute beeinträchtigenden – Mangels an Ressourcen als unerlässlich erwiesen hat;

Amendment

C. in der Erwägung, dass sich die 2024 vereinbarte Halbzeitrevision des MFR angesichts des – den aktuellen MFR bis heute beeinträchtigenden – Mangels an Ressourcen als unerlässlich erwiesen hat; ***unterstreicht jedoch, dass Übergangsmaßnahmen nicht zu einer strukturellen Verstetigung außergewöhnlicher Finanzierungsinstrumente führen dürfen;***

Or. de

Amendment 49

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the MFF mid-term revision agreed in 2024 proved to be imperative due to the lack of resources *that continue* to undermine the current MFF to this day;

Amendment

C. whereas the MFF mid-term revision agreed in 2024 proved to be imperative due to the lack of resources *to adequately address emerging priorities and challenges, which continues* to undermine the current MFF to this day;

Or. en

Amendment 50

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the *MFF mid-term* revision *agreed in 2024 proved to be imperative due to the lack of resources that continue to undermine* the current MFF *to this day*;

Amendment

C. whereas the revision *of* the current MFF *took place as planned in 2024*;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C a. whereas these developments occurred in an increasingly unstable global environment marked by geopolitical tensions, economic volatility,

climate-related disasters, and evolving security threats, underscoring the need for an EU budget capable of adapting to both internal and external shocks in a rapidly changing world;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. Considerando que o estabelecimento do novo QFP representará um momento determinante para a União, uma vez que abrirá a possibilidade de aprovar uma visão comum e de definir as futuras prioridades políticas, bem como de dotar a União de capacidades para as executar;

Amendment

D. Considerando que o estabelecimento do novo QFP representará um momento determinante para a União, uma vez que abrirá a possibilidade de aprovar uma visão comum e de definir as futuras prioridades políticas, bem como de dotar a União de capacidades para as executar; *e que a definição dessas novas prioridades não pode ser feita em detrimento das prioridades tradicionais nem das políticas comuns da União Europeia que estão na base do seu projeto político, as quais devem continuar a constituir o eixo central da ação da União e da sua autonomia estratégica, logo da sua segurança e da sua defesa;*

Or. pt

Amendment 53

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. considerando che la definizione del nuovo QFP sarà un momento cruciale per l'Unione, in quanto offrirà la possibilità di adottare una visione comune e di decidere sulle future priorità politiche come pure sulla capacità dell'Unione di realizzarle;

Amendment

D. considerando che la definizione del nuovo QFP sarà un momento cruciale per l'Unione, in quanto offrirà la possibilità di adottare una visione comune e di decidere sulle future priorità politiche come pure sulla capacità dell'Unione di realizzarle; ***considerando, inoltre, che il contesto geopolitico e l'attuale relazione economica tra i paesi del G7 e i paesi BRICS evidenziano la debolezza dell'UE come attore globale, con ricadute evidenti sulla crescita economica e sull'impatto del mercato del lavoro;***

Or. it

Amendment 54

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. in der Erwägung, dass die Festlegung des neuen MFR für die EU ein entscheidender Moment sein wird, da sie die Möglichkeit bietet, eine gemeinsame Vision anzunehmen und die künftigen politischen Prioritäten der EU zu bestimmen, und gleichzeitig dafür sorgt, dass die EU diese auch umsetzen kann;

Amendment

D. in der Erwägung, dass die Festlegung des neuen MFR für die EU ein entscheidender Moment sein wird, da sie die Möglichkeit bietet, eine gemeinsame Vision anzunehmen und die künftigen politischen Prioritäten der EU zu bestimmen, und gleichzeitig dafür sorgt, dass die EU diese auch umsetzen kann; ***betont, dass diese Vision nur auf der Grundlage eines klaren politischen Mandats der Mitgliedstaaten und einer wirksamen parlamentarischen Kontrolle verwirklicht werden darf;***

Or. de

Amendment 55

Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the establishment of the new MFF will be a critical moment for the Union, as it will provide for the possibility to endorse a common vision and decide on the future political *priorities* as well as the ability of the Union to deliver them;

Amendment

D. whereas the establishment of the new MFF will be a critical moment for the Union, as it will provide for the possibility to ***balance the safeguarding of the Union traditional priorities and ensure proper funding of the new political priorities and*** to endorse a common vision and decide on the future political *objectives* as well as the ability of the Union to deliver them;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas the former Commissioner entrusted with negotiating the anti-corruption framework for the rule of law reports is currently under investigation for money laundering and other corruption-related offences; whereas the non-governmental organisations (NGOs) taking part in the Commission's annual rule of law report procedures were directly funded by the Commission and governments outside the EU; whereas the objectivity and impartiality of these reports are highly questionable;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas the Union is founded on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities; whereas breaches of those values undermine the cohesion of the Union, erode the rights of Union citizens and weaken mutual trust among Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas the Commission estimates the additional investment needed to achieve climate neutrality by 2050 at 1.5 % of GDP per year compared to the decade 2011-2020 and that, while the EU budget alone cannot cover the gap, it must remain a vital contributor, using an approach that safeguards economic competitiveness and fiscal responsibility;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. Whereas the next MFF must guarantee that the EU Budget continues

*to be an investment tool
and remains central in responding to our
citizens needs and aspirations, in
accomplishing the European enterprises,
particularly SMEs, expectations and
protects the interests of EU taxpayers;*

Or. en

Amendment 60

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*D bis. Considérant que le nouveau CFP
doit ériger la souveraineté alimentaire en
priorité stratégique dans un contexte
d'instabilité géopolitique croissante;*

Or. fr

Amendment 61

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*D b. whereas the former Vice-President
of the European Commission resigned
from her rectorship at the College of
Europe as a consequence of recent
reports concerning an investigation into
suspected fraud related to EU-funded
training activities for diplomats, including
allegations that may involve procurement
fraud, corruption, conflicts of interest,
and breaches of professional secrecy,
constituting a serious loss of credibility
regarding the application of the rule of
law by the EU institutions, bodies, offices*

and agencies;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D b. whereas the health and economic costs of the triple crisis of climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution are growing and impacting vulnerable groups hardest, making it urgent to invest in climate resilience, and pollution prevention to protect people's health; whereas it is in particular essential to incentivise the Union's and Member States' investments to climate and environment objectives, across all EU programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital D c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D c. whereas the Commission assesses the application of the rule of law by the Member States only, and not by the EU institutions, bodies, offices and agencies themselves; whereas there are plenty of alleged breaches of the rule of law by the EU institutions, not least by the Commission, that require proper scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital D d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D d. whereas the Commission President affirmed in a speech on 17 January 2024 that funds for Hungary had been suspended for political reasons regarding LGBTIQ ideology, academic freedom and asylum policy^{1a}

^{1a} Speech by President von der Leyen at the European Parliament plenary on the conclusions of the European Council meeting of 14-15 December 2023 and preparation of the special European Council meeting of 1 February 2024.

Or. en

Amendment 65
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Recital D e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D e. Whereas the ECA's special report No 03/2024 concluded that the Commission did not systematically assess and document the impact on the EU's financial interests for all member states in which it identified challenges to the rule of law;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Michalis Hadjipantela, Georgios Aftias, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. Whereas, pursuant to Protocol No 10 on Cyprus, the application of the EU acquis is suspended in those areas of the Republic of Cyprus in which the Government of the Republic of Cyprus does not exercise effective control; whereas this exceptional situation has, for decades, prevented lawful owners of agricultural land from accessing, using and enjoying their property and from deriving income therefrom; whereas the right to property is protected under Article 17 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 67

Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas Europe faces a deteriorating security environment, including the return of high-intensity warfare in its neighbourhood and increasing hybrid threats; whereas the Union's response requires not only higher spending but also faster industrial ramp-up, stronger supply chains, secure connectivity, resilience of critical infrastructure, and reinforced civil preparedness and protection;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E bis. Considerando que la Comisión Europea se comprometió posteriormente a modificaciones de su propuesta inicial, tratando así de dar respuesta a las exigencias del Parlamento Europeo en relación a la gobernanza, al papel de las autoridades regionales, al rol del Parlamento Europeo y a la petición de revertir recortes en programas esenciales como la PAC;

Or. es

Amendment 69

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. Whereas gender equality is a core value of the Union enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union; whereas Article 8 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union states that ‘in all its activities, the Union shall aim to eliminate inequalities and to promote equality’ establishing the principle of gender mainstreaming;

Or. en

Amendment 70

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E A. Considerando que o Orçamento da União Europeia tem na sua função redistributiva um dos seus principais princípios e que, por isso, deve garantir que os Estados que ao longo do processo de integração mais têm beneficiado com o mercado único, a moeda única e as políticas comuns contribuam mais para o orçamento;

Or. pt

Amendment 71

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E bis. ribadisce la necessità che l'attuazione dei programmi settoriali avvenga nel pieno rispetto dei principi di attribuzione, sussidiarietà e proporzionalità sanciti dal TUE e TFUE;

Or. it

Amendment 72

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Recital E b (new)

E ter. considerando che l'Unione sta affrontando un'accelerazione delle sfide demografiche, tra cui l'invecchiamento della popolazione, persistenti tassi di natalità e tassi di fertilità (fecondità) inferiori al livello di sostituzione, squilibri territoriali e carenze di manodopera; che tali dinamiche incidono direttamente sulla competitività, sulla coesione economica, sociale e territoriale e sulla sostenibilità dei sistemi di welfare e delle finanze pubbliche; rileva che l'incremento dei flussi migratori non costituisce di per sé una risposta alle sfide demografiche e che può aggravare squilibri territoriali, pressione sui servizi pubblici e fratture sociali, così come fenomeni di segregazione e la formazione di società parallele che compromettano la coesione e la sicurezza delle comunità;

Or. it

Amendment 73
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E ter. Recuerda que las familias son el principal pilar que soporta la carga del gasto social en la Unión, especialmente las que tienen hijos y personas dependientes a su cargo; observa, además, que las familias son también quienes más han soportado las consecuencias de las sucesivas crisis económicas que hemos sufrido en los últimos quince años; subraya, por todas estas razones, que deben ser objeto de especial atención en los aspectos pertinentes del presupuesto de la Unión y de las prioridades del pilar europeo de derechos sociales;

Amendment 74

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E B. Considerando que de acordo com o documento de trabalho «The Redistributive Function of the EU Budget»^{1-A} o Orçamento da UE tem, atualmente, um impacto redistributivo limitado e os abatimentos e outros mecanismos de compensação ao nível das contribuições de alguns Estados-Membros reduzem ainda mais o impacto redistributivo do Orçamento da UE;

Or. pt

Amendment 75

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Recital E c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E C. Considerando que a efetiva convergência no progresso económico e social entre os Estados-Membros exige o aprofundamento da função redistributiva do orçamento plurianual da UE e que este seja significativamente aumentado; o montante correspondente a 2% do Rendimento Nacional Bruto dos Estados-Membros constitui a referência adequada à prossecução daqueles objectivos e à promoção do pleno aproveitamento de recursos e capacidades produtivas e das potencialidades de desenvolvimento de cada país, através do investimento público, do apoio e modernização dos

setores produtivos e estratégicos, do investimento, modernização e melhoria da qualidade dos serviços públicos, da criação de emprego com direitos e da promoção do pleno emprego, da luta pela erradicação da pobreza, contra a exclusão social e as desigualdades, da preservação e recuperação dos ecossistemas, da mitigação e adaptação às alterações climáticas, bem como a construção de relações externas fundadas na solidariedade, na cooperação, no respeito mútuo e na paz;

Or. pt

Amendment 76
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Recital E d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E D. Considerando que, em 2024, 93,3 milhões de pessoas na UE (21.0% da população) estavam em risco de pobreza ou exclusão social; que a erradicação da pobreza exige uma abordagem coordenada e holística, bem como políticas integradas que visem a coesão social e impeçam o agravamento das desigualdades;

Or. pt

Amendment 77
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Recital E e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E E. Considerando que a pobreza infantil é uma das manifestações mais

graves da pobreza; que os indicadores que refletem a percentagem de crianças em risco de pobreza aumentaram de 23,6 % em 2019 para 24,2 % em 2024 sendo que, com base na trajetória atual, a UE não cumprirá o seu objetivo de reduzir o número de crianças em situação de pobreza em, pelo menos, cinco milhões até 2030; que, em 14 de junho de 2021, o Conselho adotou a sua Recomendação relativa a uma Garantia Europeia para a Infância;

Or. pt

Amendment 78
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Recital E f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E F. Considerando que o acesso a uma habitação digna e acessível é um direito fundamental, e de cuja privação resultam condicionantes a um vasto conjunto de outros direitos; que entre 2010 e 2024, as rendas aumentaram 25%, o preço das casas aumentou 53%, e a inflação aumentou 39% na UE; que em 2024 os custos com a habitação representavam, em média, 19% do rendimento disponível mensal na UE, e que este valor cresce para 37% para as pessoas que auferem menos de 60% do rendimento disponível mediano;

Or. pt

Amendment 79
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Recital E g (new)

E G. Considerando que são essenciais serviços públicos acessíveis de elevada qualidade para alcançar níveis elevados de proteção e coesão sociais; que a prestação de serviços públicos de alta qualidade é dificultada por uma série de factores, incluindo problemas persistentes relacionados com a continuidade dos serviços, o aumento da procura e a insuficiência de recursos;

Or. pt

Amendment 80
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Recital E h (new)

E H. Considerando que as regiões ultraperiféricas, devido à sua insularidade, pequena dimensão, topografia e clima difíceis, bem como a sua dependência económica em relação a sectores e produtos específicos, enfrentam grandes limitações ao seu desenvolvimento; que a desigualdade é um dos maiores problemas sociais das regiões ultraperiféricas, enfrentando níveis desproporcionados de pobreza e desemprego e de elevadas taxas de abandono escolar; que o produto interno bruto nas regiões ultraperiféricas é significativamente inferior à média da UE e dos Estados-Membros (60 % da média da UE-27);

Or. pt

Amendment 81
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph -1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-1. Reminds that it is a core democratic right of a Parliament to allocate available resources across political priorities; reiterates that this right is enshrined in the Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

1b) Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be more flexible and to provide to the European Union Member States with the capacity to request increased European

Union funding when necessary, while also the 2028-2034 MFF will provide direct funding opportunities for regions, remote areas, and islands to tackle major challenges they may face such as housing, demographic, migration, energy, and natural disaster related crises. To this end, allocated funding should be clearly presented in advance to Member States and to relevant entities, such entities as municipalities and regional units, to be fully aware of the financial resources available to them.

1c) With regards to demographic crisis, European funding should be allocated proportionately, based on the specific needs of each Member State, regional unit and municipality.

Or. en

Amendment 83
Alexander Jungbluth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. fordert, dass der MFR 2028-2034 – ohne Berücksichtigung der Rückzahlungen im Rahmen von NextGenerationEU (NGEU) – **auf 1,27 % des Bruttonationaleinkommens (BNE) der EU festgelegt wird, damit die Union in der Lage ist, ihre politischen Prioritäten und strategischen Ziele zu verwirklichen und auf neu entstehende Erfordernisse zu reagieren; ist der Ansicht, dass der Schuldendienst im Zusammenhang mit NGEU, der zusätzlichen 0,11 % des BNE entspricht, gesondert von der Finanzierung von EU-Programmen im Rahmen der künftigen MFR-Gestaltung behandelt werden sollte**, um sicherzustellen, dass **die für solche Programme verfügbaren Mittel nicht**

Amendment

1. fordert, dass der MFR 2028-2034 – ohne Berücksichtigung der Rückzahlungen im Rahmen von NextGenerationEU (NGEU) – **unter Wahrung einer strikten Haushaltsdisziplin und ohne automatische Erhöhung der Eigenmittelobergrenzen**, um sicherzustellen, dass **zusätzliche Ausgaben nur dann erfolgen, wenn sie einen klaren europäischen Mehrwert aufweisen und keine dauerhafte Belastung der nationalen Haushalte darstellen;**

verringert werden, sodass sich der Gesamtbetrag auf 1,38 % des BNE der EU belaufen würde; betont, dass es sich hierbei um den absoluten Mindestbetrag handelt, der notwendig ist, damit die Union den bedeutenden Herausforderungen begegnen kann, die sich unter anderem im Zusammenhang mit der Rückkehr groß angelegter Kriege in der unmittelbaren Nachbarschaft der Union, den sehr schwierigen wirtschaftlichen und gesellschaftlichen Rahmenbedingungen, dem Rückstand bei der Wettbewerbsfähigkeit und der sich verschärfenden Klima- und Biodiversitätskrise ergeben;

Or. de

Amendment 84
Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. apeluje o *ustalenie WRF na lata 2028–2034 na poziomie 1,27 % dochodu narodowego brutto (DNB) UE, z wyłączeniem* spłat w ramach NextGenerationEU (NGEU), *aby zapewnić zdolność Unii do realizacji jej priorytetów politycznych i celów strategicznych, a także do reagowania na pojawiające się potrzeby; uważa, że obsługa długu w ramach NGEU, stanowiąca dodatkowe 0,11 % DNB, powinna być traktowana oddzielnie od finansowania programów UE w przyszłej strukturze WRF, aby zagwarantować, że zasoby dostępne na te programy pozostaną niezmienione, co daje łącznie 1,38 % DNB UE; podkreśla, że poziom ten jest absolutnym minimum umożliwiającym Unii sprostanie poważnym wyzwaniom, w tym wznowieniu działań wojennych na dużą skalę w bezpośrednim sąsiedztwie Unii, bardzo*

Amendment

1. apeluje o *włączenie* spłat w ramach NextGenerationEU (NGEU) *do budżetu ogólnego, w celu lepszego nadzoru nad wydatkami i zachowania spójności oraz jednolitości budżetu;*

trudnej sytuacji gospodarczej i społecznej, różnicom w poziomie konkurencyjności oraz pogłębiającemu się kryzysowi klimatycznemu i kryzysowi różnorodności biologicznej;

Or. pl

Amendment 85
Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises; ***recalls that the COVID-19 pandemic had an enormous economic impact on Europe and underlines the need to strengthen global health security through adequate external action funding to prevent and mitigate future cross-border health threats;***

Or. en

Amendment 86

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Solicita que o QFP 2028-2034 seja fixado em 1,27 % do rendimento nacional bruto (RNB) da UE, excluindo os reembolsos do NextGenerationEU (NGEU), a fim de garantir a **capacidade da União para concretizar as suas prioridades políticas e os seus objetivos estratégicos, bem como para responder a necessidades emergentes**; considera que, na futura arquitetura do QFP, o serviço da dívida do NGEU — que representa outros 0,11 % do RNB — deve ser tratado separadamente do financiamento dos programas da UE, a fim de **manter intactos os recursos disponíveis para os referidos programas, elevando o total para 1,38 % do RNB da UE; salienta que este nível é o mínimo absoluto para permitir que a União enfrente os principais desafios, nomeadamente o regresso de guerras em grande escala na vizinhança imediata da União, um contexto económico e social particularmente difícil, um défice de competitividade e o agravamento das crises do clima e da biodiversidade**;

Amendment

1. Solicita que o QFP 2028-2034 seja fixado em 1,27 % do rendimento nacional bruto (RNB) da UE, excluindo os reembolsos do NextGenerationEU (NGEU), a fim de garantir a **natureza compensatória do orçamento da UE, contribuindo designadamente para a promoção da coesão económica, social e territorial**; considera que, na futura arquitetura do QFP, o serviço da dívida do NGEU — que representa outros 0,11 % do RNB — deve ser tratado separadamente do financiamento dos programas da UE, a fim de **impedir a redução dos recursos disponíveis para os programas que se destinam àqueles objetivos, designadamente os destinados à coesão económica, social e territorial**, elevando o total para 1,38 % do RNB da UE;

