



2025/0571R(APP)

2.2.2026

AMENDMENTS

585 - 871

Draft report

Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares
(PE779.351v01-00)

Interim report on the proposal for the multiannual financial framework for
2028-2034
(2025/0571R(APP))

Amendment 585

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 F. Defende que o Fundo de Competitividade deve integrar um pilar específico dedicado à transição para energias limpas e à descarbonização, apoiando a modernização e renovação das frotas e infraestruturas nas áreas das pescas, aquicultura e indústrias marítimas, com fundos europeus e mecanismos mais flexíveis, promovendo tecnologias sustentáveis, a redução das emissões e a competitividade do setor.

Or. pt

Amendment 586

Lucia Yar, Martin Hojsík, Lubica Karvašová, Michal Wieszik, Veronika Cifrová Ostrihoňová, Irena Joveva, Marjan Šarec, Dan Barna, Vlad Vasile-Voiculescu, Petras Auštrevičius, Dainius Žalimas, Michal Kobosko, Urmas Paet, Nikola Minchev, Ilhan Kyuchyuk, Fabienne Keller, Jana Toom, Eugen Tomac

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 f. Underlines that competitiveness encompasses not only EU's industrial strength, but also sustainable, resilient and inclusive economy. In this regard, underlines that the European Competitiveness Fund should not only support innovative nature-based business models, but also explicitly include innovative solutions supporting biodiversity, circular economy, and ecosystem resilience and restoration

Or. en

Amendment 587
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 f. *Stresses that inflation and rising living costs have significantly affected the real value of grants and the effective implementation of Erasmus+ programme; underlines the need to ensure budgetary adequacy in order to preserve accessibility, quality and impact;*

Or. en

Amendment 588
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Francisco José Millán Mon, Carmen Crespo Díaz, Gabriel Mato, Fredis Beleris

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 septies. *Destaca la importancia de líneas presupuestarias específicas dentro del Fondo Europeo de Competitividad y de Horizonte Europa para apoyar la tan necesaria descarbonización, el relevo generacional y la competitividad de la flota pesquera de la UE;*

Or. es

Amendment 589
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 g (new)

20 g. *Recalls that Europe is the fastest warming continent in the world, and climate risks are threatening its energy and food security, ecosystems, infrastructure, water resources, financial stability, and people's health; takes note of the proposal to merge the health emergency response with the more general crisis response capabilities of the EU complemented by new cross-sectoral crisis coordination functions and actions relating to civil-military cooperation creating integrated and resilient European civil protection; calls for sufficient funding for the EU Civil Protection Mechanism, including the rescEU capacities and health emergencies, to support Member States quickly and effectively in overwhelming crisis situations that are expected to increase both in frequency and intensity over the coming MFF period; underlines the EU's role as a hub for coordinating and improving the Member States' preparedness and capacities to respond immediately to large-scale, high-impact emergencies and calls therefore for an increased envelope;*

Or. en

Amendment 590

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 g (new)**

20 octies. *sottolinea che il patrimonio culturale europeo e le sue radici storiche costituiscono un pilastro dell'identità dei*

popoli europei, un fattore di coesione sociale e una risorsa di prestigio e proiezione internazionale dell'Unione; ribadisce che, nel prossimo Quadro finanziario pluriennale, deve essere garantito un sostegno stabile e adeguato alla tutela e alla valorizzazione del patrimonio, alla partecipazione culturale, alla mobilità artistica e ai settori culturali e creativi; evidenzia inoltre che la cultura è anche economia reale e che il turismo – in particolare quello culturale – rappresenta una leva strategica per crescita, occupazione e vitalità dei territori, soprattutto nelle aree interne, rurali e insulari; chiede pertanto che i programmi dell'UE sostengano interventi mirati che rafforzino l'offerta, l'accessibilità e la qualità dei servizi, favorendo investimenti e lavoro locale, senza appesantimenti burocratici;

Or. it

Amendment 591
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 g. Calls on the Commission and the budgetary authority to increase the financial allocation for Erasmus+ under the next Multiannual Financial Framework to at least EUR 60 billion, in order to ensure the effective implementation of the programme and its consistency with Union objectives; stresses, in this context, the conclusions of the report by Mario Draghi on the future of European competitiveness, which identifies investment in skills, education and human capital as a prerequisite for strengthening the Union's competitiveness and long-term economic

resilience.