Or. pt

Amendment 87

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. **dringt erop aan dat** het MFK voor de jaren 2028-2034 **wordt vastgesteld** op

Amendment

1. **is ernstig bezorgd dat het Europees Parlement** het MFK voor de jaren 2028-

1,27 % van het bruto nationaal inkomen (bni) van de EU, exclusief terugbetalingen in het kader van NextGenerationEU (NGEU), **om ervoor te zorgen dat de Unie haar politieke prioriteiten en strategische doelstellingen kan verwezenlijken en kan inspelen op nieuwe behoeften**; is van mening dat de schuldaflossing in het kader van NGEU, die goed is voor nog eens 0,11 % van het bni, binnen de toekomstige MFK-architectuur **afzonderlijk van de financiering voor EU-programma's** moet worden behandeld, **om ervoor te zorgen dat de beschikbare middelen voor deze programma's ongewijzigd blijven, waardoor het totaal op 1,38 % van het bni van de EU komt**; benadrukt dat **dit niveau het absolute minimum is om de Unie in staat te stellen grote uitdagingen aan te pakken, zoals de terugkeer van grootschalige oorlog in de onmiddellijke nabijheid van de Unie**, de zeer uitdagende economische en sociale context, het tekort aan concurrentievermogen en de **verergering van de klimaatcrisis en het biodiversiteitsverlies**;

2034 **mede wil vaststellen** op 1,27 % van het bruto nationaal inkomen (bni) van de EU, exclusief terugbetalingen in het kader van NextGenerationEU (NGEU); is van mening dat de schuldaflossing in het kader van NGEU, die goed is voor nog eens 0,11 % van het bni, binnen de toekomstige MFK-architectuur **met voorrang** moet worden behandeld; benadrukt dat **het gewenste MFK-niveau van 1,27 % van het bni totaal onverantwoord is richting de lidstaten en hun burgers, die momenteel door economische recessie getroffen worden, met name door de zeer uitdagende economische en sociale context, het tekort aan concurrentievermogen en de verslechtering inzake levenskosten in het algemeen, de huizencrisis en de enorme toename van de mobiliteits- en energiekosten**;

Or. nl

Amendment 88

Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to **be set at 1.27 %** of EU gross national income (GNI), **excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments**, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; **considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF**

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to **remain at 1 %** of EU gross national income (GNI), to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives **in an efficient manner**, as well as respond to emerging needs; stresses that this level is the **level** to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging

architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the *absolute minimum* to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises; *considers that a fundamental reprioritisation of MFF expenditure is needed as new, urgent priorities have arisen; stresses that the EU must adapt rather than continuously increase the tax burden on citizens and companies which undermine citizens trust in the EU to respond to changing circumstances; reiterates the need to implement budgetary discipline and improve the functioning of the annual budgetary procedure and cooperation between the institutions on budgetary matters as well as to ensure sound financial management;*

Or. en

Amendment 89

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Elena Nevado del Campo, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Pide que el MFP 2028-2034 se fije en el 1,27 % de la renta nacional bruta (RNB) de la Unión, excluidos los reembolsos de NextGenerationEU, con el fin de garantizar la capacidad de la Unión para alcanzar sus prioridades políticas y objetivos estratégicos, así como para responder a las necesidades emergentes; considera que el servicio de la deuda de NextGenerationEU, que representa un 0,11 % adicional de la RNB, debe tratarse por separado de la financiación de los programas de la Unión dentro de la futura arquitectura del MFP, con el fin de garantizar que los recursos disponibles para estos programas no se vean afectados, lo que eleva el total al 1,38 % de la RNB de la Unión; destaca que este nivel es el mínimo absoluto para que la Unión pueda hacer frente a los principales retos, incluido

Amendment

1. Pide que el MFP 2028-2034 se fije en el 1,27 % de la renta nacional bruta (RNB) de la Unión, excluidos los reembolsos de NextGenerationEU, con el fin de garantizar la capacidad de la Unión para alcanzar sus prioridades políticas y objetivos estratégicos, así como para responder a las necesidades emergentes; considera que el servicio de la deuda de NextGenerationEU, que representa un 0,11 % adicional de la RNB, debe tratarse por separado de la financiación de los programas de la Unión dentro de la futura arquitectura del MFP, con el fin de garantizar que los recursos disponibles para estos programas no se vean afectados, lo que eleva el total al 1,38 % de la RNB de la Unión; destaca que este nivel es el mínimo absoluto para que la Unión pueda hacer frente a los principales retos, incluido

el retorno de guerras a gran escala en la vecindad inmediata de la Unión, la gran complejidad del contexto económico y social, la brecha de competitividad y *el empeoramiento de las crisis climática y de la biodiversidad;*

el retorno de guerras a gran escala en la vecindad inmediata de la Unión, la gran complejidad del contexto económico y social, la brecha de competitividad; *subraya que, junto con estos nuevos desafíos, la UE aún debe abordar los problemas estructurales persistentes, como la falta de convergencia dentro de los Estados miembros y las regiones, las amenazas para la seguridad alimentaria europea y el reto demográfico;*

Or. es

Amendment 90

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. demande que le **CFP 2028-2034** soit **fixé à 1,27 % du revenu national brut (RNB) de l'UE, hors remboursements de NextGenerationEU (NGEU)**, afin de garantir la **capacité de l'Union à réaliser ses priorités politiques et ses objectifs stratégiques, ainsi qu'à répondre aux besoins émergents; estime que le service de la dette de NGEU, représentant 0,11 % supplémentaires du RNB, devrait être traité séparément du financement des programmes de l'UE au sein de la future architecture du CFP, de manière à garantir que les ressources disponibles pour ces programmes ne soient pas affectées, portant le total à 1,38 % du RNB de l'Union; souligne que ce niveau constitue un minimum absolu pour permettre à l'Union de relever des défis majeurs, notamment le retour de la guerre à grande échelle dans le voisinage immédiat de l'Union, un contexte économique et social particulièrement difficile, un déficit de compétitivité et l'aggravation des crises climatiques et de**

Amendment

1. demande que le **cadre financier pluriannuel (CFP) 2028-2034** soit **établi dans le strict respect de la discipline budgétaire y compris au regard des remboursements liés à NextGenerationEU (NGEU)**, afin de garantir la **soutenabilité des finances publiques européennes ; souligne que la couverture durable des coûts liés au service de la dette ne saurait en aucun cas justifier une hausse du budget de l'Union, mais doit reposer prioritairement sur des économies ciblées, notamment par la réduction, la rationalisation ou la suppression d'actions et de domaines de dépenses préjudiciables ou ne relevant pas des compétences de l'Union, conformément au principe de subsidiarité, afin de dégager des marges budgétaires suffisantes pour faire face à ces obligations financières ;**

Amendment 91
Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises; **warns that any lower amount would, given the inflation development and new tasks for the Union budget, equate to a real stagnation or even regression of the operational budget;**

Amendment 92
Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; ***highlights that any repayment related to the Recovery and Resilience Facility should be excluded from the EU budget*** considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Or. en

Amendment 93 **Hélder Sousa Silva**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at 1.27 % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional

0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to 1.38 % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap, *the promotion of territorial, economic and social cohesion of the Union*, and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. chiede che il QFP 2028-2034 sia fissato all'1,27 % del reddito nazionale lordo (RNL) dell'UE, esclusi i rimborsi di NextGenerationEU (NGEU), al fine di garantire la capacità dell'Unione di conseguire le sue priorità politiche e i suoi obiettivi strategici, nonché di rispondere alle esigenze emergenti; ritiene che il servizio del debito di NGEU, che rappresenta un ulteriore 0,11 % dell'RNL, dovrebbe essere trattato separatamente dai finanziamenti per i programmi dell'UE nell'ambito della futura architettura del QFP, in modo da garantire che le risorse disponibili per tali programmi rimangano inalterate, portando il totale all'1,38 % dell'RNL dell'UE; sottolinea che tale

Amendment

1. chiede che il QFP 2028-2034 sia fissato all'1,27 % del reddito nazionale lordo (RNL) dell'UE, esclusi i rimborsi di NextGenerationEU (NGEU), al fine di garantire la capacità dell'Unione di conseguire le sue priorità politiche e i suoi obiettivi strategici, nonché di rispondere alle esigenze emergenti; ritiene che il servizio del debito di NGEU, che rappresenta un ulteriore 0,11 % dell'RNL, dovrebbe essere trattato separatamente dai finanziamenti per i programmi dell'UE nell'ambito della futura architettura del QFP, in modo da garantire che le risorse disponibili per tali programmi rimangano inalterate, portando il totale all'1,38 % dell'RNL dell'UE; sottolinea che tale

livello rappresenta il minimo assoluto per consentire all'Unione di affrontare sfide importanti, quali il ritorno della guerra su larga scala nel vicinato immediato dell'Unione, un contesto economico e sociale estremamente difficile, un divario di competitività *e l'aggravarsi delle crisi climatica e della biodiversità*;

livello rappresenta il minimo assoluto per consentire all'Unione di affrontare sfide importanti, quali il ritorno della guerra su larga scala nel vicinato immediato dell'Unione, un contesto economico e sociale estremamente difficile, un divario di competitività, *le sfide legate alla salute pubblica e alla sicurezza alimentare e un persistente divario di competitività*;

Or. it

Amendment 95

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at **1.27** % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to **1.38** % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Amendment

1. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at **1.39** % of EU gross national income (GNI), excluding NextGenerationEU (NGEU) repayments, to ensure the Union's capacity to achieve its political priorities and strategic objectives, as well as respond to emerging needs; considers that NGEU debt servicing, representing an additional 0.11 % of GNI, should be treated separately from funding for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture so as to ensure that available resources for these programmes remain unaffected, bringing the total to **1.5** % of EU GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to address major challenges, including the return of large-scale warfare in the Union's immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening climate and biodiversity crises;

Or. en

Amendment 96

Nils Ušakovs, Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis, Mārtiņš Staķis, Roberts Zīle, Sandra Kalniete, Ivars Ijabs, Rihards Kols

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Recognizes, that EU Member States and regions bordering Russia and Belarus countries face manifold economic, social and resilience challenges, which are much more pronounced than in the rest of the EU; Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine has negatively affected their economic growth and attractiveness, foreign trade and investment dynamics; The national spending in these countries that otherwise would be used to foster socio-economic convergence had to be redirected to national-level security and resilience measures, such as civil protection and preparedness, defence and preservation of critical infrastructure; These national level critical investments strengthen security and resilience of the whole EU; Therefore, the new MFF should provide targeted support for socio-economic convergence of these countries that was overshadowed by other EU common pressing and immediate priorities and investment needs.

Or. en

Amendment 97

Roberts Zīle, Bogdan Rzońca, Aurelijus Veryga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Recognizes, that EU Member States and regions bordering aggressor countries face manifold economic, social

and resilience challenges, which are much more pronounced than in the rest of the EU. Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine has negatively affected their economic growth and attractiveness, foreign trade and investment dynamics. The national spending in these countries that otherwise would be used to foster socio-economic convergence had to be redirected to national-level security and resilience measures, such as civil protection and preparedness, defence and preservation of critical infrastructure. These national level critical investments strengthen security and resilience of the whole EU. Therefore, the new MFF should provide targeted support for socio-economic convergence of these countries that was overshadowed by other EU common pressing and immediate priorities and investment needs.

Or. en

Amendment 98

Victor Negrescu, Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis, Christophe Clergeau, Estelle Ceulemans, Nicolás González Casares, Romana Jerković, Alessandra Moretti, Tiemo Wölken, Kristian Vigenin, Nikos Papandreou, Raffaele Topo, Marta Temido, Pierre Jovet, Leire Pajín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Calls for the prioritisation of EU dedicated funding for health across all policies, with a minimum allocation of EUR 90 billion in the 2028–2034 Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF);

Or. en

Amendment 99

Victor Negrescu, Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis, Christophe Clergeau, Estelle Ceulemans, Nicolás González Casares, Romana Jerković, Alessandra Moretti, Tiemo Wölken, Kristian Vigenin, Nikos Papandreou, Raffaele Topo, Marta Temido, Pierre Jouvét, Leire Pajín

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 b. Underlines that dedicated allocations for health should be foreseen in all relevant programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Victor Negrescu, Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis, Christophe Clergeau, Estelle Ceulemans, Nicolás González Casares, Romana Jerković, Alessandra Moretti, Tiemo Wölken, Kristian Vigenin, Nikos Papandreou, Raffaele Topo, Marta Temido, Pierre Jouvét, Leire Pajín

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 c. Calls to allocate adequate funding to promote greater interconnection and interoperability between existing national and European healthcare programmes and systems, including through the financing of relevant pilot projects, thus creating effectively functioning pan-European research and innovation ecosystems;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Victor Negrescu, Nela Riehl, Brigitte van den Berg, Dragoş Benea, Vasile Dîncu, Gabriela Firea, Maria Grapini, Hannes Heide, Romana Jerković, Claudiu Manda, Ştefan Muşoiu, Cynthia Ní Mhurchú, Sabrina Repp, Marcos Ros Sempere, Katarína Roth Neved'alová, Lukas Sieper, Cecilia Strada, Bruno Tobback, Marko Vešligaj, Maria Walsh

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 d. Calls for the prioritisation of EU funding for education, skills, and lifelong learning, with a minimum allocation of 20% of the 2028–2034 Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), reflecting the strong economic and social returns of investment in education and training across the Union; recalls that, in the context of Mario Draghi’s report on the future of European competitiveness, investment in skills and human capital is identified as a strategic condition for productivity, resilience and growth, and underlines, on this basis, the call for a fivefold increase of the Erasmus+ programme; underlines that a recent EPRS analysis based on European Commission data shows that education- and skills-related funding in the current MFF amounts to 148 billion EUR across EU programmes (12% of all budget); and calls for clear recommendations to allocate adequate funding for education and skills within the National Reform and Investment Plans and the European Competitiveness Fund, by amending the relevant regulations to explicitly allow support for education- and skills-related investments;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 e. Expresses concern about the lack of empowerments for delegated or implementing acts for the adoption of

annual work programmes, which allows the Commission to decide alone and mobilize non-programmed funds without ex ante scrutiny by Parliament; insists that annual work programmes involving policy choices must be adopted through delegated or implementing acts, thereby preserving Parliament's and the Council's scrutiny rights;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 f. Calls on the Commission to ensure that the 2028–2034 MFF provides dedicated, earmarked funding for youth that is predictable and protected from dilution within broader spending envelopes; insists, in particular, that Member States with a NEET rate above the Union average should be required to dedicate at least 12.5% of the relevant allocations under the National and Regional Partnership Plans to measures supporting young people, in line with the current ESF+ ring-fencing approach for Member States facing higher-than-average NEET rates, including Youth Guarantee-type actions, quality employment pathways, apprenticeships and traineeships, outreach to inactive NEETs, and tailored support for disadvantaged and underrepresented groups;

Or. en

Amendment 104
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 g. Calls on the Commission to provide dedicated and traceable funding for children's policies in the 2028–2034 MFF and to introduce a robust expenditure tracking methodology for child-related investments within the new performance and expenditure-tracking framework; recalls the objectives of the European Child Guarantee and calls for its effective implementation to be supported by adequate, predictable and clearly identifiable funding; urges that NRPPs should include clearly earmarked allocations for measures tackling child poverty and exclusion, improving access to essential services, and ensuring inclusive education and care;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 h. Underlines that Union spending should actively advance equality and inclusion, ensuring that no one is left behind; calls, in particular, for the systematic integration of the rights of persons with disabilities throughout the budget, in line with the EU Strategy for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the Union's obligations under the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities; emphasises that this is essential to guarantee accessibility, equal opportunities and full participation as horizontal principles, and to strengthen

the Union's social cohesion and solidarity; stresses that EU funding should not exclude or disadvantage persons with disabilities and calls for including this provision in the new MFF's performance and expenditure framework;

Or. en

Amendment 106
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. *Sublinha que o próximo QFP deve centrar-se no financiamento de bens públicos europeus de reconhecido valor acrescentado em comparação com as despesas nacionais; rejeita qualquer transição para uma Europa «à la carte», em que a participação diferenciada comprometa a unidade e a coerência das políticas e dos programas da UE; adverte que tal abordagem fragmentaria o mercado único, criaria vantagens concorrenciais desleais e minaria o princípio da solidariedade subjacente à integração europeia;*

Suprimido

Or. pt

Amendment 107
Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Sublinha que o próximo QFP deve centrar-se no financiamento de bens públicos europeus de reconhecido valor

2. Sublinha que o próximo QFP deve centrar-se no financiamento de bens públicos europeus de reconhecido valor

acrescentado em comparação com as despesas nacionais; rejeita qualquer transição para uma Europa «à la carte», em que a participação diferenciada comprometa a unidade e a coerência das políticas e dos programas da UE; adverte que tal abordagem fragmentaria o mercado único, criaria vantagens concorrenciais desleais e minaria o princípio da solidariedade subjacente à integração europeia;

acrescentado em comparação com as despesas nacionais; rejeita qualquer transição para uma Europa «à la carte», em que a participação diferenciada comprometa a unidade e a coerência das políticas e dos programas da UE; ***a este respeito, recorda que, nos termos do artigo 349.º do TFUE, a União é obrigada a implementar políticas e programas europeus para as regiões ultraperiféricas e salienta, por conseguinte, a necessidade de um apoio contínuo e direcionado a estas regiões no próximo QFP a nível da UE, inclusive através de um programa reforçado de opções especificamente relacionadas com o isolamento geográfico e a insularidade (POSEI)***; adverte que tal abordagem fragmentaria o mercado único, criaria vantagens concorrenciais desleais e minaria o princípio da solidariedade subjacente à integração europeia;

Or. pt

Amendment 108

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. sottolinea che il prossimo QFP deve concentrarsi sul finanziamento dei beni pubblici europei con un valore aggiunto distinguibile rispetto alla spesa nazionale; respinge qualsiasi passo verso un'Europa a più velocità, in cui una partecipazione differenziata comprometta l'unità e la coerenza delle politiche e dei programmi dell'UE; avverte che tale approccio frammenterebbe il mercato unico, creerebbe vantaggi competitivi sleali e indebolirebbe il principio di solidarietà che

Amendment

2. sottolinea che il prossimo QFP deve concentrarsi sul finanziamento dei beni pubblici europei con un valore aggiunto distinguibile rispetto alla spesa nazionale; ***ritiene prioritario sostenere la ricerca e l'innovazione, la competitività delle piccole, medie e grandi imprese, la formazione e i giovani, nonché la salute e la tutela del territorio; evidenzia inoltre la necessità di affrontare le conseguenze delle tendenze demografiche, tra cui la riduzione del tasso di fertilità/fecondità,***

è alla base dell'integrazione europea;

l'invecchiamento della popolazione e le carenze di manodopera; respinge qualsiasi passo verso un'Europa a più velocità, in cui una partecipazione differenziata comprometta l'unità e la coerenza delle politiche e dei programmi dell'UE; avverte che tale approccio frammenterebbe il mercato unico, creerebbe vantaggi competitivi sleali e indebolirebbe il principio di solidarietà che è alla base dell'integrazione europea;

Or. it

Amendment 109

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Gabriel Mato, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral, Sérgio Gonçalves, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Marie-Luce Brasier-Clain, Rody Tolassy, André Rodrigues

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Subraya que el próximo MFP debe centrarse en la financiación de bienes públicos europeos con un valor añadido perceptible en comparación con el gasto nacional; rechaza cualquier avance hacia una Europa «a la carta» en la que la participación diferenciada socave la unidad y la coherencia de las políticas y programas de la Unión; advierte de que este enfoque fragmentaría el mercado único, crearía ventajas competitivas desleales y erosionaría el principio de solidaridad que sustenta la integración europea;

Amendment

2. Subraya que el próximo MFP debe centrarse en la financiación de bienes públicos europeos con un valor añadido perceptible en comparación con el gasto nacional; rechaza cualquier avance hacia una Europa «a la carta» en la que la participación diferenciada socave la unidad y la coherencia de las políticas y programas de la Unión; ***in this respect recalls that, under Article 349 TFEU, the Union is required to put in place European policies and programmes for the outermost regions and stresses, therefore, the need for continued, targeted support for these regions in the next MFF at EU level, including via a reinforced programme of options specifically relating to remoteness and insularity (POSEI)***; advierte de que este enfoque fragmentaría el mercado único, crearía ventajas competitivas desleales y erosionaría el principio de solidaridad que sustenta la integración europea;

Amendment 110**Rasmus Nordqvist**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 2***Motion for a resolution*

2. Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public goods with discernible added value compared to national spending; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Amendment

2. Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public goods with discernible added value compared to national spending; ***emphasises that spending will have to address major challenges, such as the return of large-scale warfare in the Union’s immediate neighbourhood, a highly challenging economic and social backdrop, a competitiveness gap and the worsening triple planetary crisis of climate change, biodiversity loss and pollution***; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, ***hinder the implementation of Union policies***, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Or. en

Amendment 111**Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 2***Motion for a resolution*

2. Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public

Amendment

2. Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public

goods with discernible added value compared to national spending; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

goods with discernible added value compared to national spending; **including cross-border infrastructure, security and preparedness and EU-wide research and skills, thereby reducing fragmentation**, rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; **stresses the need for continued, targeted support for outermost regions, including via a reinforced programme of options specifically relating to remoteness and insularity (POSEI)**; warns that such an “à la carte” approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Or. en

Amendment 112 **Auke Zijlstra**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

2. onderstreept dat het volgende MFK gericht moet zijn op de financiering van Europese collectieve goederen, **waar** sprake is van een **waarneembare** toegevoegde waarde ten opzichte van financiering via nationale uitgaven; **verwerpt elke stap in de richting van een Europa à la carte waaraan niet eenparig wordt deelgenomen waardoor de eenheid en samenhang van het beleid en de programma’s van de EU worden ondermijnd; waarschuwt dat een dergelijke aanpak zou leiden tot een versnippering** van de interne markt, oneerlijke concurrentievoordelen **en een uitholling van het solidariteitsbeginsel dat aan de basis ligt van de Europese**

Amendment

2. onderstreept dat het volgende MFK gericht moet zijn op de financiering van Europese collectieve goederen, **uitsluitend daar waar op basis van het subsidiariteitsprincipe** sprake is van een **daadwerkelijk** toegevoegde waarde ten opzichte van financiering via nationale uitgaven; **benadrukt het belang** van de interne markt **en bestrijding van** oneerlijke concurrentievoordelen;

integratie;

Or. nl

Amendment 113
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public goods with discernible added value ***compared to national spending***; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Amendment

2. Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public goods with discernible added ***European value, such as collective security and defence, preparedness, cross-border mobility, skills development, cultural and creative cooperation, media pluralism as well as cultural diversity***; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, ***increase internal disparities between Member-States and regions*** and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Alexander Jungbluth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. betont, dass der nächste MFR schwerpunktmäßig auf die Finanzierung öffentlicher europäischer Güter ausgerichtet werden muss, bei der im Vergleich zu einer Finanzierung über

Amendment

2. betont, dass der nächste MFR schwerpunktmäßig auf die Finanzierung öffentlicher europäischer Güter ausgerichtet werden muss, bei der im Vergleich zu einer Finanzierung über

ationale Ausgaben ein erkennbarer Mehrwert gegeben ist; lehnt jeden Schritt hin zu einem Europa „à la carte“ ab, **in dem die Einheit und Kohärenz der politischen Maßnahmen und Programme der EU durch eine differenzierte Beteiligung an diesen untergraben wird; warnt davor, dass ein solcher Ansatz zu einer Fragmentierung des Binnenmarkts, zu unfairen Wettbewerbsvorteilen und zu einer Aushöhlung des Grundsatzes der Solidarität führen würde, welcher eine Grundlage der europäischen Integration bildet;**

ationale Ausgaben ein erkennbarer Mehrwert gegeben ist; lehnt jeden Schritt hin zu einem Europa „à la carte“ ab, **der den Binnenmarkt untergräbt, betont jedoch, dass differenzierte Integrationsmodelle und flexible Beteiligungsformen im Einklang mit den Verträgen ein legitimes Instrument der europäischen Zusammenarbeit darstellen können;**

Or. de

Amendment 115 **Stanisław Tyszka**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

2. podkreśla, że następne WRF muszą być ukierunkowane na finansowanie europejskich dóbr publicznych o wyraźnej wartości dodanej w porównaniu z wydatkami krajowymi; **odrzuca działania na rzecz** Europy „à la carte”, w której zróżnicowane uczestnictwo **zagroza jedności oraz spójności strategii politycznych i programów UE; ostrzega, że takie podejście doprowadziłoby do fragmentacji jednolitego rynku, stworzyłoby nieuczciwą przewagę konkurencyjną i podważyłoby zasadę solidarności, która leży u podstaw integracji europejskiej;**

Amendment

2. podkreśla, że następne WRF muszą być ukierunkowane na finansowanie europejskich dóbr publicznych o wyraźnej wartości dodanej w porównaniu z wydatkami krajowymi **oraz przy zachowaniu zasady pomocniczości i proporcjonalności; popiera ideę** Europy „à la carte”, w której zróżnicowane uczestnictwo **pozwala zachować Państwom Członkowskim wybór, w których politykach chciałyby uczestniczyć;**

Or. pl

Amendment 116 **Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner**

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underscores that the next MFF **must** focus on **financing European public goods with discernible** added value compared to national **spending**; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Amendment

2. Underscores that the next MFF **should** focus on **areas where Union action provides clear** added value compared to national **action, in full respect of the principle of subsidiarity**; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. **Underscores that the next MFF must focus on financing European public goods with discernible added value compared to national spending; rejects any move towards an ‘à la carte’ Europe where differentiated participation** undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Amendment

2. **Stresses that while demanding Member States to participate in joint borrowing programmes like NGEU or the financing of Ukraine and at the same time withholding Member States’ RRF and cohesion support for political-ideological reasons, it** undermines the unity and coherence of EU policies and programmes; warns that such an approach would fragment the single market, create unfair competitive advantages, and erode the principle of solidarity that underpins European integration;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 bis. Subraya que el próximo MFP debe centrarse en la financiación de bienes públicos europeos con un valor añadido perceptible en comparación con el gasto nacional; rechaza cualquier avance hacia una Europa «a la carta» en la que la participación diferenciada socave la unidad y la coherencia de las políticas y programas de la Unión; advierte de que este enfoque fragmentaría el mercado único, crearía ventajas competitivas desleales y erosionaría el principio de solidaridad que sustenta la integración europea, perdiendo el carácter europeo de programas esenciales de la Unión y la visión estratégica de conjunto en favor de los intereses de los gobiernos nacionales de los Estados miembro;

Or. es

**Amendment 119
Aurelijus Veryga, Roberts Zīle, Tobiasz Bocheński**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)**

Moción para una resolución

Amendment

2 a. Recognises the economic, social and security challenges at the Eastern border regions of the EU caused by their neighborhood with aggressors posing a persistent direct military threat and brought by direct and indirect consequences of Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and emphasizes the importance of the relevant MFF programmes, in particular in Heading I and Heading II, to offer a comprehensive financial support to the

Eastern border regions of the EU with the aim to enhance their defense, preparedness, resilience and security capabilities as well as address challenges in their economic, social and territorial convergence and development.

Or. en

Amendment 120
Andrzej Halicki, Rasa Juknevičienė

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Recognises the economic, social and security challenges at the Eastern border regions of the EU caused by their neighborhood with aggressors posing a persistent direct military threat and brought by direct and indirect consequences of Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine and emphasizes the importance of the relevant MFF programmes, in particular in Heading I and Heading II, to offer a comprehensive financial support to the Eastern border regions of the EU with the aim to enhance their defense, preparedness, resilience and security capabilities as well as address challenges in their economic, social and territorial convergence and development.

Or. en

Amendment 121
Ruggero Razza, Paolo Inselvini, Romana Tomc, Miriam Lexmann, Vasile Dîncu, Tomislav Sokol, Gabriella Gerzsenyi, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta, Marion Maréchal, Niels Geuking

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 bis. invita la Commissione, nel definire l'architettura del QFP e dei relativi programmi, a integrare un approccio coordinato e orizzontale alla demografia, prestando particolare attenzione alle regioni e alle aree svantaggiate colpite da handicap demografici (quali aree rurali e periferiche, regioni con bassa densità di popolazione, aree insulari, montane e transfrontaliere), prevedendo, ove pertinente, approcci integrati nei piani nazionali e regionali e criteri di assegnazione che tengano conto delle vulnerabilità demografiche e che supportino la ripresa demografica;

Or. it

Amendment 122
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Stresses the importance of safeguarding the progress achieved so far in key areas of EU policy, including gender equality and women's and girls' rights; emphasises the need to ensure that the post-2027 MFF does not lead to backtracking on the progress made; Highlights the ongoing backlash against gender equality and women's rights and the importance of EU funding matching the EU's values, including for supporting civil society organisations promoting women's rights and gender equality, both within the EU and in its external actions;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 A. 2 A. Sublinha que, atendendo às limitações específicas e permanentes reconhecidas no artigo 349.º do TFUE, as taxas de cofinanciamento aplicáveis às Regiões Ultraperiféricas devem ser mantidas ao nível atual, com um mínimo de 85%, até 100%, no caso do POSEI, entre outros;

Or. pt

Amendment 124

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 B. Sublinha que 137 milhões de europeus, correspondentes a cerca de 30 % da população, vivem em zonas rurais, as quais ocupam 83 % do território da União Europeia, sendo que estas zonas registam, no entanto e na sua maioria, um acentuado declínio demográfico, em especial de jovens e mulheres. Tal realidade reforça a necessidade de que o próximo QFP aborde de forma eficaz os desafios que conduzem a este despovoamento, designadamente o envelhecimento da população, a insuficiência de conectividade e de transportes, a existência de “áreas brancas” sem cobertura de comunicações, o acesso limitado à educação e aos cuidados de saúde, bem como a falta de infraestruturas básicas e de investimento.

Estes fatores, que são mais acentuados nas Regiões Ultraperiféricas, dificultam a fixação da população, pelo que se torna essencial garantir e reforçar o financiamento de políticas que assegurem o direito a permanecer nesses territórios;

Or. pt

Amendment 125

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 C. Defende que a valorização dos projetos e a atribuição de fundos no âmbito do QFP deve contribuir para uma perspetiva que garante o equilíbrio na distribuição regional, assegurando que os investimentos chegam a todos os territórios, promovendo a coesão, e que não deixam ninguém para trás;

Or. pt

Amendment 126

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. **Opposes** the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, **which** generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social

3. **Recalls the strong opposition expressed by several political groups to the Commission proposal on the National and Regional Partnership Plans (NRPPs), in line with the strong reservations expressed in its resolution of 7 May 2025; is particularly concerned that** the merging of different policies, **like agriculture, fisheries and cohesion**, in one plan per Member State, **entails the risk of**

rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

renationalisation of those flagship Union policies, weakens the role of regions and generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the modifications proposed by President von der Leyen in its letter of 9 November 2025, and expresses its readiness to amend this proposal under the ordinary legislative procedure; warns, moreover, that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1, together with the very high level of unallocated amounts within NRPPs, threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Elena Nevado del Campo, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Se opone a la fusión de diferentes políticas en un único plan por Estado miembro, lo que genera una incertidumbre significativa en cuanto a la previsibilidad de la financiación para los beneficiarios finales; advierte de que la reducción significativa de la financiación de la rúbrica 1 amenaza con socavar las políticas de la Unión consagradas en los Tratados y los objetivos de la Unión de reforzar su cohesión económica, social y territorial, salvaguardar los derechos sociales y garantizar la seguridad alimentaria y un nivel de vida justo para los agricultores y los pescadores;

Amendment

3. Se opone a la fusión de diferentes políticas en un único plan por Estado miembro, lo que genera una incertidumbre significativa en cuanto a la previsibilidad de la financiación para los beneficiarios finales; advierte de que la reducción significativa de la financiación de la rúbrica 1 amenaza con socavar las políticas de la Unión consagradas en los Tratados y los objetivos de la Unión de reforzar su cohesión económica, social y territorial, salvaguardar los derechos sociales y garantizar la seguridad alimentaria y un nivel de vida justo para los agricultores y los pescadores, ***así como el apoyo a la implementación de la política pesquera común y el impulso de la pesca sostenible;***

considera que un único plan nacional por Estado miembro amenaza la continuación de estas políticas de los Tratados; afirma que este enfoque de los planes nacionales no debe constituir la base para el gasto en gestión compartida después de 2027, teniendo en cuenta las deficiencias detectadas en anteriores instrumentos basados en el rendimiento en términos de gobernanza, gestión y rendición de cuentas;

Or. es

Amendment 128
Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Amendment

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; ***stresses, in particular, that the Common Agricultural Policy must remain a distinct and autonomous programme in the Union budget, reflecting its Treaty basis and its common nature; rejects any approach that would de facto nationalise CAP delivery through a single envelope, thereby undermining the level playing field among farmers and Member States and threatening the integrity and functioning of the Single Market;*** warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Amendment

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers; ***Insists that shared-management spending must be designed, implemented and monitored in line with the partnership principle and fully involve regional and local authorities and relevant stakeholders, while fully safeguarding Parliament's roles as legislator, budgetary and discharge authority;***

Or. en

Amendment 130
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. ***Opposes*** the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, ***which generates*** significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1

Amendment

3. ***3. Is of the opinion that*** the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State ***has the potential to generate*** significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant

threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

reduction *of the share* in funding in Heading 1 *takes away from the impact* EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, *environmental sustainability*, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security, *a healthy and livable environment* and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers *to ensure we have a future-proof EU budget focusing on European public goods; insist on the full involvement of regional and local authorities in the entire programming cycle;*

Or. en

Amendment 131

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. si oppone alla fusione di diverse politiche in un unico piano per Stato membro, che genera una notevole incertezza in merito alla prevedibilità dei finanziamenti per i beneficiari finali; avverte che la significativa riduzione dei finanziamenti nella rubrica 1 rischia di compromettere le politiche dell'UE sancite dai trattati e gli obiettivi dell'Unione di rafforzare la sua coesione economica, sociale e territoriale, salvaguardare i diritti sociali e garantire la sicurezza alimentare e un tenore di vita equo per gli agricoltori e i pescatori;

Amendment

3. si oppone alla fusione di diverse politiche in un unico piano per Stato membro, che genera una notevole incertezza in merito alla prevedibilità dei finanziamenti per i beneficiari finali; avverte che la significativa riduzione dei finanziamenti nella rubrica 1 rischia di compromettere le politiche dell'UE sancite dai trattati e gli obiettivi dell'Unione di rafforzare la sua coesione economica, sociale e territoriale, salvaguardare i diritti sociali e garantire la sicurezza alimentare e un tenore di vita equo per gli agricoltori e i pescatori; *ribadisce che la politica di coesione deve rimanere un pilastro dell'Unione per ridurre i divari territoriali e promuovere uno sviluppo armonioso;*

Or. it

Amendment 132
Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Amendment

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; ***stresses that a centralisation creates a disconnect between EU funding and local realities and weakens the democratic ownership of EU policies***; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 133
Roberts Zile, Aurelijus Veryga

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. ***Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries***; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair

Amendment

3. Warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 134

**Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová,
Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Amendment

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; ***stresses that the future MFF must guarantee stable cohesion envelopes for regions to uphold convergence and the right to stay***; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 135

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the

Amendment

3. Opposes the merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns that the significant reduction in funding in Heading 1 threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the

Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security, **achieving climate neutrality** and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 136

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Opposes the** merging of different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates **significant** uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; **warns** that the **significant** reduction in funding in Heading 1 **threatens to** undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Amendment

3. **Acknowledges the concern of** merging different policies in one plan per Member State, which generates uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; **notes** that the reduction in funding in Heading 1 **could** undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

3 A. Opõe-se a uma governação centralizada, sublinhando a importância de assegurar uma governação multinível, de gestão partilhada, e com o envolvimento efetivo das autoridades

regionais e locais no desenho, implementação, execução e gestão das políticas de coesão, numa lógica de bottom-up, e de programação descentralizada, baseada no princípio da parceria e em pleno respeito pelo princípio da subsidiariedade;

Or. pt

Amendment 138

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 bis. Considera un error delegar la decisión final de la asignación de recursos a los distintos programas europeos que componen el Pilar 1 a los gobiernos nacionales de los Estados miembro porque socava el carácter europeo de los mismos, les resta capacidad estratégica y obliga a competir por los mismos recursos a programas marcadamente diferenciados;

Or. es

Amendment 139

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Reiterates that the territorial impact assessment of any EU legislation should be strengthened, while the territorial aspects of sectoral policies should be reinforced; insists that promoting cohesion should also be seen as a way of fostering solidarity and

*mutual support among Member States
and their regions;*

Or. en

Amendment 140

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Gabriel Mato, Francisco José Millán Mon, Fredis Beleris

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 ter. Se opone a la fusión de diferentes políticas en un único plan por Estado miembro, lo que genera una incertidumbre significativa en cuanto a la previsibilidad de la financiación para los beneficiarios finales; advierte de que la reducción significativa de la financiación de la rúbrica 1 amenaza con socavar las políticas de la Unión consagradas en los Tratados y los objetivos de la Unión de reforzar su cohesión económica, social y territorial, salvaguardar los derechos sociales y garantizar la seguridad alimentaria y un nivel de vida justo para los agricultores y los pescadores, así como el apoyo a la implementación de la política pesquera común y el impulso de la pesca sostenible;

Or. es

Amendment 141

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 b. Emphasises that food security and food sovereignty are vital components of strategic autonomy and that the next MFF must continue to support the

Union's farming and fisheries sectors, including small and young farmers and fishers, and help the sectors produce food in an effective, competitive and sustainable way; highlights the crucial role of the common agricultural policy (CAP) in increasing productivity through technical progress, ensuring a fair standard of living for farmers and guaranteeing food security; calls for the maintenance of the two pillar structure of the CAP and for independent and adequate funding for the CAP in the next MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 B. *3 B. Salienta a necessidade de garantir uma estratégia de sinergias e complementaridade entre os fundos da política de coesão e o Fundo de Competitividade, evitando qualquer sobreposição ou concorrência entre instrumentos que possa comprometer a estabilidade e a previsibilidade do financiamento. Esta abordagem reforça a ideia de que a coesão não é apenas uma política de "apoio", mas uma condição para que a competitividade europeia seja eficaz e prioridade em todos os territórios;*

Or. pt

Amendment 143

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 c (new)

3 c. Recalls that social, economic and territorial cohesion is a cornerstone of European integration and is vital in binding the Union together and deepening the single market; underlines that a modernised cohesion policy must follow a decentralised, place-based, multilevel governance approach and be built around the shared management and partnership principle, fully involving local and regional authorities; emphasizes that making the allocation of EU funds dependent on indirectly related circumstances can undermine the objectives and effectiveness of cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 144

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. **Welcomes** the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Amendment