Or. en

Amendment 592

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 g. Welcomes the highly necessary increase in CEF Transport and Military Mobility funding proposal, to boost EU connectivity, cohesion, decarbonisation, resilience, and competitiveness, while the investment needs in transport infrastructure and decarbonisation will be far higher; notes that funding for transport infrastructure as well as decarbonisation and digitalisation solutions is now dependent on close synchronization between CEF, ECF and NRPP;

Or. en

Amendment 593

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 g. Stresses that the European Competitiveness Fund must deliver tangible benefits across all Member States and contribute to upward economic and territorial convergence; calls, therefore, for a fair geographical distribution of ECF allocations, including through pre-

allocated national envelopes per Member State, in order to ensure predictability, fair access and a balanced contribution to competitiveness and cohesion across the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 594

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 g. Recalls that a safer and more secure Europe requires EU decentralised agencies supporting law enforcement and judicial authorities to be equipped with the resources needed to fulfil their expanding mandates; insists in particular on adequate funding for both Europol and Eurojust;

Or. en

Amendment 595

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 h. Recalls its position that the next MFF must build on the work done in the current programming period by ensuring that the necessary investment is in place to build a genuine European Health Union that delivers for all citizens; calls therefore for the prioritisation of EU funding for health across all

policies, aiming for at least EUR 90 billion in investments in health under the next MFF; expresses strong concern about the dilution of the EU4Health programme into the ECF and calls for EU health funding to be earmarked into a separate and stand-alone programme with specific priorities; calls for earmarking for the health preparedness strand and for an additional strand to ensure synergies, cross-sectorial coordination and flexibility under the new UCPM+;

Or. en

Amendment 596

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 nonies. avverte che l'integrazione delle azioni in materia di salute in piani nazionali più ampi o multisettoriali rischia di compromettere la prevedibilità dei finanziamenti, la visibilità dei programmi e un efficace controllo parlamentare; insiste sul fatto che gli obiettivi dell'Unione in materia di salute richiedono un'architettura di programma distinta e linee di bilancio chiaramente identificabili nell'ambito del QFP; chiede che gli stanziamenti dedicati alla salute nel QFP siano protetti da riallocazioni ricorrenti e da un ricorso eccessivo ai meccanismi di flessibilità, che rischiano di compromettere la continuità, la pianificazione degli investimenti e l'attuazione efficace delle azioni in ambito sanitario;

Amendment 597

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 h. Insists on the importance for the European Competitiveness Fund to have a strong, robust health dimension in order to provide continued support for the European Health Union and to properly address current and future health threats the EU faces. Therefore considers it essential for health civil society organisations, such as patient organisations, to receive adequate financial support in order to keep supporting the objectives of the programme and its implementation.

Or. en

Amendment 598

Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 h. Stresses that achieving national and collective defence targets requires substantial investment efforts at european level and national level, estimated by the Commission to amount to several percentage points of GDP; underlines the need for long-term investments in defence capabilities and dual-use products in order to strengthen the Union's strategic autonomy, enhance cohesion among Member States, and improve EU defence readiness;

Amendment 599

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 20 i (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 decies. sottolinea che l'acqua è un pilastro dell'autonomia strategica dell'UE in termini di resilienza climatica e adattamento, sicurezza alimentare e competitività agricola, continuità produttiva del sistema industriale, sicurezza sanitaria ed ecosistemica, autonomia tecnologica e infrastrutturale, coesione territoriale e sviluppo delle aree rurali, competitività delle imprese di ogni dimensione; evidenzia che la scarsità idrica e la siccità stanno generando sfide strutturali in molte parti dell'Unione, con il bacino del Mediterraneo e le regioni insulari tra le più esposte; chiede un maggiore sostegno, nell'ambito delle pertinenti rubriche, per innovazione, ricerca, sviluppo e infrastrutture idriche moderne, inclusi la riduzione delle perdite nelle reti idropotabili e irrigue, il riuso sicuro delle acque reflue depurate, l'irrigazione efficiente e intelligente, digitalizzazione e distrettualizzazione delle reti idriche e la desalinizzazione a basse emissioni di carbonio, al fine di salvaguardare le forniture di acqua potabile, gli ecosistemi e la produzione agroalimentare; chiede un sostegno dedicato alle iniziative di ripristino, in particolare nelle regioni duramente colpite da incendi boschivi, siccità e degrado del suolo; sottolinea che tali regimi di sostegno dovrebbero consentire l'accesso diretto ai finanziamenti da parte

delle autorità regionali e locali e di altri portatori di interesse pertinenti (es. attori industriali e agricoli, gestori idrici e multiutility, consorzi irrigui...), al fine di massimizzare i benefici ambientali, i pozzi di assorbimento del carbonio e la resilienza climatica, rafforzando al contempo le economie rurali;