4. **Strongly supports** the substantial increase proposed **for competitiveness spending** responding to **the changing geopolitical and economic reality; underlines that this increase is fully in line with the** Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; **reminds in this regard that the innovation gap is one of the causes of the Union's slowing productivity growth and that therefore increased investments in research and innovation are the only way to enhance our competitiveness and return to sustainable growth in Europe;** stresses, however, that the **simplistic** consolidation

of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund *as proposed by the Commission is not providing the Union with an effective competitiveness spending vehicle; deplores that the unlimited flexibility proposed by the Commission, including the lack of detailed budget breakdowns, offers neither the predictability our industry needs nor the agility we need to respond to a fast changing world; underlines furthermore that the consolidation of funds and “flexibility-by-design” approach reduces transparency and limits Parliament’s ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful **priority setting by the Parliament as co-legislator, to ensure effective** parliamentary oversight and to maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities; **concludes therefore that spending programmes require a set of legislative guardrails beyond the most basic instrument to enable effective policy steer and budgetary control, as well as to avoid overloading the annual budgetary procedure;***

Or. en

Amendment 145
Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament’s long-standing calls for greater investment in **research**, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament’s long-standing calls for greater investment in strategic autonomy, the clean transition, **research, education, equality**, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; **insists on the importance of a European preference as a precondition**

reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

for benefiting of European financial support, in particular in defence and strategic domains; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities; ***Recalls that competitiveness is a cross-cutting challenge; stresses that a Competitiveness Fund should not be designed at the expense of existing successful programmes, but act as an add-on with clear European added value and a toolbox of grants and de-risking instruments***;

Or. en

Amendment 146

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. ***Welcomes the substantial*** increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for ***individual***

Amendment

4. ***Notes the*** increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in ***the clean industrial deal and the circular economy***, research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience ***which are a step in the right direction to contribute to social environmental and economic sustainability and resilience, but not commensurate with the investment needs***; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific

priorities;

policy objectives *and the distribution between policy objectives*; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the *predictability, coherence and* visibility of funding for *specific* priorities *as identified in the regulation also as regards to the use of the InvestEU tool*;

Or. en

Amendment 147

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed *to enable* meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, *environmental sustainability, public health*, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, *implementing procedures and enabling of* budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed *and enabling of* meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities; *regrets the reduction of the minimum amount of Union support delivered through the ECF InvestEU Instrument and insists that a reduced guarantee amount risks undermining the Instrument's capacity to mobilise private capital and support strategic investments.*

Or. en

Amendment 148
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities; ***emphasises, in this regard, the example of the Just Transition Fund, which was merged despite the fact that it provides key support to Member States that have identified territories expected to be the most negatively impacted by the transition towards climate neutrality ;***

Or. en

Amendment 149
Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean

transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities; ***considers that efforts should be made to promote the spread of excellence across Member States that are lagging behind, while duly taking into account the need for a balanced geographical distribution***

Or. en

Amendment 150 **Roberts Zile**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence ***and security, managing migration***, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 151

Nils Ušakovs, Mārtiņš Staķis, Roberts Zīle, Sandra Kalniete, Ivars Ijabs, Rihards Kols

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, **innovations**, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, **dual use**, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 152

Roberts Zīle, Bogdan Rzońca, Aurelijus Veryga

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, **innovations**, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, **dual use**, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability

funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Andrzej Halicki, Jagna Marczułajtis-Walczak

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, **social inclusion**, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight and maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. ***Welcomes the substantial increase proposed responding to Parliament's long-standing calls for greater investment*** in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight ***and*** maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities;

4. ***Considers that the EU budget should be equipped to invest primarily*** in research, strategic autonomy, the clean transition, defence, ***security***, technological sovereignty and economic resilience; stresses, however, that the consolidation of programmes in the Competitiveness Fund reduces transparency and limits Parliament's ability to ensure appropriate funding for specific policy objectives; insists that, even where programme mergers occur, budget lines must remain sufficiently detailed to enable meaningful parliamentary oversight, maintain the visibility of funding for individual priorities ***and avoid double financing***;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Acoge con satisfacción el aumento sustancial propuesto en respuesta a los reiterados llamamientos del Parlamento en favor de una mayor inversión en investigación, autonomía estratégica, transición limpia, defensa, ***soberanía tecnológica y resiliencia económica***; destaca, no obstante, que la consolidación de programas en el Fondo de Competitividad reduce la transparencia y limita la capacidad del Parlamento para garantizar una financiación suficiente para objetivos políticos específicos; insiste en que, incluso cuando se produzcan fusiones de programas, las líneas presupuestarias deben seguir siendo lo suficientemente detalladas como para permitir un control parlamentario significativo y mantener la visibilidad de la financiación para

Amendment

4. Acoge con satisfacción el aumento sustancial propuesto en respuesta a los reiterados llamamientos del Parlamento en favor de una mayor inversión en investigación, ***innovación***, autonomía estratégica, transición limpia ***y digital***, defensa ***y seguridad***; destaca, no obstante, que la consolidación de programas en el Fondo de Competitividad reduce la transparencia y limita la capacidad del Parlamento para garantizar una financiación suficiente para objetivos políticos específicos; insiste en que, incluso cuando se produzcan fusiones de programas, las líneas presupuestarias deben seguir siendo lo suficientemente detalladas como para permitir un control parlamentario significativo y mantener la visibilidad de la financiación para

prioridades concretas;

prioridades concretas;

Or. es

Amendment 156

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

sottolinea, tuttavia, che i programmi confluiti nel fondo per la competitività, (quali, solo a titolo di esempio, Orizzonte Europa, Erasmus + e EU4Health) evidenziano la oggettiva carenza di finanziamento aggiuntivo per sostenere la competitività dell'UE;

Or. it

Amendment 157

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 A. Sublinha a importância de promover a autonomia energética das regiões isoladas, designadamente das regiões ultraperiféricas, tendo em conta que os seus sistemas energéticos são isolados e não interligados às redes principais. Considera que esta realidade acarreta custos de produção e de abastecimento energético mais elevados e torna estes territórios particularmente vulneráveis a choques externos e a falhas de fornecimento, pelo que o reforço da

produção local de energia a partir de fontes renováveis, o investimento em soluções de armazenamento e a modernização das redes são essenciais para aumentar a resiliência energética, reforçar a competitividade regional, promover a coesão económica, social e territorial e aumentar a atratividade destes territórios, criando condições favoráveis ao investimento, à criação de emprego e à fixação de populações;

Or. pt

Amendment 158
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Regrets that the economic policy pursued in Brussels is condemning Europe to defeat in the face of its global economic competitors; notes that sustainability is important, but the way it is pushed in the form of the so-called Green Deal by the European Commission is ruining Europe; recalls that the result of this policy is that Europeans pay three times as much for electricity and five times as much for natural gas as their American competitors, which makes it impossible for European companies to maintain their global competitiveness; requests a completely new energy policy, in which energy sanctions must be lifted, negative discrimination against nuclear energy must be abolished and energy transport routes that have been artificially closed must be reopened;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 bis. esprime preoccupazione per il fatto che i rischi per la salute pubblica stiano perdendo visibilità e prevedibilità in assenza di un programma sanitario dedicato e sufficientemente dedicato, sulla base di EU4Health, con un assetto autonomo e una dotazione dedicata;

Or. it

Amendment 160

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Stresses that the Union must have the means to underpin a genuine European security and defence efforts, including through a clear roadmap to finance common European defence and security expenditures through the EU budget, while ensuring efficiency of EU spending;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

4 B. *Insta à criação de um POSEI Transportes para apoiar os transportes das Regiões Ultraperiféricas, inspirado no modelo do programa POSEI na agricultura, de modo a compensar os custos de transporte anormalmente elevados decorrentes de características estruturais, que afetam diretamente o custo de vida, o comércio entre regiões e a competitividade das empresas; sublinha que este mecanismo específico, fora do âmbito dos fundos da política de coesão, contribuiria para reduzir os custos de transporte, assegurando a mobilidade e a conectividade, e garantindo o acesso equitativo ao mercado único e, por conseguinte, o aumento da competitividade destas regiões e da União Europeia como um todo, não sacrificando estas populações que já têm dos custos de vida mais elevados da UE;*

Or. pt

Amendment 162

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Giovanni Crosetto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 ter. *chiede di ridurre del 50% rispetto a quanto previsto nella attuale proposta le spese per il rimborso del debito contratto nell'ambito di NGEU, al fine di recuperare risorse da destinare alle nuove priorità politiche del prossimo QFP; invita pertanto la Commissione a presentare le necessarie proposte tecniche, garantendone la sostenibilità finanziaria;*

Or. it

Amendment 163

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 b. *Notes with concern recent revelations in the ‘green lobby scandal’ on the use of EU funds by the Commission to interfere with the EU legislative branch in seeking support for the European Green Deal; calls, in this regard, for a thorough investigation of the case, and for the recovery of all the taxpayers’ money that was unduly spent;*

Or. en

Amendment 164

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 C. *Sublinha que as regiões ultraperiféricas constituem ativos estratégicos da União Europeia, em virtude do seu posicionamento geográfico no Atlântico, no Mar das Caraíbas, e no Índico, gerando um valor acrescentado significativo para a União, nomeadamente nos domínios económico e da defesa; considera, por conseguinte, que o apoio a estas regiões, em cumprimento do artigo 349.º do TFUE, é essencial para reforçar a coesão, assegurar condições de concorrência equitativa no mercado único e aumentar a competitividade das regiões ultraperiféricas e da União Europeia; considera que a situação da Gronelândia deve servir de alerta de modo a não criar vazios de ação europeia nestes territórios (RUP, fronteira, rurais) que possam ser*

aproveitados por potências estrangeiras, deixando a União Europeia de ter os instrumentos e ferramentas necessárias para garantir o seu desenvolvimento;

Or. pt

Amendment 165

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Giovanni Crosetto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 quater. chiede che, in applicazione dei principi di trasparenza, sana gestione dei fondi e leale collaborazione tra istituzioni, la Commissione promuova una ulteriore revisione della Financial regulation al fine di assicurare che i finanziamenti erogati dalla Commissione stessa o dalle sue Agenzie esecutive non vengano spesi per attività di lobbying o advocacy rivolte al pubblico generalista o ai rappresentanti delle istituzioni comunitarie al fine di influenzarne i processi decisionali; invita inoltre la Commissione a inserire sistematicamente negli accordi quadro e negli accordi di finanziamento una clausola di divieto esplicito dell'uso, diretto o indiretto, dei fondi UE per tali finalità, prevedendo il recupero delle somme e l'esclusione dei beneficiari da futuri finanziamenti in caso di violazione;

Or. it

Amendment 166

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 D. *Lamenta que o Fundo para uma Transição Justa deixe de ser mantido como um instrumento distinto na proposta de QFP, apesar do impacto das transformações e do encerramento de atividades industriais em determinadas regiões, no contexto dos objetivos da transição climática, e apela à sua inclusão com meios financeiros adequados para o período de programação pós-2027, assegurando que a política de coesão continue a promover uma transição justa, sem deixar nenhum território nem ninguém para trás;*

Or. pt

Amendment 167

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 E. *Destaca que os investimentos da política de coesão desempenham um papel fundamental no reforço da resiliência face às crises atuais e futuras, bem como na promoção da inovação e no aumento da competitividade, sublinhando que, na ausência desses investimentos, o crescimento do PIB teria sido menor;*

Or. pt

Amendment 168

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. is ingenomen met de versterking van het instrument voor nabuurschapsbeleid, ontwikkeling en internationale samenwerking (NDICI) – Europa in de wereld, aangezien de Unie zo haar vastberadenheid toont om haar rol te versterken als mondiale speler in een steeds complexere geopolitieke omgeving; uit echter zijn bezorgdheid over het feit dat dit financieringsniveau ontoereikend blijft gezien de omvang van de uitdagingen op wereldvlak, waaronder de terugtrekking van de Verenigde Staten als mondiale beschermer van de vrede en veiligheid, de behoeften op het vlak van uitbreiding en ontwikkeling, de humanitaire crises en de noodzaak om Oekraïne en andere partners te ondersteunen; is gekant tegen de verzwakking van het parlementair toezicht en de parlementaire betrokkenheid, en tegen de verminderde zichtbaarheid van financiering voor specifieke prioriteiten die eigen zijn aan het ontwerp van NDICI, zoals het is voorgesteld door de Commissie;

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 169
Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. z zadowoleniem przyjmuje wzmocnienie Instrumentu Sąsiedztwa oraz Współpracy Międzynarodowej i Rozwojowej – „Globalny wymiar Europy”, ponieważ świadczy to o zaangażowaniu Unii na rzecz wzmocnienia jej roli jako podmiotu globalnego w coraz bardziej złożonym środowisku geopolitycznym; wyraża jednak zaniepokojenie, że ten poziom finansowania pozostaje niewystarczający,

skreśla się

biorąc pod uwagę skalę globalnych wyzwań, w tym wycofanie się Stanów Zjednoczonych z roli światowego gwaranta pokoju i bezpieczeństwa, potrzeby w zakresie rozszerzenia i rozwoju, kryzysy humanitarne oraz potrzebę wsparcia Ukrainy i innych partnerów; sprzeciwia się proponowanemu przez Komisję osłabieniu nadzoru i zaangażowania parlamentarnego, a także ograniczeniu widoczności finansowania konkretnych priorytetów nieodłącznie związanych z koncepcją globalnego wymiaru Europy;

Or. pl

Amendment 170
Alexander Jungbluth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. begrüßt die Stärkung des Instruments für Nachbarschaft, Entwicklungszusammenarbeit und internationale Zusammenarbeit (NDICI) – Europa in der Welt, *da diese verdeutlicht, dass die Union um Stärkung ihrer Rolle als globale Akteurin in einem zunehmend komplexen geopolitischen Umfeld bemüht ist; ist jedoch besorgt darüber, dass diese Mittelausstattung angesichts des Ausmaßes der globalen Herausforderungen, wie etwa der Tatsache, dass die Vereinigten Staaten ihrer Rolle als weltweiter Garant für Frieden und Sicherheit immer weniger nachkommen, der Erweiterungs- und Entwicklungserfordernisse, der humanitären Krisen und der Notwendigkeit, die Ukraine und andere Partner zu unterstützen, nach wie vor unzureichend ist; lehnt die schwächere parlamentarische Kontrolle und Beteiligung sowie die geringere*

Amendment

5. begrüßt die Stärkung des Instruments für Nachbarschaft, Entwicklungszusammenarbeit und internationale Zusammenarbeit (NDICI) – Europa in der Welt, *ohne damit eine Ausweitung der politischen oder finanziellen Kompetenzen der Union zu präjudizieren;*

Sichtbarkeit der Finanzierung spezifischer Prioritäten ab, die mit der von der Kommission vorgeschlagenen Konzipierung von „Europa in der Welt“ einhergehen würden;

Or. de

Amendment 171

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. *salue le* renforcement de l'instrument de voisinage, de coopération au développement et de coopération internationale (IVCDCI) – Europe dans le monde, *car il démontre l'engagement de l'Union à renforcer son rôle d'acteur mondial dans un environnement géopolitique de plus en plus complexe; s'inquiète toutefois du fait que ce niveau de financement reste insuffisant compte tenu de l'ampleur des défis mondiaux, notamment le retrait des États-Unis de leur rôle de garant mondial de la paix et de la sécurité, les besoins en matière d'élargissement et de développement, les crises humanitaires et la nécessité de soutenir l'Ukraine et d'autres partenaires; s'oppose à l'affaiblissement du contrôle et de la participation parlementaires, ainsi qu'à la visibilité réduite du financement pour des priorités spécifiques, inhérents à la conception d'«Europe dans le monde» telle que proposée par la Commission;*

Amendment

5. *s'oppose au* renforcement de l'instrument de voisinage, de coopération au développement et de coopération internationale (IVCDCI) – Europe dans le monde; *estime que la fusion de politiques aux finalités, bases juridiques et logiques d'intervention fondamentalement différentes nuit à la lisibilité, à l'efficacité et au contrôle démocratique des dépenses de l'Union; souligne qu'une architecture fondée sur des enveloppes distinctes pour l'aide au développement, l'aide humanitaire et la gestion des crises permet un suivi budgétaire plus transparent, une meilleure évaluation des résultats et un contrôle parlementaire effectif; rejette l'augmentation significative, des crédits alloués à la politique étrangère proposée par la Commission, qui n'est ni suffisamment justifiée ni accompagnée de garanties adéquates en matière d'efficacité et de responsabilité; estime que l'aide au développement de l'Union demeure inefficace en l'absence de résultats mesurables et doit être strictement conditionnée, notamment à une coopération effective des pays bénéficiaires en matière migratoire; souligne que la poursuite de l'élargissement, va à l'encontre des intérêts économiques et sociaux des États*

Amendment 172

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States' retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes *the weakened* parliamentary oversight *and involvement*, as well as the reduced *funding* visibility for specific priorities *inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission*;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States' retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, *funding for global gender equality initiatives*, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; *firmly* opposes *any weakening of* parliamentary oversight, as well as the reduced visibility *and predictability of funding* for specific *policy* priorities; *and insists on strengthened democratic scrutiny, transparency and clear budgetary lines to ensure effective accountability and alignment with Union; stresses that the EU must step in as a replacement of relevant US funding in its strategic objectives and sectorial priorities*;

Amendment 173

Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. **salue** le renforcement de l'instrument de voisinage, de coopération au développement et de coopération internationale (IVCDCI) – Europe dans le monde, **car il** démontre l'engagement de l'Union à renforcer son rôle d'acteur mondial dans un environnement géopolitique de plus en plus complexe; **s'inquiète toutefois du fait que ce niveau de financement reste insuffisant** compte tenu de l'ampleur des défis mondiaux, notamment le retrait des États-Unis de leur rôle de garant mondial de la paix et de la sécurité, les besoins en matière d'élargissement et de développement, les crises humanitaires et la nécessité de soutenir l'Ukraine et d'autres partenaires; s'oppose à l'affaiblissement du contrôle et de la participation parlementaires, ainsi qu'à la visibilité réduite du financement pour des priorités spécifiques, inhérents à la conception d'«Europe dans le monde» telle que proposée par la Commission;

Amendment

5. **note** le renforcement de l'instrument de voisinage, de coopération au développement et de coopération internationale (IVCDCI) – Europe dans le monde, **qui** démontre l'engagement de l'Union à renforcer son rôle d'acteur mondial dans un environnement géopolitique de plus en plus complexe **et contribue à renforcer les pays et territoires d'outre-mer associés à l'UE; souligne son importance** compte tenu de l'ampleur des défis mondiaux, notamment le retrait des États-Unis de leur rôle de garant mondial de la paix et de la sécurité, les besoins en matière d'élargissement et de développement, les crises humanitaires et la nécessité de soutenir l'Ukraine et d'autres partenaires; s'oppose **fermement** à l'affaiblissement du contrôle et de la participation parlementaires, ainsi qu'à la visibilité réduite du financement pour des priorités spécifiques, inhérents à la conception d'«Europe dans le monde» telle que proposée par la Commission, **et demande une transparence accrue sur la gestion de cet instrument de la part de la Commission vis-à-vis du Parlement et du Conseil;**

Or. fr

Amendment 174

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; ***calls for the increased flexibility of the proposed Global Europe instrument to be rebalanced with meaningful accountability and reporting mechanisms;*** opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 175

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. accoglie con favore il rafforzamento dello strumento di vicinato, cooperazione allo sviluppo e cooperazione internazionale (NDICI) – Europa globale, in quanto dimostra l'impegno dell'Unione a rafforzare il suo ruolo di attore globale in un contesto geopolitico sempre più complesso; esprime tuttavia preoccupazione per il fatto che tale livello

Amendment

5. accoglie con favore il rafforzamento dello strumento di vicinato, cooperazione allo sviluppo e cooperazione internazionale (NDICI) – Europa globale, in quanto dimostra l'impegno dell'Unione a rafforzare il suo ruolo di attore globale in un contesto geopolitico sempre più complesso; esprime tuttavia preoccupazione per il fatto che tale livello

di finanziamenti rimane insufficiente data la portata delle sfide globali, ***segnatamente la rinuncia degli Stati Uniti al loro ruolo di garante globale della pace e della sicurezza***, le esigenze in materia di allargamento e di sviluppo, le crisi umanitarie, nonché la necessità di sostenere l'Ucraina e altri partner; si oppone all'indebolimento del controllo e del coinvolgimento parlamentari, nonché alla ridotta visibilità dei finanziamenti per priorità specifiche inerenti alla progettazione di "Europa globale", come proposto dalla Commissione;

di finanziamenti rimane insufficiente data la portata delle sfide globali, ***anche alla luce della sfida di posizionamento dell'UE nel quadrante mediterraneo, dalle relazioni che deve assumere con l'Africa, con i paesi al confine del proprio est, con le potenze economiche del continente asiatico***, le esigenze in materia di allargamento e di sviluppo, le crisi umanitarie, nonché la necessità di sostenere l'Ucraina e altri partner; si oppone all'indebolimento del controllo e del coinvolgimento parlamentari, nonché alla ridotta visibilità dei finanziamenti per priorità specifiche inerenti alla progettazione di "Europa globale", come proposto dalla Commissione;

Or. it

Amendment 176 **Matjaž Nemeč**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States' retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the

Amendment

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States' retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, ***lack of targets and minimum funding levels for strategic***

Commission;

regions and global investments inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Welcomes*** the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe ***as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges*** including ***the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to*** support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Amendment

5. ***Takes note of*** the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe; ***stresses the importance of clear priorities, accountability and effective delivery of external action spending, including targeted and transparent support for*** Ukraine and other ***close*** partners; ***recalls that such expenditure must remain consistent with the overall ceilings of the MFF and with the principles of budgetary discipline***; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; **opposes the weakened** parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the **reduced** funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex **and unstable** geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, **the impact of the climate and biodiversity crisis on the Global South** , and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; **insist on strengthening** parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Acoge con satisfacción el refuerzo del Instrumento de Vecindad, Cooperación al Desarrollo y Cooperación Internacional (IVCDCI) – Europa Global, ya que demuestra el compromiso de la Unión de reforzar su papel como actor mundial en un entorno geopolítico cada vez más complejo; expresa su preocupación, no obstante, por que este nivel de financiación siga siendo insuficiente dada la magnitud de los retos mundiales, **incluida la retirada de los Estados Unidos de su papel de**

Amendment

5. Acoge con satisfacción el refuerzo del Instrumento de Vecindad, Cooperación al Desarrollo y Cooperación Internacional (IVCDCI) – Europa Global, ya que demuestra el compromiso de la Unión de reforzar su papel como actor mundial en un entorno geopolítico cada vez más complejo; expresa su preocupación, no obstante, por que este nivel de financiación siga siendo insuficiente dada la magnitud de los retos mundiales, las necesidades de ampliación y desarrollo, las crisis

garante mundial de la paz y la seguridad, las necesidades de ampliación y desarrollo, las crisis humanitarias y la necesidad de apoyar a Ucrania y a otros socios; se opone al debilitamiento del control y la participación parlamentarios, así como a la reducción de la visibilidad de la financiación para prioridades específicas inherentes a la concepción de Europa Global, tal como propone la Comisión;

humanitarias y la necesidad de apoyar a Ucrania y a otros socios; se opone al debilitamiento del control y la participación parlamentarios, así como a la reducción de la visibilidad de la financiación para prioridades específicas inherentes a la concepción de Europa Global, tal como propone la Comisión;

Or. es

Amendment 180
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners **that respect rule of law and our European values**; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 181

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the reinforcement of the Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation Instrument (NDICI) – Global Europe as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including the United States’ retreat from its role as a global guarantor of peace and security, enlargement and development needs, ***the clean industrial transition, global health***, humanitarian crises, and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; opposes the weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement, as well as the reduced funding visibility for specific priorities inherent in the design of Global Europe as proposed by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 182

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Highlights that Europe faces an increasingly complex security environment and a growing need to rely on its own capacities; underlines that security should be understood in a comprehensive manner, encompassing food and energy security, cybersecurity,

defence capabilities, as well as the security of the Union's outermost regions and overseas countries and territories;

Or. en

Amendment 183
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Recalls its position that 'simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties'; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament's role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament's commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

Amendment

6. Recalls its position that 'simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties'; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament's role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament's commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU; ***strongly insists on the right of the European Parliament to be granted full transparency and traceability of EU funds down to final beneficiaries including subcontractors; emphasises that fragmented IT and data systems across member states increase the risk of irregularities and cause severe administrative burden; strongly recalls the demand for a single, integrated and interoperable information and monitoring system; urges that the use of this new interoperable system should be a precondition for accessing Union funding in the next MFF; welcomes the Commission's ongoing effort to develop***

such an interoperable system and calls for standardised and harmonised data standards, adhering to the principles of necessity, reliability and proportionality of data, as well as compliance with the GDPR and CJEU rulings;

Or. en

Amendment 184

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. souligne toutefois que la consolidation des programmes au sein du Fonds pour la compétitivité réduit la transparence et limite la capacité du Parlement à garantir un financement approprié pour des objectifs politiques spécifiques; s'oppose dès lors à l'approche proposée qui sacrifie la transparence et les contrôles sous couvert d'efficacité, compromettant la qualité des programmes et la responsabilité démocratique, et sapant le rôle du Parlement en tant qu'autorité budgétaire et de décharge; souligne l'engagement du Parlement à garantir les mécanismes de transparence nécessaires pour remplir son rôle de gardien des intérêts des citoyens, ce qui est essentiel pour préserver la confiance du public dans l'Union;

Amendment

6. souligne toutefois que la consolidation des programmes au sein du Fonds pour la compétitivité réduit la transparence et limite la capacité du Parlement à garantir un financement approprié pour des objectifs politiques spécifiques; s'oppose dès lors à l'approche proposée qui sacrifie la transparence et les contrôles sous couvert d'efficacité, compromettant la qualité des programmes et la responsabilité démocratique, et sapant le rôle du Parlement en tant qu'autorité budgétaire et de décharge; souligne ***que les critères d'éligibilité des projets dans le cadre du futur fonds pour la compétitivité devront soutenir de véritables projets d'innovation agricole, notamment dans le domaine du développement des NGTs; précise qu'il est essentiel que le fonds européen pour la compétitivité finance des projets européens; souligne*** l'engagement du Parlement à garantir les mécanismes de transparence nécessaires pour remplir son rôle de gardien des intérêts des citoyens, ce qui est essentiel pour préserver la confiance du public dans l'Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 185

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Reitera a sua posição de «que a simplificação não pode significar uma maior margem de manobra para a Comissão sem os controlos e equilíbrios necessários e que, por conseguinte, deve ser concretizada no pleno respeito do equilíbrio institucional previsto nos Tratados»; opõe-se, por conseguinte, à abordagem proposta, que sacrifica a transparência e os controlos sob o pretexto de eficiência, comprometendo a qualidade dos programas e a responsabilização democrática e minando o papel do Parlamento enquanto autoridade orçamental e de quitação; sublinha o empenho do Parlamento em garantir os mecanismos de transparência necessários para desempenhar o seu papel de guardião dos interesses dos cidadãos, que é vital para salvaguardar a confiança do público na UE;

Amendment

6. Reitera a sua posição de «que a simplificação não pode significar uma maior margem de manobra para a Comissão sem os controlos e equilíbrios necessários e que, por conseguinte, deve ser concretizada no pleno respeito do equilíbrio institucional previsto nos Tratados»; opõe-se, por conseguinte, à abordagem proposta, que sacrifica a transparência e os controlos sob o pretexto de eficiência, comprometendo a qualidade dos programas e a responsabilização democrática e minando o papel do Parlamento enquanto autoridade orçamental e de quitação; sublinha o empenho do Parlamento em garantir os mecanismos de transparência necessários para desempenhar o seu papel de guardião dos interesses dos cidadãos, que é vital para salvaguardar a confiança do público na UE; ***considera que devem ser adoptadas medidas de simplificação no sentido de garantir o acesso aos fundos por parte dos seus beneficiários finais de uma forma mais célere, menos burocrática e menos dependente de prestadores de serviços de intermediação e consultoria;***

Or. pt

Amendment 186

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Recalls its position that

Amendment

6. Recalls its position that

‘simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties’; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament’s role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament’s commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens’ interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties’; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament’s role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament’s commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens’ interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU; ***highlights that the ECF/Horizon Europe impact assessment did not provide any evidence that stakeholders or beneficiaries need the ‘simplification’ of less programmes and less budget lines in the basic acts;***

Or. en

Amendment 187

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Recalls its position that ‘simplification cannot mean more **leeway** for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties’; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament’s role as the budgetary and discharge authority;

Amendment

6. Recalls its position that ‘simplification **should not lead to deregulation and discretionary power** for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties’; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining

underlines Parliament's commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

Parliament's role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament's commitment to securing the necessary ***governance***, transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Recalls its position that 'simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties'; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament's role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament's commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

Amendment

6. Recalls its position that 'simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties'; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament's role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament's commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU, ***and the protection of financial interests of EU taxpayers;***

Or. en

Amendment 189
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. **Recalls its position** that ‘simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties’; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament’s role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament’s commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens’ interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

Amendment

6. **Recognises the need for enhanced simplification, underlines however** that ‘simplification cannot mean more leeway for the Commission without the necessary checks and balances and must therefore be achieved with full respect for the institutional balance provided for in the Treaties’; opposes, therefore, the proposed approach that sacrifices transparency and controls under the guise of efficiency, compromising programme quality and democratic accountability, and undermining Parliament’s role as the budgetary and discharge authority; underlines Parliament’s commitment to securing the necessary transparency mechanisms to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens’ interests, which is vital to safeguard public trust in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6 a. Insists that simplification must primarily target final beneficiaries; calls for harmonised, user-friendly rules, reduced reporting burdens and single-audit approaches where appropriate, while maintaining sufficiently detailed nomenclature and full access for the budgetary and discharge authorities to ensure transparency and accountability;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 191

Motion for a resolution
Paragraphe 7

Motion for a resolution

7. **reconnait la nécessité d'une flexibilité accrue** dans l'allocation des ressources au sein **de certains** programmes, **mais** souligne que **cela ne doit pas compromettre les objectifs politiques à long terme**, la prévisibilité **du financement ni les prérogatives du Parlement**; souligne que l'allocation **des fonds** à des objectifs politiques spécifiques doit **être décidée conjointement par** le Parlement et le Conseil; déplore que la nomenclature indicative proposée dans la législation relative aux programmes **soit gravement insuffisante**; **réitère sa demande pour que** le CFP post-2027 **inclue deux instruments spéciaux – l'un dédié à assurer la solidarité en cas de catastrophes naturelles et l'un pour la réponse aux crises à usage général**;

Amendment

7. **rejette l'approche consistant à accroître la flexibilité** dans l'allocation des ressources au sein **des programmes de l'Union**, souligne que, **loin de constituer une réponse structurelle adéquate, le recours accru aux marges et aux instruments spéciaux a contribué à affaiblir** la prévisibilité **budgétaire, la transparence des choix financiers et le contrôle**; **rappelle que, comme l'a constaté la Cour des comptes européenne dans son rapport spécial n° 18/2025, la Commission n'a procédé à aucune évaluation ex ante ni rétrospective du fonctionnement des principaux outils de flexibilité, en particulier des marges, du dispositif de marge unique et de l'instrument de flexibilité, ce qui ne permet ni d'apprécier leur efficacité réelle ni d'en tirer des enseignements pour l'avenir**; souligne que **cette absence d'évaluation n'est pas conforme aux principes d'une gestion des risques efficace ni à une élaboration des politiques fondée sur des données probantes**; **réaffirme que toute décision relative à l'allocation de crédits à des objectifs politiques spécifiques doit relever pleinement de l'autorité budgétaire, en codécision entre** le Parlement et le Conseil; déplore que la nomenclature indicative proposée dans la législation relative aux programmes **demeure insuffisante et contribue à une perte de lisibilité des priorités budgétaires**; **s'oppose, à l'introduction ou au renforcement d'instruments spéciaux supplémentaires dans le CFP post-2027, qui risqueraient d'accroître la fragmentation, la complexité et l'opacité du cadre budgétaire de l'Union**;

Or. fr

Amendment 192

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplores the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response;

Amendment

7. Recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplores the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient ***and undermines democratic scrutiny and budgetary clarity***; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response; ***and an opt-in mechanism to provide Member States with financial support to enable them to provide safe termination of pregnancies, in accordance with their domestic law, for anyone in the EU who still lacks access to safe and legal abortion, in line with the 'My Voice, My Choice' ECI proposal***;

Or. en

Amendment 193

Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises the need for enhanced

Amendment

7. Recognises the need for enhanced

flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplors the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response;

flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplors the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response; ***To this end, it is necessary, the post 2027 MFF to establish a dedicated and enhanced solidarity fund, to assist Member States in addressing the repercussions of natural disasters, while also ensuring the availability of annual funding to provide additional assistance to member states in view of natural disasters.***

Or. en

Amendment 194
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplors the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring

Amendment

7. Recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability, ***the interests and rights of final beneficiaries*** or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplors the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; ***Stresses that budgetary nomenclature is not merely a***

solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response;

technical issue, but a political instrument that directly shapes the level of transparency and accountability;
reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. is zich bewust van de behoefte aan meer flexibiliteit bij de toewijzing van middelen in het kader van bepaalde programma's, maar benadrukt dat dit geen belemmering mag vormen voor de beleidsdoelstellingen op lange termijn, de voorspelbaarheid van financiering of de prerogatieven van het Parlement; onderstreept dat het Parlement en de Raad gezamenlijk moeten beslissen over de toewijzing van middelen aan specifieke beleidsdoelstellingen; ***betreurt dat de indicatieve nomenclatuur die wordt voorgesteld in de wetgeving inzake programma's, volstrekt ontoereikend is; herhaalt zijn oproep om in het MFK voor de periode na 2027 twee speciale instrumenten op te nemen: één instrument om solidariteit bij natuurrampen te waarborgen en één instrument voor algemene crisisrespons;***

Amendment

7. is zich bewust van de behoefte aan meer flexibiliteit bij de toewijzing van middelen in het kader van bepaalde programma's, maar benadrukt dat dit geen belemmering mag vormen voor de beleidsdoelstellingen op lange termijn, de voorspelbaarheid van financiering of de prerogatieven van het Parlement; onderstreept dat het Parlement en de Raad gezamenlijk moeten beslissen over de toewijzing van middelen aan specifieke beleidsdoelstellingen, ***die te allen tijde aanvullend dienen te zijn in het kader van het subsidiariteitsprincipe;***

Or. nl

Amendment 196
Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises the need for **enhanced** flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, **but stresses that this** must not compromise long-term policy objectives, **funding predictability** or Parliament's prerogatives; **underscores** that the allocation of funds **to specific policy objectives** is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; **deplores the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose crisis response;**

Amendment

7. Recognises the need for **limited** flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes **in response to exceptional and unforeseen circumstances, while stressing that such flexibility** must not compromise **funding predictability**, long-term policy objectives or Parliament's prerogatives; **recalls** that the allocation of funds is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; **underlines that any special instruments must be strictly temporary, clearly circumscribed and compatible with budgetary discipline and the integrity of the MFF ceilings;**

Or. en

Amendment 197
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources **within certain programmes**, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council; deplores the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one dedicated to ensuring

Amendment

7. Recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources , but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability **and transparency** or Parliament's prerogatives; underscores that the allocation of funds to specific policy objectives is to be decided jointly by Parliament and the Council **in codecision**; deplores the fact that the indicative nomenclature proposed in the programme legislation is woefully insufficient; reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to include two special instruments – one

solidarity in the event of natural disasters and one for general-purpose *crisis response*;

dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters and *humanitarian aid (crisis response) and one for general-purpose*;

Or. en

Amendment 198
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Calls for the creation, within the next MFF, of a dedicated Defence Emergency Revision Clause to be activated in the event of a major defence crisis, including armed aggression against a Member-State of the Union; stresses that this revision clause must be strictly purpose-bound and time-limited, allow rapid mobilisation of additional funding to protect the European citizens and sustain critical defence and security needs. This review shall, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of MFF Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Underlines the importance of the proposed Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters as a special instrument within the future Multiannual Financial

Framework, given the increasing frequency and severity of natural and climate-related disasters affecting many Member States; stresses that a dedicated and flexible Union-level instrument is essential to ensure a timely, coordinated and effective response, strengthen European solidarity and mitigate the significant social, economic and budgetary impacts of such disasters on affected regions and national authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 200
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 A. Insta a que no QFP 28-34 o princípio da coesão económica, social e territorial seja definido como princípio horizontal do orçamento a longo prazo da UE, impondo a avaliação de impacto na coesão entre e dentro dos Estados-Membros de todas as despesas, políticas ou acções, com o objectivo de promover uma efectiva convergência no progresso económico e social;

Or. pt

Amendment 201
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Underlines that any flexibility to respond to unforeseen challenges should not undermine the strategic vision of

cohesion policy and its traditional role as a long-term investment policy;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Stresses that the MFF architecture must ensure clear, separate budget lines for key priorities to guarantee predictability, transparency and parliamentary scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 203

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 B. Defende que deverá ser garantida a adequação dos fundos da UE às necessidades, prioridades e estratégias de desenvolvimento de cada Estado-Membro; rejeita, por isso, mecanismos de «condicionalidade» ou a lógica de financiamento de «reformas» pré-determinadas pela UE, transversal a vários instrumentos e políticas, com particular incidência na atribuição de fundos; rejeita qualquer ligação entre a aplicação e desembolso dos fundos e os instrumentos da Governação Económica, em especial o Semestre Europeu e as suas recomendações por país;

Or. pt

Amendment 204

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. betont, dass die Achtung der Werte und Grundrechte der Union eine wesentliche Voraussetzung für den Zugang zu EU-Mitteln darstellt, und ist der Ansicht, dass es für den Schutz der finanziellen Interessen der Union unerlässlich ist, dass die Rechtsstaatlichkeit auf nationaler Ebene geachtet wird; fordert, dass Dopplungen oder Überschneidungen zwischen bestehenden und künftigen Rechtsvorschriften vermieden werden, insbesondere im Hinblick auf den Vorschlag zu den Plänen für nationale und regionale Partnerschaften, in dem solche Überschneidungen festgestellt werden, und dass im Rahmen der Konditionalitätsverordnung² ein einheitlicher, kohärenter und umfassender Rahmen für alle EU-Finanzierungsprogramme sichergestellt wird;

entfällt

² Verordnung (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 des Europäischen Parlaments und des Rates vom 16. Dezember 2020 über eine allgemeine Konditionalitätsregelung zum Schutz des Haushalts der Union (ABl. L 433I vom 22.12.2020, S. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).