Or. it

Amendment 600
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 i. Emphasises the importance of establishing a dedicated Defence heading, bringing together currently fragmented defence-related investments across the MFF, in particular those under the programme “Resilience and security, defence industry and space” within the ECF; further stresses the need to establish a clear and transparent governance structure for security and defence investments in order to avoid fragmentation and duplication of efforts at EU level.

Or. en

Amendment 601

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 i. Underlines that the budget of Erasmus+ should be further increased and considers it indispensable in order to maintain the accessibility, quality and inclusiveness of the programme; underlines that Erasmus+ reaches more citizens than any other Union programme and delivers exceptional value for money;

Or. en

Amendment 602

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 j. Stresses that the establishment of AgoraEU, bringing together Creative Europe and the Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values (CERV) programme under a single structure, must not lead to a dilution of the Union's cultural ambition; underlines that the cultural strand therefore requires a significantly reinforced budget, accompanied by clear and binding earmarking for core cultural priorities; insists in particular on safeguarding flagship actions, which are essential for the competitiveness, sovereignty and diversity of Europe's cultural and creative sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 603

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 undecies. sottolinea che, per affrontare in modo strutturale la carenza di personale sanitario, il prossimo QFP deve prevedere un rafforzamento mirato dell'ESF+ e delle sinergie con i programmi pertinenti, al fine di aumentare, sulla base di indicatori verificabili, il numero di laureati, di specialisti e di professionisti riqualificati, sostenendo percorsi di formazione iniziale e continua, nel pieno rispetto delle competenze degli Stati membri;

Or. it

Amendment 604

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 duodecies. evidenzia che, laddove la capacità delle università pubbliche non sia sufficiente a rispondere al fabbisogno di professionisti, gli strumenti dell'Unione dovrebbero consentire, in modo mirato e verificabile, misure di sostegno all'accesso ai percorsi formativi, così da evitare che i costi della formazione ricadano in modo sproporzionato sulle famiglie e da accelerare l'incremento della forza lavoro sanitaria;

Or. it

Amendment 605

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccioi, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 20 l (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 terdecies. sottolinea la necessità di un investimento europeo più ambizioso in innovazione digitale applicata alla sanità, anche con soluzioni di intelligenza artificiale affidabile e strumenti interoperabili, al fine di ridurre gli oneri amministrativi e rendere più sostenibili i carichi di lavoro dei professionisti, contribuendo concretamente ad attrattività e permanenza in servizio;

Or. it

Amendment 606

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccioi, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 20 m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 quaterdecies. invita la Commissione a prevedere, nell'ambito degli strumenti pertinenti, meccanismi di incentivazione territoriale, orientati ai risultati, per contrastare lo spopolamento professionale e la "desertificazione sanitaria" nelle aree più vulnerabili, incluse le regioni insulari, periferiche e meno connesse, garantendo semplicità di accesso e tracciabilità della spesa;

Amendment 607

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 n (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 quidecies. ritiene che, alla luce del carattere strategico della forza lavoro sanitaria, le politiche e gli strumenti del prossimo QFP debbano essere coerenti con un'impostazione che consideri il lavoro in sanità e gli investimenti correlati come leva di resilienza e competitività, evitando che vincoli di breve periodo penalizzino interventi strutturali sul personale;

Amendment 608

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 o (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 sexdecies. Ritiene che gli obiettivi della rubrica 2, in particolare nei settori dell'innovazione, della difesa e dell'autonomia strategica, si prestino in modo particolare all'uso di strumenti di finanziamento combinato, considerata la loro scala, il profilo di rischio e la natura

di lungo periodo; chiede pertanto un ruolo più forte degli strumenti finanziari a livello dell'UE nel mobilitare investimenti privati accanto ai finanziamenti pubblici;