Or. de

Amendment 205

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests depends on respect for the rule of law at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular for the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation² ;

deleted

² Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 206
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. souligne que le respect des valeurs de l'Union et des droits fondamentaux est une condition préalable essentielle pour accéder aux fonds de l'Union et estime que la protection des intérêts financiers de l'Union dépend du respect de l'état de droit au niveau national; demande que

8. demande que tout doublon ou chevauchement entre la législation existante et future soit évité, en particulier pour la proposition relative aux PNRR, où de tels chevauchements sont observés, et qu'un cadre unifié, cohérent et complet soit garanti pour l'ensemble des programmes

tout doublon ou chevauchement entre la législation existante et future soit évité, en particulier pour la proposition relative aux PNRR, où de tels chevauchements sont observés, et qu'un cadre unifié, cohérent et complet soit garanti pour l'ensemble des programmes de financement de l'Union, *sous l'égide du règlement sur la conditionnalité liée à l'état de droit*² ;

de financement de l'Union ;

² *Règlement (UE, Euratom) 2020/2092 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 16 décembre 2020 relatif à un régime général de conditionnalité pour la protection du budget de l'Union (JO L 433 I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).*

Or. fr

Amendment 207

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests *depends on* respect for the rule of law at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular *for* the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation² ;

Amendment

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the *effective* protection of the Union's financial interests *is intrinsically linked to the* respect for the rule of law *also* at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular *in light of* the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework *for the application of rule of law related budget protection measures* across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation^[1], *the*

Financial Regulation, and the Charter, while ensuring legal certainty, transparency, consistency of application and full parliamentary scrutiny

² *Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).*

Or. en

Amendment 208
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests depends on respect for the rule of law at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular for the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation² ;

² Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of

Amendment

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests depends on respect for the rule of law at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular for the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation²; ***calls for binding measures that ensure that regions, municipalities and cities, are not penalised directly or indirectly for failure by national authorities to comply with the Conditionality Regulation;***

² Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of

the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).

the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 209

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. onderstreept dat de eerbiediging van de **waarden en** grondrechten **van de Unie** een essentiële voorwaarde is om toegang te krijgen tot EU-middelen en is van mening dat de bescherming van de financiële belangen van de Unie afhangt van de eerbiediging van de rechtsstaat op nationaal niveau; dringt erop aan dubbel werk of overlappingsen tussen bestaande en toekomstige wetgeving te voorkomen, met name voor het voorstel inzake de plannen voor nationaal en regionaal partnerschap waar overlappingsen worden vastgesteld, en een uniform, samenhangend en alomvattend kader te waarborgen voor alle financieringsprogramma's van de EU, **onder de paraplu van de verordening inzake conditionaliteit met betrekking tot de rechtsstaat²** ;

² Verordening (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 van het Europees Parlement en de Raad van 16 december 2020 betreffende een algemeen conditionaliteitsregime ter bescherming van de Uniebegroting (PB L 433I van 22.12.2020, blz. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).

Amendment

8. onderstreept dat de eerbiediging van de **universele** grondrechten een essentiële voorwaarde is om toegang te krijgen tot EU-middelen en is van mening dat de bescherming van de financiële belangen van de Unie afhangt van de eerbiediging van de **duidelijk gedefinieerde** rechtsstaat op nationaal niveau; dringt erop aan dubbel werk of overlappingsen tussen bestaande en toekomstige wetgeving te voorkomen, met name voor het voorstel inzake de plannen voor nationaal en regionaal partnerschap waar overlappingsen worden vastgesteld, en een uniform, samenhangend en alomvattend kader te waarborgen voor alle financieringsprogramma's van de EU;

Or. nl

Amendment 210
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests ***depends on*** respect for the rule of law at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps ***between existing and future*** legislation to be avoided, in particular ***for*** the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, ***under the umbrella of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation²*** ;

² *Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 433I, 22.12.2020, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2020/2092/oj>).*

Amendment

8. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds and believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests ***is intrinsically linked to the*** respect for the rule of law ***also*** at national level; calls for any duplication or overlaps legislation to be avoided, in particular ***in light of*** the proposal on the NRPPs where such overlaps are observed, and to ensure a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 211
Moritz Körner, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. *Recalls that the Rule of Law*

Conditionality Regulation provides that final recipients should not be deprived of the benefits of EU funds in the event of sanctions being applied to their government; believes that, to date, this provision has not been effective; expresses concern that the suspension of EU funds could be misused by sanctioned national governments as a political weapon to disenfranchise civil society, as well as local and regional authorities; stresses the importance of applying a smart conditionality approach so that beneficiaries are not penalised because of their government's actions; calls on the Commission to adopt additional measures ensuring that local and regional authorities, civil society, and other beneficiaries can continue to benefit from Union funding in cases of breaches of the rule of law by national governments without weakening the application of the regulation; believes that these additional measures should include reallocating de-committed funds into financing schemes directly managed by the European Commission; underlines that in order to avoid an excessive administrative burden on the Commission, the role of managing authorities should be assumed by competent regional and local authorities, by semi-independent bodies such as JASPERS or the European Investment Bank, or by independent professional fund operators with the necessary organisational capacity, selected through competitive public tenders, such as firms providing consulting and technical support for international development projects;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraphe 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 bis. *Note que les Frères Musulmans et le Corps des Gardiens de la révolution islamique d'Iran sont impliqués dans des stratégies d'entrisme, de déstabilisation et de financement du terrorisme, constituant une menace grave pour l'état de droit, la sécurité ainsi que les intérêts stratégiques et financiers de l'Union ; invite dès lors le Conseil à adopter une position claire face à leur dangerosité en procédant à leur inscription sur la liste européenne des organisations terroristes et à leur appliquer l'ensemble des mesures restrictions et sanctions y afférentes ; souligne enfin que le budget de l'Union ne saurait, directement ou indirectement, bénéficier à des entités ou réseaux liés à ces organisations promouvant des idéologies contraires aux valeurs fondamentales de l'Union et que la Commission doit assurer un contrôle renforcé afin d'exclure toute possibilité de captation de fonds européens.*

Or. fr

Amendment 213

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraphe 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 bis. *Exprime sa vive préoccupation face à l'intention de la Commission de conditionner le soutien agricole au respect du règlement relatif au mécanisme de conditionnalité liée à l'état de droit ; rappelle que des précédents ont mis en évidence un manque d'objectivité de la Commission à l'égard de certains États membres ; avertit que de telles décisions pourraient avoir un impact direct et*

***négalif sur les revenus des agriculteurs
dans les États membres concernés;***

Or. fr

Amendment 214
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Insists that under no circumstances, beneficiaries of Union funding should use such funding for detailed lobbying activities directed towards Union institutions, as they create reputational damage and risk upsetting the institutional balance in the Union; welcomes the Commissions new approach to protect the EU budget;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. Regrets the fact that the Commission assesses the application of the rule of law by the Member States only, and not by the EU institutions themselves; underlines that there are plenty of alleged breaches of the rule of law by the EU institutions, not least by the Commission, that require proper scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 216
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 a. *Calls for the establishment of a social conditionality clause as part of the thematic enabling conditions in the proposed NRPP Regulation (COM(2025) 0558), making the allocation and disbursement of funds conditional on adequate levels of social and green investment;*

Or. en

Amendment 217
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8 b. *Rejects the continuation of the current 'rule of law conditionality system', moreover the further strengthening and extending conditionalities; reminds that any initiative on reinforcing or extending conditionalities goes against simplification and competitiveness by making it more difficult and time consuming for Member States to receive funding;*

Or. en

Amendment 218
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

9. bekräftigt, dass der Unionshaushalt nachhaltige und widerstandsfähige Einnahmen aufweisen muss, welche der Ausgabenseite entsprechen sollten; begrüßt, dass die Kommission darum bemüht ist, neue Eigenmittel auszumachen und gewisse Kalibrierungen in Bezug auf traditionelle Eigenmittel vorzuschlagen; unterstützt den von der Kommission vorgeschlagenen Ansatz eines weiter gefassten Spektrums von Eigenmitteln und fordert den Rat auf, rasch eine Einigung über neue Eigenmittel zu erzielen, um langfristig für Haushaltssicherheit zu sorgen; ist der Ansicht, dass – für den Fall, dass andere vorgeschlagene Eigenmittel von den Mitgliedstaaten nicht unterstützt werden, – geprüft werden sollte, welches Potenzial eine Steuer auf digitale Dienstleistungen als mögliche Einnahmenquelle bieten würde; nimmt den Vorschlag der Kommission für einen auf einer außerordentlichen Mittelaufnahme gründenden, neuartigen Krisenmechanismus zur Kenntnis, über den die Union rasch auf unerwartete Entwicklungen reagieren kann;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 219

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

9. réitère la nécessité de recettes durables et résilientes pour le budget de l'Union, qui devraient correspondre au volet des dépenses; salue les efforts de la Commission pour identifier de nouvelles ressources propres, ainsi que pour

supprimé

proposer certains ajustements aux ressources propres traditionnelles; soutient l'approche du panier élargi proposée par la Commission et invite le Conseil à parvenir rapidement à un accord sur les nouvelles ressources propres afin d'assurer une sécurité budgétaire à long terme; estime que le potentiel de recettes d'une taxe sur les services numériques devrait être exploré comme solution possible, si d'autres ressources propres proposées ne recueillaient pas le soutien des États membres; prend acte de la proposition de la Commission concernant un nouveau type de mécanisme de crise fondé sur des emprunts extraordinaires, qui offre à l'Union la capacité de réagir rapidement aux évolutions inattendues;

Or. fr

Amendment 220

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. wijst nogmaals op de noodzaak van duurzame en veerkrachtige inkomsten voor de begroting van de Unie die in overeenstemming moeten zijn met de uitgavenzijde; is ingenomen met de inspanningen van de Commissie om nieuwe eigen middelen vast te stellen en bepaalde aanpassingen aan de traditionele eigen middelen voor te stellen; steunt de door de Commissie voorgestelde bredere aanpak en verzoekt de Raad snel een akkoord te bereiken over nieuwe eigen middelen om de begrotingszekerheid op lange termijn veilig te stellen; is van mening dat de inkomsten van een digitaaldienstenbelasting als oplossing moeten worden onderzocht, mochten

Schrappen

*andere voorstellen voor eigen middelen
geen steun krijgen van de lidstaten; neemt
kennis van het voorstel van de Commissie
voor een nieuw soort crisismechanisme op
basis van buitengewone leningen,
waarmee de Unie snel zou kunnen
reageren op onverwachte ontwikkelingen;*

Or. nl

Amendment 221
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 222

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan,

Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. ribadisce la necessità di entrate sostenibili e resilienti per il bilancio dell'Unione, che dovrebbero corrispondere al versante delle spese; accoglie con favore gli sforzi della Commissione volti a individuare nuove risorse proprie e a proporre alcuni adeguamenti per le risorse proprie tradizionali; sostiene l'approccio "a paniere" più ampio proposto dalla Commissione e invita il Consiglio a giungere rapidamente a un accordo su nuove risorse proprie al fine di garantire la certezza di bilancio a lungo termine; ritiene che il potenziale di gettito di un'imposta sui servizi digitali dovrebbe essere esplorato come possibile soluzione qualora altre risorse proprie proposte non ottengano sostegno presso gli Stati membri; prende atto della proposta della Commissione relativa a un nuovo tipo di meccanismo di crisi basato su prestiti straordinari che offre all'Unione la capacità di rispondere rapidamente a sviluppi imprevisti;

Amendment

9. ribadisce la necessità di entrate sostenibili e resilienti per il bilancio dell'Unione, che dovrebbero corrispondere al versante delle spese; accoglie con favore gli sforzi della Commissione volti a individuare nuove risorse proprie e a proporre alcuni adeguamenti per le risorse proprie tradizionali; sostiene l'approccio "a paniere" più ampio proposto dalla Commissione e invita il Consiglio a giungere rapidamente a un accordo su nuove risorse proprie al fine di garantire la certezza di bilancio a lungo termine; ritiene che il potenziale di gettito di un'imposta sui servizi digitali dovrebbe essere esplorato come possibile soluzione qualora altre risorse proprie proposte non ottengano sostegno presso gli Stati membri; prende atto della proposta della Commissione relativa a un nuovo tipo di meccanismo di crisi basato su prestiti straordinari che offre all'Unione la capacità di rispondere rapidamente a sviluppi imprevisti; ***ritiene che le nuove risorse proprie previste dalla Commissione, con l'applicazione di nuove tasse europee sulle medie imprese, costituiscano un grave errore di valutazione e contraddicano le proposte sulla competitività cui la Commissione ha dichiarato di ispirarsi; ritiene che le uscite del nuovo QFP possono essere finanziate con safe assets europei, contraendo un debito comune a fronte di un finanziamento di scopo;***

Or. it

Amendment 223
Rasmus Nordqvist, Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's **efforts to identify** new own resources, as well as to **put** forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, **should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes** the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Amendment

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; **reiterates its longstanding call for the introduction of new genuine own resources not only for NextGenerationEU debt repayment but also to finance the Union's policies;** welcomes the Commission's **proposal from June identifying** new own resources, as well as to **putting** forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on **a basket of new own resources of at least 60 billion/year** in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution **to ensure a basket of the required size, as well as EU-wide a kerosene tax, an international shipping tax, a tax on crypto assets, a tax on ultra-net-high net worth individuals or gambling; furthermore, welcomes** the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable

Amendment

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable

and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; **welcomes** the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; **supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty**; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; **notes** the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources;; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; **considers joint EU borrowing undesirable as it comes with volatile and unpredictable interest costs that imposes additional costs and uncertainty on taxpayers, all the while undermining fiscal discipline and economic solidity; highlights that fiscal responsibility entails that unforeseen expenses should be financed by reprioritising existing financial resources and resisting the reflex to ask for higher contributions from tax payers;**

Or. en

Amendment 225 Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. ponownie podkreśla potrzebę zrównoważonych i odpornych dochodów w budżecie Unii, które powinny odpowiadać stronie wydatków; z **zadowoleniem przyjmuje wysiłki Komisji na rzecz określenia nowych zasobów własnych, a także zaproponowania pewnych kalibracji tradycyjnych zasobów własnych; popiera zaproponowane przez Komisję szersze podejście oparte na koszyku i wzywa Radę do szybkiego**

Amendment

9. ponownie podkreśla potrzebę zrównoważonych i odpornych dochodów w budżecie Unii, które powinny odpowiadać stronie wydatków; **sprzeciwia się wprowadzaniu jakichkolwiek** nowych zasobów własnych, **ponieważ w konsekwencji płatnościami na nowe zasoby własne zostaną obciążeni obywatele oraz firmy, które i tak borykają się ze skutkami unijnej polityki klimatycznej oraz roszącymi cenami**

osiągnięcia porozumienia w sprawie nowych zasobów własnych w celu zapewnienia długoterminowej pewności budżetowej; uważa, że należy zbadać potencjał dochodowy podatku od usług cyfrowych jako możliwe rozwiązanie, jeżeli inne proponowane zasoby własne nie uzyskają poparcia państw członkowskich; odnotowuje wniosek Komisji dotyczący nowego rodzaju mechanizmu kryzysowego opartego na pożyczkach nadzwyczajnych, który oferuje Unii możliwość szybkiego reagowania na nieoczekiwane wydarzenia;

podstawowych produktów; sprzeciwia się wnioskowi Komisji dotyczącemu nowego rodzaju mechanizmu kryzysowego opartego na pożyczkach nadzwyczajnych; wzywa do kompleksowego przeglądu wydatków w celu ich racjonalizacji;

Or. pl

Amendment 226

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services *tax* should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Amendment

9. ***Reaffirms Parliament's strong commitment to the introduction of new own resources, to ensure a sustainable, long-term financing of the EU budget;*** Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, ***in order to cover at least the repayment of the NGEU debt,*** as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services *levy* should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis

mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Or. en

Amendment 227
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reitera a necessidade de receitas sustentáveis e resilientes para o orçamento da União, que deverão corresponder ao lado das despesas; ***congratula-se com os esforços envidados pela Comissão no sentido de identificar novos recursos próprios***, bem como ***de propor determinadas calibrações dos recursos próprios tradicionais; apoia a abordagem proposta pela Comissão que prevê um cabaz mais alargado e insta o Conselho a chegar rapidamente a um acordo sobre novos recursos próprios, a fim de proporcionar segurança orçamental a longo prazo; considera que o potencial de receitas inerente a um imposto sobre os serviços digitais deve ser explorado enquanto solução possível, caso outros recursos próprios propostos não angariem o apoio dos Estados-Membros; regista a proposta da Comissão relativa a um novo tipo de mecanismo de crise baseado na contração extraordinária de empréstimos que garante à União uma capacidade de resposta rápida a desenvolvimentos inesperados;***

Amendment

9. Reitera a necessidade de receitas sustentáveis e resilientes para o orçamento da União, que deverão corresponder ao lado das despesas; ***considera que a dimensão do orçamento a longo-prazo da União Europeia e as suas fontes de financiamento devem estar associadas à sua natureza redistributiva, com base no Rendimento Nacional Bruto de cada Estado-Membro***, bem como ***articuladas com os objectivos de coesão económica, social e territorial e as necessidades, prioridades e estratégias de desenvolvimento dos Estados-Membros; insta, por isso, a que, durante todo o processo de negociação, se mantenha a eliminação dos abatimentos a determinados Estados-Membros; rejeita a proposta de novos recursos próprios apresentada pela Comissão Europeia;***

Or. pt

Amendment 228
Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; ***considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States***; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Amendment

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reitera la necesidad de unos ingresos sostenibles y resilientes para el presupuesto de la Unión que se correspondan con ***la parte de los gastos; acoge con satisfacción los esfuerzos de la Comisión por definir nuevos recursos propios, así como por presentar determinadas calibraciones de los recursos propios tradicionales; apoya el enfoque basado en una «cesta» más***

Amendment

9. Reitera la necesidad de unos ingresos sostenibles y resilientes para el presupuesto de la Unión que se correspondan con ***las prioridades estratégicas y las necesidades de financiación identificadas; expresa su deseo de apostar por una mayor eficiencia presupuestaria, en coherencia con el objetivo de simplificación defendido*** por la Comisión, ***así como la realización de***

amplio propuesto por la Comisión y pide al Consejo que *alcance rápidamente un* acuerdo sobre nuevos recursos propios *con el fin de proporcionar seguridad presupuestaria a largo plazo; considera que el potencial de ingresos de un impuesto sobre los servicios digitales debe explorarse como posible solución, en caso de que otros recursos propios propuestos no obtengan apoyo entre los Estados miembros*; toma nota de la propuesta de la Comisión de un nuevo tipo de mecanismo de crisis basado en empréstitos extraordinarios que ofrezca a la Unión la capacidad de responder rápidamente a acontecimientos imprevistos;

evaluaciones de impacto de cada uno de los programas europeos y sus correspondientes partidas presupuestarias, con el objetivo de alcanzar esa verdadera simplificación y eficiencia presupuestaria que nos permitan dar respuesta a las verdaderas prioridades de la Unión; pide al Consejo que *desbloquee el acuerdo alcanzado en 2020* sobre nuevos recursos propios *para poder así hacer frente a la deuda de los fondos Next Generation que comenzaremos a pagar en este nuevo Marco Financiero*; toma nota de la propuesta de la Comisión de un nuevo tipo de mecanismo de crisis basado en empréstitos extraordinarios que ofrezca a la Unión la capacidad de responder rápidamente a acontecimientos imprevistos;

Or. es

Amendment 230

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; *notes the Commission's proposal for a novel*

Amendment

9. *Strongly* reiterates the *crucial* need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax, *the extension of CBAM or other possible revenues sources* should be explored as a possible *additional* solution, should other proposed own resources not

type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

gain support among Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Amendment

9. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on *genuine* new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;

Or. en

Amendment 232

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Reiterates **the need for** sustainable and **resilient** revenue **for** the Union budget **that should match the expenditure side; welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as to put forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission and calls on the Council to swiftly reach an agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; considers that the revenue potential of a digital services tax should be explored as a possible solution, should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States; notes the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments;**