Or. it

Amendment 609

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Giovanni Crosetto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 p (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 septdecies. sottolinea che l'attuazione del Critical Raw Materials Act richiede risorse dedicate; chiede pertanto l'istituzione, nel prossimo QFP, di un Fondo UE per le materie prime critiche volto a rafforzare la sicurezza degli approvvigionamenti e la resilienza delle catene del valore, sostenendo progetti strategici su estrazione, lavorazione, trasformazione, approvvigionamento, riciclo, riuso e distribuzione delle materie prime critiche e altre filiere ritenute strategiche per intensità tecnologica e competitività;

Or. it

Amendment 610

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 A. Rejeita a alocação de montantes tendo em vista a ingerência externa e desestabilização de países terceiros - nomeadamente, mas não só, através dos chamados instrumentos de vizinhança;

insta a que esses montantes sejam realocados ao apoio aos Estados-Membros para resposta a necessidades económicas e sociais com base no princípio da coesão, isto é, promovendo a convergência no progresso económico e social;

Or. pt

Amendment 611
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 B. Insta a que o QFP preveja o objectivo de destinar, pelo menos, 0,7% do Produto Interno Bruto dos Estados-Membros da UE à ajuda pública ao desenvolvimento, conforme o objectivo enunciado na Resolução 2625 (XXV) da Assembleia Geral da ONU de 24 de outubro de 1970 e presente no parágrafo 43. da Declaração da Agenda 2030 para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável;

Or. pt

Amendment 612
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. stellt fest, dass der Rubrik 3 „Europa in der Welt“ im MFR 2028-2034 Mittel in Höhe von 190 Mrd. EUR zu Preisen von 2025 zugewiesen werden, was gegenüber der Mittelzuweisung von 121,5 Mrd. EUR im laufenden MFR-Zeitraum eine erhebliche Aufstockung darstellt,

entfällt

wobei sich der Anteil am gesamten MFR weiterhin auf 10 % beläuft; erachtet diese Aufstockung um 68,5 Mrd. EUR als begrüßenswert, da sie verdeutlicht, dass die Union um Stärkung ihrer Rolle als globale Akteurin in einem zunehmend komplexen geopolitischen Umfeld bemüht ist; ist jedoch besorgt darüber, dass diese Mittelausstattung angesichts des Ausmaßes der globalen Herausforderungen, wie etwa der Entwicklungserfordernisse, der humanitären Krisen und der Notwendigkeit, die Ukraine und andere Partner zu unterstützen, nach wie vor unzureichend ist; fordert, dass die erforderlichen Mittel zur Unterstützung der Erweiterungs- und Beitrittsprozesse bereitgestellt werden; warnt davor, dass die Zusammenlegung von Programmen erneut zu einer Schwächung der parlamentarischen Kontrolle und Beteiligung sowie zu einer geringeren Sichtbarkeit der Finanzierung bestimmter Prioritäten führen könnte, wie dies beim Instrument „NDICI/Europa in der Welt“ der Fall war; besteht darauf, dass in Bezug auf die Säulen klare und gesonderte Haushaltslinien beibehalten werden, damit für Transparenz bei den Mittelzuweisungen gesorgt ist; bekräftigt seinen Standpunkt, dass angesichts des bedarfsorientierten Charakters der humanitären Hilfe zweckgebundene Mittel erforderlich sind, die im Rahmen eines eigenständigen Ausgabenprogramms und einer spezifischen Eingliederung bereitgestellt werden, und betont, dass durch eine ausreichende jährliche Grundzuweisung für Vorhersehbarkeit gesorgt werden muss, damit humanitäre Hilfe wirksam bereitgestellt werden kann;

Or. de

Amendment 613
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; ***stresses that this increased allocation is essential to secure the Union’s role as a credible global actor***; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; ***calls to rebalance the Global Europe instrument with meaningful accountability and reporting mechanisms, which will be further defined in the sectoral proposal***; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; ***requests that significant transfers within Global Europe pillars be subject to Parliamentary consent as these pillars aggregate large amounts***; ***considers it essential to allow de-commitments under Global Europe to return to the budget line of origin, in order to provide the necessary flexibility required for external action***; ***Reminds that the specific allocations within Global Europe and its pillars are to be negotiated***

as part of the Global Europe Regulation, reflecting the overall amount of the MFF and the total amount of Global Europe within Heading; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation; recalls its position that the neutrality, independence, impartiality and needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires predictability through a sufficient baseline allocation with a dedicated nomenclature and at the same time an adequate reserve to cater for unforeseen needs; calls for more clarity as to the financial assistance to be provided to partner countries in the form of policy-based loans under the Global Europe Instrument, and insists on the need to respect the institutional balance and budgetary authorities' prerogatives in the decision-making and the governance of similar financing mechanisms;