Amendment

9. Reiterates **that Union expenditure must be matched by** sustainable and **predictable** revenue **in accordance with the Treaties; recalls that** the Union budget **must remain balanced pursuant to Article 310 TFEU; expresses opposition, as a general principle, to the introduction of new Union own resources and Union-level taxes, and stresses that any new revenue sources must not be used to justify permanent budgetary expansion or borrowing at Union level; underlines that any exceptional financing arrangements must remain strictly temporary and must not create precedents for future Union financing; considers, however, that a narrowly defined digital services tax may constitute a justified exception, with a view to ensuring fair taxation of digital business models and a level playing field within the internal market;**

Or. en

Amendment 233

Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. réitère la nécessité de recettes durables et résilientes pour le budget de l'Union, qui devraient correspondre au volet des dépenses; salue les efforts de la Commission pour identifier de nouvelles ressources propres, ainsi que pour proposer certains ajustements aux ressources propres traditionnelles; soutient l'approche du panier élargi proposée par la Commission et invite le Conseil à parvenir rapidement à un accord sur les nouvelles ressources propres afin d'assurer une sécurité budgétaire à long terme; estime que le potentiel de recettes d'une taxe sur les

Amendment

9. réitère la nécessité de recettes durables et résilientes pour le budget de l'Union, qui devraient correspondre au volet des dépenses; salue les efforts de la Commission pour identifier de nouvelles ressources propres, ainsi que pour proposer certains ajustements aux ressources propres traditionnelles; soutient l'approche du panier élargi proposée par la Commission et invite le Conseil à parvenir rapidement à un accord sur les nouvelles ressources propres afin d'assurer une sécurité budgétaire à long terme; estime que le potentiel de recettes d'une taxe sur les

services numériques devrait être exploré comme solution possible, si d'autres ressources propres proposées ne recueillaient pas le soutien des États membres; ***prend acte*** de la proposition de la Commission concernant un nouveau type de mécanisme de crise fondé sur des emprunts extraordinaires, qui ***offre à*** l'Union ***la capacité de réagir rapidement aux évolutions inattendues***;

services numériques devrait être exploré comme solution possible, si d'autres ressources propres proposées ne recueillaient pas le soutien des États membres; ***s'inquiète*** de la proposition de la Commission concernant un nouveau type de mécanisme de crise fondé sur des emprunts extraordinaires, qui ***risque de mettre à mal la soutenabilité budgétaire*** de l'Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 234

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Takes note of the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; Insists Parliament must have scrutiny powers over this mechanism;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Calls for the establishment of a "fairness correction mechanism" for Member States that are high net contributors to the EU budget to ensure a fair net distribution of financial resources among Member States;

Amendment 236

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Stands ready to work constructively and engage in meaningful negotiations with the Council and Commission to deliver a long-term budget that addresses the Union's needs; highlights that the post-2027 MFF is being constructed in a far from 'business as usual' context and takes seriously its institutional role as enshrined in the Treaties; underlines that it will only approve a long-term budget that is fit for purpose for the Union in a changing world; calls for an agreement to be reached between the institutions by the end of 2026 and for the swift adoption of the MFF to enable timely implementation of spending programmes from 1 January 2028;

Amendment

10. Stands ready to work constructively and engage in meaningful negotiations with the Council and Commission to deliver a long-term budget that addresses the Union's needs; highlights that the post-2027 MFF is being constructed in a far from 'business as usual' context and takes seriously its institutional role as enshrined in the Treaties; underlines that it will only approve a long-term budget that is fit for purpose for the Union in a changing world ***including the revenue side***; calls for an agreement to be reached between the institutions by the end of 2026 and for the swift adoption of the MFF ***and the sectorial legislation*** to enable timely implementation of spending programmes from 1 January 2028;

Or. en

Amendment 237

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Stresses that the future European Competitiveness Fund, in complementarity with the Union's research and innovation framework programmes, should provide adequate support for European competence centres

and strategic innovation ecosystems, including the European Cybersecurity Competence Centre (ECCC), recognising their key role in strengthening the Union's technological sovereignty, innovation capacity and industrial competitiveness; underlines that coordinated and complementary funding from competitiveness, judicial and research instruments is essential to maximise European added value, avoid fragmentation and support the participation of a broad range of stakeholders across Member States and regions;

Or. en

Amendment 238
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Emphasises the importance of financing decarbonisation at EU level and of supporting all zero- and low-carbon energy sources, including renewable energy, nuclear energy, energy storage, carbon capture and storage (CCS), carbon capture and utilisation (CCU), and other net-zero energy technologies.

Or. en

Amendment 239
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 b. Stresses that the Connecting

Europe Facility should be able to support, within its objectives and eligibility criteria, infrastructure and connectivity projects contributing to security, resilience and stability in strategically important regions, including the establishment and development of a Black Sea Maritime Security Hub; underlines that enhanced connectivity, digital and energy infrastructure in the Black Sea region is essential to strengthen the Union's security, protect critical infrastructure and reinforce cooperation with Member States and partner countries facing heightened geopolitical and security challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 240
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 c. Underlines the need to establish a special EU adjustment instrument to address the socio-economic impacts of global challenges, including trade disruptions, geopolitical conflicts and other external shocks affecting the Union; stresses that such an instrument should be mobilised through the flexibility mechanisms of the MFF, in close cooperation with the European Parliament, in order to provide timely, targeted and compensatory support to Member States, regions and sectors disproportionately affected, without undermining long-term investment priorities; emphasises that a dedicated adjustment instrument would enhance the Union's resilience, strategic autonomy and capacity to respond to unforeseen global developments, while preserving cohesion and fairness across the Single

Market;

Or. en

Amendment 241
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 d. Stresses that the future MFF must provide dedicated, adequate and traceable funding to ensure that the EU Roma Strategic Framework for Equality, Inclusion and Participation is backed by sufficient resources, in particular to tackle poverty, support children in vulnerable situations and strengthen local community based projects, including housing and access to essential services;

Or. en

Amendment 242
Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 e. Stresses that the Union must further strengthen its own capacity to prevent and combat organised crime, including as it relates to drugs and human trafficking, and illicit financial flows; calls, therefore, for the next MFF to provide dedicated, adequate and predictable funding for the relevant EU bodies and cooperation instruments, including Europol, Eurojust, Frontex, the EU Drugs Agency, CEPOL, OLAF, as well as AMLA and the EPPO; and underlines, to that aim, that support to the

UN and its specialised agencies should be maintained and reinforced where appropriate, in a complementary manner, to maximise impact through international cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Demands that*** the European Parliament ***be involved in all the stages of the MFF process***, as required by Article 312(5) TFEU; considers that the Council ***must take due account of Parliament's position set out here to secure Parliament's*** consent in accordance with Article 312 TFEU;

Amendment

12. ***Reminds that throughout the procedure leading to the adoption of the financial framework***, the European Parliament, ***the Council and the Commission shall take any measure necessary to facilitate its adoption***, as required by Article 312(5) TFEU; considers that the Council ***shall act unanimously after obtaining the consent of the European Parliament, which shall be given by a majority of its component members*** in accordance with Article 312 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 244
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12 a. Expects that all elements of the MFF package, including the MFF figures, should remain on the negotiating table until a final agreement is reached between Council and Parliament; recalls its long-standing position that, in the

process leading to an MFF agreement, the European Council cannot decide irrevocably on a number of elements, including the legislative provisions of EU programmes that will be decided under the ordinary legislative procedure; regrets that the MFF ‘negotiating boxes’ presented by the Council presidency follow the same logic as in the past and reiterates its intention to exercise fully its prerogatives as an equal arm of the legislative and budgetary authority;

Or. en

Amendment 245

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. bekräftigt seinen Standpunkt, dass in einer sich rasch wandelnden Welt, in der die Menschen zu Recht mehr von der Union erwarten und in der die Union mit immer mehr Krisen konfrontiert ist, der nächste MFR im Vergleich zum Zeitraum 2021-2027 mit mehr Mitteln ausgestattet werden muss und dabei eine Abkehr von dem historisch restriktiven und selbst auferlegten Wert von 1 % des BNE vollzogen werden muss; bedauert, dass sich die Kommission – anstatt einen wirklich ehrgeizigen Vorschlag vorzulegen – hinter einer Gesamtsumme von 2 Billionen EUR zu jeweiligen Preisen verbirgt, was tatsächlich lediglich 1,763 Billionen EUR zu konstanten Preisen von 2025 bzw. 1,26 % des BNE der EU entspricht, wobei 0,11 % des BNE für Rückzahlungen im Rahmen von NGEU vorgesehen sind; bedauert, dass dies einer symbolischen Erhöhung der Mittel für EU-Programme um weniger als 0,01 BNE-Prozentpunkte gleichkommt, was real ein Einfrieren des Haushalts

entfällt

bedeutet; betont, dass die Ausgabenkraft der Union insgesamt mit dem Auslaufen der Aufbau- und Resilienzfazilität weiter deutlich zurückgehen wird; ist der Auffassung, dass es der EU auf der Grundlage des genannten vorgeschlagenen Umfangs des MFR nicht möglich sein wird, ihren Verpflichtungen nachzukommen und auf die bevorstehenden bedeutenden geopolitischen Herausforderungen zu reagieren;

Or. de

Amendment 246

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. rappelle que, dans un *monde en mutation rapide où les citoyens ont, à juste titre, des attentes plus élevées à l'égard de l'Union et où celle-ci est confrontée à un nombre croissant de crises*, le prochain CFP doit être doté de ressources accrues par rapport à la période 2021-2027, en s'écartant du niveau historiquement restrictif et auto-imposé de 1 % du RNB; regrette que la Commission, au lieu de présenter une proposition véritablement ambitieuse, se retranche derrière un chiffre global de 2 000 milliards d'EUR en prix courants, qui ne représente en réalité que 1 763 milliards d'EUR en prix constants de 2025, soit 1,26 % du RNB de l'Union, dont 0,11 % alloués aux remboursements de NGEU; déplore que cela se traduise par une augmentation symbolique de moins de 0,01 point de pourcentage du RNB pour les programmes de l'Union, figeant de fait le budget en termes réels; souligne que l'extinction progressive de la facilité pour la reprise et la résilience (FRR)

Amendment

13. rappelle que, dans un *contexte économique et budgétaire marqué par une forte pression sur les finances publiques nationales*, le prochain CFP ne doit en aucun cas excéder un plafond maximal de 1 % du RNB de l'Union, afin d'éviter une charge budgétaire excessive pesant sur les États membres et, in fine, sur les contribuables européens; souligne que toute augmentation du plafond du CFP au-delà de ce niveau historique risquerait de compromettre la soutenabilité des finances publiques nationales et d'accroître les déséquilibres économiques;

réduira encore substantiellement la capacité de dépense globale de l'Union; estime que le niveau proposé ne permettra pas à l'Union de tenir ses engagements ni de relever les importants défis géopolitiques à venir;

Or. fr

Amendment 247

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. ***Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI’; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) will further substantially reduce the Union’s overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead;***

Amendment

13. ***Reiterates the need for enhanced flexibility in the next MFF compared to the 2021-2027 period, in a fast changing world where people rightly expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises; recalls that the Recovery and Resilience Facility was conceived as a temporary and exceptional instrument and that its phasing-out must not be used to justify the creation of permanent Union-level spending instruments or a structural expansion of the Union budget;***

Amendment 248**Auke Zijlstra****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 13***Motion for a resolution*

13. herhaalt zijn standpunt dat, in een snel veranderende wereld **waarin mensen terecht meer van de Unie verwachten en de Unie met een toenemend aantal crises wordt geconfronteerd**, het volgende MFK moet worden voorzien van **meer** middelen dan die voor de periode 2021-2027, **waarbij wordt afgestapt van het historisch restrictieve, zelfopgelegde niveau van 1 % van het bni**; betreurt dat de Commissie, **in plaats van een echt ambitieus voorstel in te dienen, zich verschuilt achter een totaalcijfer van 2 biljoen EUR in lopende prijzen, wat in werkelijkheid slechts neerkomt op 1,763 biljoen EUR in constante prijzen van 2025 of 1,26 % van het bni van de EU, waarbij 0,11 % wordt toegekend aan terugbetalingen in het kader van NGEU**; betreurt dat dit leidt tot een **symbolische** stijging van **minder dan 0,01 procentpunt van het bni voor EU-programma's, waardoor de begroting in reële termen wordt bevroren; benadrukt dat door de uitfasering van de herstel- en veerkrachtfaciliteit de totale koopkracht van de Unie aanzienlijk zal blijven dalen**; is van oordeel dat **dit voorgestelde niveau de Unie niet in staat zal stellen haar toezeggingen na te komen en op de toekomstige grote geopolitieke veranderingen in te spelen**;

Amendment

13. herhaalt zijn standpunt dat, in een snel veranderende wereld het volgende MFK moet worden voorzien van **verstandiger aangewende** middelen dan die voor de periode 2021-2027; betreurt **ten zeerste het door de Commissie genoemde bedrag van maar liefst bijna 2 biljoen EUR in lopende prijzen**; betreurt dat dit leidt tot een stijging van **lasten voor de Europese burgers, die onvoldoende resultaat hebben gezien van de inspanningen tijdens de periode 2021-2027, en zal leiden tot een enorme toename aan lasten richting burgers en bedrijfsleven**; is van oordeel dat de Unie **geen belang heeft bij het spelen van een grote geopolitieke rol, maar dat prudentie en focus op de eigen burgers en bedrijfsleven veel verstandiger is**;

Amendment 249**Matjaž Nemeč**

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI’; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) will further substantially reduce the Union’s overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead;

Amendment

13. Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of ***interconnected*** crises ***and global challenges***, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI’; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) will further substantially reduce the Union’s overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead; ***underlines that inadequate resourcing also limits the Union’s capacity to act effectively in an increasingly competitive global environment and to address external risks that have direct consequences for the Union’s stability and prosperity;***

Or. en

Amendment 250
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI’; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) will further substantially reduce the Union’s overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead;

Amendment

13. Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI’; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) will further substantially reduce the Union’s overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead; ***recalls that the Union’s level is the appropriate scale to handle those emerging challenges;***

Or. en

Amendment 251

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly

Amendment

13. Recalls its position that, ‘in a fast changing world where people rightly

expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI'; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) *will* further substantially *reduce* the Union's overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead;

expect more from the Union and where the Union is confronted with a growing number of crises, the next MFF must be endowed with increased resources compared to the 2021-2027 period, moving away from the historically restrictive, self-imposed level of 1 % of GNI'; regrets that the Commission, instead of presenting a genuinely ambitious proposal, hides behind a headline figure of EUR 2 trillion in current prices, which in reality amounts to only EUR 1.763 trillion in 2025 constant prices, equal to 1.26 % of EU GNI, with 0.11 % being allocated to NGEU repayments; deplores that this results in a token increase for EU programmes of less than 0.01 percentage points of GNI, effectively freezing the budget in real terms; highlights that the phasing-out of the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) *in 2026 will have* further substantially *reduced* the Union's overall spending power; considers that this proposed level will not enable the Union to deliver on its commitments or respond to the significant geopolitical challenges ahead;

Or. en

Amendment 252

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 bis. Souligne la nécessité, dans un contexte de contraintes budgétaires accrues, de procéder à une priorisation rigoureuse des dépenses de l'Union afin de préserver le financement adéquat des politiques historiques et structurantes de l'Union européenne ; rappelle que la rubrique 3 « L'Europe dans le monde » connaît une augmentation significative

par rapport au CFP précédent ; estime dès lors qu'un effort particulier d'économies et de rationalisation doit être recherché au sein de cette rubrique, à l'exclusion de l'aide humanitaire ainsi que du soutien apporté à l'Ukraine, afin de garantir la soutenabilité du cadre financier pluriannuel et le maintien des politiques internes de l'Union en termes réels ; souligne en outre que les financements internationaux de l'Union, hors aide humanitaire et soutien à l'Ukraine, devraient être conditionnés à l'existence d'un avantage tangible, mesurable et vérifiable pour les intérêts de l'Union européenne, notamment en matière de stabilité régionale, de gestion des flux migratoires, de sécurité, de résilience économique ou de partenariats stratégiques.

Or. fr

Amendment 253

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 ter. Souligne la nécessité de renforcer l'efficacité et la cohérence du paysage des agences et organismes décentralisés de l'Union, dans un contexte de contraintes budgétaires accrues ; invite la Commission à procéder à une évaluation approfondie et régulière de l'utilité réelle, de la valeur ajoutée européenne et de l'efficacité opérationnelle de ces agences, y compris en examinant les possibilités de mutualisation de leurs fonctions, de leurs ressources et de leurs budgets lorsque cela est pertinent.

Or. fr

Amendment 254

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. fordert, dass der MFR 2028-2034 – ohne Berücksichtigung der Rückzahlungen im Rahmen von NGEU – auf 1,27 % des BNE der EU festgelegt wird; ist der Ansicht, dass der Schuldendienst im Zusammenhang mit NGEU gesondert von der Mittelzuweisung für EU-Programme im Rahmen der künftigen MFR-Gestaltung behandelt werden sollte, wodurch sich der Gesamtbetrag auf 1,38 % des BNE belaufen würde; betont, dass es sich hierbei um den absoluten Mindestbetrag handelt, der notwendig ist, damit die EU ihren Verpflichtungen nachkommen, auf geopolitische Herausforderungen reagieren und ihre strategischen Ziele erreichen kann; **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 255

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. dringt erop aan dat het MFK 2028-2034 wordt vastgesteld op 1,27 % van het bni van de EU, exclusief de terugbetalingen in het kader van NGEU; is van mening dat de schuldaflossing in het kader van NGEU binnen de toekomstige MFK-architectuur afzonderlijk moet worden behandeld van kredieten voor EU-programma's, wat het **Schrappen**

totaalbedrag op 1,38 % van het bni zou brengen; benadrukt dat dit niveau het absolute minimum is om de Unie in staat te stellen haar toezeggingen na te komen, te reageren op geopolitieke uitdagingen en haar strategische doelstellingen te verwezenlijken;

Or. nl

Amendment 256

Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to ***be set at 1.27 % of EU GNI, excluding NGEU repayments; considers that NGEU debt servicing should be treated separately from appropriations for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture bringing the total amount to 1.38 % of GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to deliver on its commitments, respond to geopolitical challenges and achieve its strategic objectives;***

Amendment

14. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to ***remain at 1 % of EU GNI;***

Or. en

Amendment 257

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. demande que le CFP 2028-2034 soit ***fixé à 1,27 %*** du RNB de l'Union, ***hors remboursements de NGEU; estime que le service de la dette de NGEU devrait être traité séparément des crédits destinés***

Amendment

14. demande que le CFP 2028-2034 soit ***strictement limité à 1%*** du RNB de l'Union ***au maximum, niveau historique, en ayant à cœur de réduire les dépenses et la participation des états membres***

aux programmes de l'Union au sein de la future architecture du CFP, portant le montant total à 1,38 % du RNB; souligne que ce niveau constitue un minimum absolu pour permettre à l'Union de tenir ses engagements, de répondre aux défis géopolitiques et d'atteindre ses objectifs stratégiques;

contributeurs nets y compris les remboursements de NextGenerationEU (NGEU), et s'oppose à toute augmentation du plafond du CFP au-delà de ce niveau; souligne que le service de la dette liée à NGEU constitue une obligation financière spécifique qui ne saurait justifier une hausse structurelle du budget de l'Union; estime que le financement de ce service de la dette doit reposer prioritairement sur des économies substantielles, notamment par la suppression, la réduction ou la rationalisation de dépenses nuisibles, inefficaces ou ne relevant pas des compétences de l'Union, conformément aux principes de subsidiarité et de proportionnalité;