Or. en

Amendment 614

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. note que la rubrique 3, «Europe dans le monde», se voit allouer 190 milliards d'EUR en prix de 2025 dans le CFP 2028-2034, ce qui représente une augmentation significative par rapport aux 121,5 milliards d'EUR de la période actuelle, tout en maintenant sa part de 10 % du total du CFP; *considère que ce renforcement de 68,5 milliards d'EUR est positif, car il démontre l'engagement de*

Amendment

21. note que la rubrique 3, «Europe dans le monde», se voit allouer 190 milliards d'EUR en prix de 2025 dans le CFP 2028-2034, ce qui représente une augmentation significative par rapport aux 121,5 milliards d'EUR de la période actuelle, tout en maintenant sa part de 10 % du total du CFP; *rejette l'augmentation des fonds pour la politique étrangère proposée par la Commission; estime que*

l'Union à renforcer son rôle d'acteur mondial dans un environnement géopolitique de plus en plus complexe; s'inquiète toutefois du fait que ce niveau de financement reste insuffisant compte tenu de l'ampleur des défis mondiaux, notamment les besoins en matière de développement, les crises humanitaires et la nécessité de soutenir l'Ukraine et d'autres partenaires; demande les fonds nécessaires pour soutenir les processus d'élargissement et d'adhésion; prévient que la fusion de programmes pourrait conduire, une fois de plus, à un affaiblissement du contrôle et de la participation parlementaires ainsi qu'à une visibilité réduite du financement pour des priorités spécifiques, comme cela s'est produit avec l'IVCDI-Europe dans le monde; insiste sur le fait que les piliers doivent conserver des lignes budgétaires claires et distinctes afin de garantir la transparence des allocations; rappelle sa position selon laquelle la nature fondée sur les besoins de l'aide humanitaire exige un financement sanctuarisé fourni par un programme de dépenses autonome et une nomenclature dédiée, et souligne qu'une fourniture efficace d'aide humanitaire dépend d'une prévisibilité assurée par une dotation annuelle de base suffisante;

l'aide au développement est inefficace et devrait être conditionnée, notamment à la coopération en matière migratoire; souligne que l'élargissement va à l'encontre des intérêts des Etats membres, notamment en matière économique et sociale;

Or. fr

Amendment 615
Janusz Lewandowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 'Global Europe' is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 'Global Europe' is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period

while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe ***and the late notification of the European Parliament on the mobilisation of its cushion*** ; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation; ***expresses its concerns on the introduction of policy-based loans, not based on well-defined needs or urgency criteria and adopted through implementing acts, without the involvement of the European Parliament; insists that the debt service costs arising from the borrowing for the Ukraine Support Loan be placed over and above the ceilings of the next Multiannual Financial Framework, as proposed in the Regulation establishing the Ukraine Support Loan for 2026 and 2027^{1a}.***

^{1a} ***Regulation (EU) 2024/2773 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 2024 establishing the Ukraine Loan Cooperation Mechanism***

Amendment 616

Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation; ***stresses that the***

baseline allocation;

indicative figure of EUR 25 billion for humanitarian aid over the period 2028-2034 must be regarded as the minimum requirement in view of the increasing humanitarian crises; emphasises the importance of access to the reserve of the cushion for reinforcements in the case of unexpected needs, which should be available in addition to, and outside of, the EUR 25 billion indicative allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 617

Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the *new Global Europe architecture risks subordinating humanitarian aid and development cooperation to purely economic or strategic self-interests; demands in this respect a clear thematic structure that provides transparency and democratic control, ensuring that the fight against*

position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

poverty and inequality remains central; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 618

Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes

could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation; ***Recalls that, with regard to defence and security the 2028-2034 MFF should ensure that any third country that poses a threat direct or indirect to a Member State of the European Union, will not be eligible for European Union funding, either directly or indirectly, including through the participation of European companies.***

Or. en

Amendment 619

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of

global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that *the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature* and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient *annual* baseline allocation;

global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises *aggravated by the withdrawal of USAID* and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that humanitarian *assistance must remain strictly needs-based, neutral and independent* and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient baseline allocation; *underlines that at least 93 % of Global Europe funding should remain ODA-eligible; stresses that any decommitted funds originating from external action programmes must remain within Heading 3 and be reused for external action objectives, in particular development cooperation and humanitarian assistance.*