Or. fr

Amendment 258

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at **1.27** % of EU GNI, excluding NGEU repayments; considers that NGEU debt servicing should be treated separately from appropriations for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture bringing the total amount to **1.38** % of GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to deliver on its commitments, respond to geopolitical challenges and achieve its strategic objectives;

Amendment

14. Calls for the 2028-2034 MFF to be set at **1.39** % of EU GNI, excluding NGEU repayments; considers that NGEU debt servicing should be treated separately from appropriations for EU programmes within the future MFF architecture bringing the total amount to **1.5** % of GNI; stresses that this level is the absolute minimum to enable the Union to deliver on its commitments, respond to geopolitical challenges **climate and biodiversity crisis as well as the cost of living crisis** and achieve its strategic objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 259

Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. demande que le CFP 2028-2034 soit fixé à 1,27 % du RNB de l'Union, hors remboursements de NGEU; estime que le service de la dette de NGEU devrait être traité séparément des crédits destinés aux programmes de l'Union au sein de la future architecture du CFP, portant le montant total à 1,38 % du RNB; souligne que ce niveau constitue un minimum absolu pour permettre à l'Union de tenir ses engagements, de répondre aux défis géopolitiques et d'atteindre ses objectifs stratégiques;

Amendment

14. demande que le CFP 2028-2034 soit fixé à 1,27 % du RNB de l'Union, hors remboursements de NGEU; estime que le service de la dette de NGEU devrait être traité séparément des crédits destinés aux programmes de l'Union au sein de la future architecture du CFP, portant le montant total à 1,38 % du RNB; souligne que ce niveau constitue un minimum absolu pour permettre à l'Union de tenir ses engagements ***consacrés dans les traités***, de répondre aux défis géopolitiques et d'atteindre ses objectifs stratégiques;

Or. fr

Amendment 260

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Underlines that tackling climate change and the degradation of the environment including biodiversity loss is an essential European public good; stresses that a more ambitious financial commitment is needed in the next MFF in line with the EU's global commitment to address climate change and halt biodiversity loss and the associated investment gaps; reminds that the next MFF will not only have to deliver on the implementation of the 2030 climate, energy and environmental targets, but also on a 2040 framework in view of achieving climate neutrality by 2050;

Amendment 261

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Believes that the present competitiveness and geopolitical challenges can be best met by respecting Member States' competences; believes that - based on the principle of subsidiarity - Member States have the best understanding of the challenges they are facing;

Or. en

Amendment 262

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. fordert in diesem Zusammenhang, dass die Höhe der Mittel für die Programme und politischen Maßnahmen der EU gemäß den Anlagen I und II der vorliegenden Entschließung sichergestellt wird; fordert, dass die einschlägigen Obergrenzen für Mittel für Verpflichtungen und Mittel für Zahlungen entsprechend angepasst werden;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 263

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. dringt er in dit verband op aan dat het financieringsniveau voor EU-programma's en -beleid wordt gewaarborgd, zoals uiteengezet in bijlagen I en II bij deze resolutie; vraagt dat de desbetreffende maxima voor vastleggings- en betalingskredieten dienovereenkomstig worden aangepast;

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 264
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. demande, dans ce contexte, que le niveau de financement soit garanti pour les programmes et politiques de l'Union, tels qu'indiqués aux annexes I et II de la présente résolution; demande que les plafonds d'engagement et de paiement correspondants soient ajustés en conséquence;

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 265
Joachim Streit, Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Calls, in this context, for the level of funding to be secured for EU

15. Calls for Union programmes and policies to be financed in a manner

programmes and policies, *as* set out in *Annexes I and II to the present resolution; calls for the relevant commitment and payment ceilings to be adjusted accordingly;*

consistent with the ceilings of the MFF and the principles of sound financial management; stresses that funding allocations must be based on clear priorities, effectiveness and European added value, and must remain compatible with overall budgetary discipline and the limits set out in the Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 266
Emma Fourreau, Younous Omarjee

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Calls for a binding minimum biodiversity spending target of 15% in addition to an enhanced climate overarching spending target of at least 50%

Or. en

Amendment 267
Emma Fourreau, Younous Omarjee

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 b. Calls for the introduction of clear conditionalities and social and environmental criteria to ensure sufficient funding dedicated to the implementation of the Common Fisheries Policy and European Ocean Pact that supports the transition to low-impact fishing, small-scale fishers and contributes to the protection and restoration of biological resources and marine ecosystems;

Amendment 268
Alexander Jungbluth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. stellt fest, dass der Rubrik 1 „Europäisches Sozialmodell und Lebensqualität in Europa“ 797,2 Mrd. EUR zu Preisen von 2025 zugewiesen werden, was 45 % des gesamten MFR 2028-2034 entspricht; bringt seine Bedenken darüber zum Ausdruck, dass dies gegenüber dem im MFR 2021-2027 zugewiesenen Betrag von 810,1 Mrd. EUR, der 65 % der Gesamtmittel entsprach, einen Rückgang darstellt; hält diese erhebliche Kürzung für inakzeptabel, da die Aufrechterhaltung langjähriger politischer Maßnahmen der Union von entscheidender Bedeutung ist;

entfällt

Amendment 269
Andrzej Halicki, Jagna Marczułajtis-Walczak

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of

maintaining the Union's long-standing policies;

maintaining the Union's long-standing policies; ***underlines that reinforced funding under this heading is indispensable for the effective implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights, in particular its objects on quality employment, access to affordable and accessible housing, social inclusion and strong support for the upskilling and reskilling of workers.***

Or. en

Amendment 270

Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that Heading 1 on 'Europe's social model and quality of life' is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union's long-standing policies;

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on 'Europe's social model and quality of life' is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union's long-standing policies; ***stresses that the European Social Fund (ESF+) is the primary instrument for strengthening the social dimension of the Union and calls for an autonomous, well-funded ESF+ budget line, as a dissolution of the ESF+ would jeopardise the visibility and binding nature of social objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 271

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; ***expresses deep concern*** that this *is* a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, ***which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union’s long-standing policies;***

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028–2034 MFF; ***observes*** that this *represents* a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021–2027 MFF; ***recalls that allocations across headings must be assessed in the context of overall budgetary constraints and competing priorities; stresses that, in a context of limited financial resources, the continued delivery of Union objectives under this heading depends primarily on clear prioritisation, effectiveness and European added value;***

Or. en

Amendment 272
Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union’s long-standing policies;

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, ***excluding repayment of NGEU*** representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union’s long-standing policies; ***by way of example, this implies a 12% real-terms decrease in Cohesion Policy allocations, with adverse implications for EU convergence.***

Amendment 273**Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 16***Motion for a resolution*

16. Observa que la rúbrica 1 (Modelo social europeo y calidad de vida) cuenta con una asignación de 797 200 millones EUR a precios de 2025, lo que representa el 45 % del MFP total 2028-2034; expresa su profunda preocupación por que esto suponga una disminución en comparación con los 810 100 millones EUR asignados en el MFP 2021-2027, que supusieron el 65 % del total; considera inaceptable este recorte sustancial, dada la importancia crucial de mantener las políticas de larga data de la Unión;

Amendment

16. Observa que la rúbrica 1 (Modelo social europeo y calidad de vida) cuenta con una asignación de 797 200 millones EUR a precios de 2025, lo que representa el 45 % del MFP total 2028-2034; expresa su profunda preocupación por que esto suponga una disminución en comparación con los 810 100 millones EUR asignados en el MFP 2021-2027, que supusieron el 65 % del total; considera inaceptable este recorte sustancial, dada la importancia crucial de mantener las políticas de larga data de la Unión, ***que incluyen la integridad del mercado único y constituyen la principal herramienta de la UE para garantizar la prosperidad de sus ciudadanos;***

Or. es

Amendment 274**Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 16***Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF,

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on ‘Europe’s social model and quality of life’ is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF,

which amounted to 65 % of the total;
considers this substantial cut ***unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union's long-standing policies;***

which amounted to 65 % of the total;
considers this substantial cut ***particularly detrimental to the regions, including those in transition, where stable funding is essential to uphold the right to stay, foster local development and strengthen the EU's overall competitiveness;***

Or. en

Amendment 275

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that Heading 1 on 'Europe's social model and quality of life' is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; ***considers this substantial cut unacceptable given the critical importance of maintaining the Union's long-standing policies;***

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on 'Europe's social model and quality of life' is allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; expresses deep concern that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; ***while stressing the importance of maintaining the Union's long-standing policies is of the opinion that in order to maintain the amounts as well as to create space for new EU priorities some adjustments are needed;***

Or. en

Amendment 276

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Notes that Heading 1 on 'Europe's social model and quality of life' is

Amendment

16. Notes that Heading 1 on 'Europe's social model and quality of life' is

allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; ***expresses deep concern*** that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut ***unacceptable*** given the ***critical*** importance of ***maintaining the Union's long-standing policies***;

allocated EUR 797.2 billion in 2025 prices, representing 45 % of the total 2028-2034 MFF; ***notes*** that this is a decrease compared to the EUR 810.1 billion allocated in the 2021-2027 MFF, which amounted to 65 % of the total; considers this substantial cut ***needed*** given the importance of ***enhanced flexibility within the future MFF architecture***;

Or. en

Amendment 277

Victor Negrescu, Vytenis Povilas Andriukaitis, Christophe Clergeau, Estelle Ceulemans, Nicolás González Casares, Romana Jerković, Alessandra Moretti, Tiemo Wölken, Kristian Vigenin, Nikos Papandreou, Raffaele Topo, Marta Temido, Pierre Jouvét, Leire Pajín

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Expresses strong concern regarding the new structure of the NRPP and the merging of social cohesion, health and care objectives with agricultural policy, fisheries or tourism; underlines that the lessons of the COVID-19 crisis must be fully taken into account and that Member States should invest in health through their dedicated EU funded national envelopes, including via specific operational programmes, backed by a ring-fenced funding of at least 4% and sufficient budget of at least EUR 35 billion; this funding is necessary to respond to pressing needs in health infrastructure, address workforce shortages in the healthcare sector, support training and digital skills, and invest in elderly care facilities and centres for persons with disabilities; stresses that this dedicated health budget must remain clearly separated from the NRPP, ensuring visibility, predictability and effective implementation; recalls that the

EUR 35 billion in health investments should, in any case, come on top of the S&D Group's demands regarding the ESF+, and not replace or dilute existing social funding commitments.

Or. en

Amendment 278
Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Reaffirms that income support should remain the central CAP instrument to guarantee a fair income and sustainable food production, contributing to resilience, competitiveness and long-term food security; calls for ringfenced income support appropriations to be used exclusively for income support interventions, providing stability and predictability to the Union agricultural sector; Opposes any provision that would exclude farmers from receiving area-based income support solely because they have reached the national retirement age and/or are in receipt of a pension; stresses that off-farm employment or receipt of retirement pensions shall not in itself preclude eligibility, where the beneficiary remains a genuine active farmer engaged in agricultural production;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Ruggero Razza, Paolo Inselvini, Romana Tomc, Miriam Lexmann, Vasile Dîncu, Tomislav Sokol, Gabriella Gerzsenyi, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca, Niels Geuking, Marion Maréchal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 bis. sottolinea che la rubrica 1, in quanto spina dorsale del “modello sociale europeo e qualità della vita”, è essenziale per sostenere la risposta dell’Unione alle sfide demografiche; chiede che, nell’ambito dei programmi pertinenti, siano garantite risorse adeguate e visibili per misure che contribuiscano alla crescita demografica e alla sostenibilità lungo il corso della vita (tra cui accesso a servizi per l’infanzia e conciliazione vita-lavoro, competenze e inclusione nel mercato del lavoro, salute e prevenzione, e invecchiamento attivo), nel rispetto delle competenze dell’Unione e del principio di sussidiarietà;

Or. it

Amendment 280
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 bis. refuse catégoriquement les réductions proposées du budget de la PAC après 2027, son intégration dans les NRPP ainsi que la suppression du deuxième pilier ; regrette que toute augmentation éventuelle repose uniquement sur des mécanismes de flexibilité volontaire, au risque de créer des distorsions entre les États membres ; appelle à un budget de la PAC dédié et robuste dans le prochain CFP, maintenu au moins à son niveau actuel en prix constants et indexé à l’inflation, afin de garantir la sécurité alimentaire, la compétitivité et un revenu équitable aux

agriculteurs;

Or. fr

Amendment 281
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Advocates a viable and robust budget, safeguarded for cohesion policy in the next MFF, at least equal to the current level in real terms, in order to further reduce regional disparities, enhance resilience, competitiveness and enable the achievement of EU strategic priorities, while reflecting the growing needs and challenges of all regions and all territories, aiming to prevent a sharp and drastic drop in the cohesion allocation of a region or Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 282
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 A. Deplora os cortes previstos que, de acordo com o EPRS, podem ser superiores a 10% em políticas fundamentais de promoção da coesão económica, social e territorial e do apoio aos sectores produtivos; insta a que a proporção destes fundos se mantenha a 65% do total do QFP no período 28-34 e que estas alocações prevejam a reversão e compensação dos cortes em algumas destas rúbricas efectuados no QFP 2021-

2027;

Or. pt

Amendment 283
Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Emphasises that climate change, biodiversity loss, resource depletion and pollution are already imposing growing costs on citizens and businesses, and that underinvestment in adaptation, biodiversity protection, circularity and zero-pollution measures would lead to significantly higher public and private costs in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 284
Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Calls on Member States to target support to genuine active farmers engaged in food and agricultural production, including those whose principal activity is agriculture or those meeting a minimum level of agricultural activity defined by the Member State; stresses that small and pluri-active farmers should not be excluded; considers that eligibility criteria should be based on objective indicators such as minimum agricultural output or sale value and/or minimum stocking density, with tailored thresholds for organic farms, designated

areas and areas facing natural constraints.

Or. en

Amendment 285

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Stresses that the simplification and consolidation of programmes under the MFF for 2028-2034 must not weaken climate or environmental ambition, transparency or Parliament's capacity to scrutinise environment and climate-related expenditure; calls on the Commission to ensure that the future framework and its programmes allow for robust and dedicated tracking of climate adaptation, climate mitigation, biodiversity, circular economy and pollution-related spending and results;

Or. en

Amendment 286

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Calls on the Commission not to approve NRPPs where timely and meaningful involvement of civil society organisations and social partners is not demonstrated, including clear evidence of consultation before their submission, throughout their implementation, and in the monitoring and ex post evaluation of those plans;

Amendment 287

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Insists that cohesion policy should remain under shared management mode and should not be mixed with other programmes under a ‘single plan’; is also strongly against remodelling it based on the RRF and introduce political pre-conditions under the pretext of a reform program;

Or. en

Amendment 288

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 c. Insists that the regional focus, place-based approach and strategic planning of the cohesion policy, as well as its decentralised programming and implementation model based on the partnership principle, shared management and multi-level governance, are key elements of the policy that can be tailor-made on the ground, and determine its effectiveness; is firmly convinced that this model of cohesion policy should be continued as the EU’s main long-term investment instrument for reducing disparities and the backwardness of the least favoured regions, ensuring economic, social and territorial cohesion and stimulating regional and local

sustainable growth, and as a key contributor to EU competitiveness, as well as helping to cope with challenges ahead;

Or. en

Amendment 289

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 c. Underlines the need for clearer regional differentiation in the future MFF; stresses that Heading 1 (NRPPs) should include earmarked allocations by category of regions to avoid undifferentiated competition for the same funds; calls, therefore, for Annex II to break down NRPPs into the following sub-lines: of which less developed regions, of which transition regions, and of which more developed regions, with dedicated amounts for each;

Or. en

Amendment 290

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. **Regrets** that the proposed NRPP Regulation (COM(2025)0558), which merges different policies into one plan per Member State, generates **significant** uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns, in addition, that the significant reduction in funding for Heading 1 also **threatens to**

17. **Takes note** that the proposed NRPP Regulation (COM(2025)0558), which merges different policies into one plan per Member State, **could** generate uncertainty regarding funding predictability for **some** final beneficiaries; **underlines that NRPPs must follow a decentralised, place-based, multilevel governance approach and be**

undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights, *and* ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

built around the shared management and partnership principle, fully involving local and regional authorities and other stakeholders, social partners and civil society organisations, and guaranteeing meaningful participation of people directly affected by the implementation of the funds; warns, in addition, that the significant reduction in funding for Heading 1 also *will limit the impact of* EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights, *gender equality, implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights, and environmental sustainability hand in hand with long term* ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers *which is only possible by enhancing climate resilience and environmental performance of the agri-food sector, reduce nutrient losses and pesticide risks, and support farmers in the transition to more sustainable practices, while maintaining the economic viability and competitiveness of the sector and of rural areas*;

Or. en

Amendment 291

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. si rammarica che la proposta di regolamento sui piani NRP (COM(2025)0558), che fonde diverse politiche in un unico piano per Stato membro, generi una notevole incertezza in

Amendment

17. si rammarica che la proposta di regolamento sui piani NRP (COM(2025)0558), che fonde diverse politiche in un unico piano per Stato membro, generi una notevole incertezza in

merito alla prevedibilità dei finanziamenti per i beneficiari finali; avverte inoltre che la significativa riduzione dei finanziamenti nella rubrica 1 rischia anche di compromettere le politiche dell'UE sancite dai trattati e gli obiettivi dell'Unione di rafforzare la sua coesione economica, sociale e territoriale, salvaguardare i diritti sociali e garantire la sicurezza alimentare e un tenore di vita equo per gli agricoltori e i pescatori;

merito alla prevedibilità dei finanziamenti per i beneficiari finali; avverte inoltre che la significativa riduzione dei finanziamenti nella rubrica 1 rischia anche di compromettere le politiche dell'UE sancite dai trattati e gli obiettivi dell'Unione di rafforzare la sua coesione economica, sociale e territoriale, salvaguardare i diritti sociali e garantire la sicurezza alimentare e un tenore di vita equo per gli agricoltori e i pescatori; *sottolinea, a tale riguardo, la necessità di preservare le specificità delle politiche di lunga data dell'Unione, in particolare della PAC e della politica di coesione, e il loro ruolo centrale nel sostenere il reddito agricolo e la stabilità delle aree rurali, insulari e interne, valorizzando anche produzioni locali e le pratiche agricole tradizionali e di qualità, nonché nel ridurre i divari territoriali, promuovere infrastrutture e servizi locali, rafforzare la competitività regionale e la tenuta sociale, attraverso un approccio basato sul territorio, la programmazione pluriennale e una governance multilivello fondata sul principio di partenariato;*

Or. it

Amendment 292

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. **Regrets** that the proposed NRPP Regulation (COM(2025)0558), which merges different policies into one plan per Member State, generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries; warns, in addition, that the significant reduction in funding for Heading 1 also threatens to

Amendment

17. **Considers** that the proposed NRPP Regulation (COM(2025)0558), which merges different policies **and funds** into one plan per Member State, generates significant uncertainty regarding funding predictability for final beneficiaries, **creates unnecessary competition for financial resources between beneficiaries**

undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights, and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

of various EU policies, and politicises the distribution of EU funds; insists that the "one plan per Member State" approach implicitly erodes the European dimension of the EU budget, leads to excessive centralisation, excludes regions and relevant stakeholders from a meaningful role in shaping priorities and undermines multilevel governance and the territorial dimension of spending; warns, in addition, that the significant reduction in funding for Heading 1 also threatens to undermine EU policies enshrined in the Treaties and the Union's objectives of strengthening its economic, social and territorial cohesion, safeguarding social rights, and ensuring food security and a fair standard of living for farmers and fishers;

Or. en