Or. en

Amendment 620

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the

total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises, ***the increasingly adversarial behaviour of the United States*** and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; ***is concerned by the financing structure for Ukraine, which separates pre-accession assistance from the Europe Pillar without a clear distinction between pre-accession and reconstruction needs***; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 621
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 'Global Europe' is allocated EUR 190 billion in

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 'Global Europe' is allocated EUR 190 billion in

2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union's commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; ***believes that third countries benefiting from EU programmes should respect EU values and contribute fairly to the EU budget, ensuring a balance between the benefits they receive and their financial contributions*** ; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 622
Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; ***while also being called upon, inter alia, to contribute to the implementation of the Pact for the Mediterranean and the Global Gateway Strategy, and to address the external dimension of migration*** expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 623
Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; **considers** that this **reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor** in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; **expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges** including development **needs**, humanitarian **crises** and the need to support Ukraine and **other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes**; warns that the merger of programmes **could lead, once again, to weakened** parliamentary oversight and **involvement and reduced** funding visibility **for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe**; insists that **the pillars** maintain clear and **separate** budget lines **to ensure transparency in allocations**; recalls **its position** that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires **ring-fenced** funding **delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual** baseline allocation;

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; **acknowledges** that this **reflects a stronger focus on Union external action** in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment, including **with regard to development cooperation, humanitarian assistance, support for Ukraine and engagement with partner countries; stresses that the effectiveness of Union external action depends on clear prioritisation, coherence and efficient use of available resources**; warns that the merger of programmes **must not weaken** parliamentary oversight, **transparency or funding visibility, as experienced under NDICI-Global Europe**; insists that **programme structures** maintain clear and **distinct** budget lines; recalls that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires **predictable and transparent** funding **arrangements, including an appropriate baseline allocation within the limits of the MFF, and underlines the importance of clear objectives and measurable performance indicators to ensure effectiveness, accountability and value for money**;

Or. en

Amendment 624

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including ***decarbonisation, global health and*** development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 625

Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is **positive**, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is **absolutely crucial**, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 626

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding ***delivered through a stand-alone spending programme and*** dedicated nomenclature and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Amendment

21. Notes that Heading 3 ‘Global Europe’ is allocated EUR 190 billion in 2025 prices in the 2028-2034 MFF, representing a significant increase from EUR 121.5 billion in the current period while maintaining its 10 % share of the total MFF; considers that this reinforcement of EUR 68.5 billion is positive, as it demonstrates the Union’s commitment to strengthening its role as a global actor in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; expresses concern, however, that this level of funding remains insufficient given the scale of global challenges including ***the climate and biodiversity crisis***, development needs, humanitarian crises and the need to support Ukraine and other partners; calls for the necessary funds to support enlargement and accession processes; warns that the merger of programmes could lead, once again, to weakened parliamentary oversight and involvement and reduced funding visibility for specific priorities, as occurred with NDICI-Global Europe; insists that the pillars maintain clear and separate budget lines to ensure transparency in allocations; recalls its position that the needs-based nature of humanitarian aid requires ring-fenced funding dedicated nomenclature ***and a dedicated reserve*** and underlines that effective humanitarian aid provision is contingent on predictability through a sufficient annual baseline allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 627

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

21 a. Notes with deep concern that the proposed Regulation dilutes core priorities and commitments that are essential for the EU's external action to remain values-driven, people-centred, planet responsive, transparent, predictable and effective; in particular, deplors that it removes all thematic targets currently embedded within the NDICI-Global Europe (NDICI-GE) framework; emphasises that these thematic targets—covering critical areas such as human rights, climate action, gender equality, sustainable development, health, education and good governance—serve as essential safeguards to ensure that EU external action remains strategic, accountable, aligned with its fundamental values and its international commitments, notably in terms of delivering Agenda 2030's objectives, the Paris Agreement and the Kunming-Montréal global biodiversity Framework; to this end, calls for the reinstatement of minimum spending targets for LDCs and fragile and conflict-affected states (FCAS), human development, gender equality, climate and biodiversity as well as CSOs; Calls for dedicated budget lines in all the geographical pillars to ensure these priorities remain; Calls therefore for a strong commitment for Policy Coherence for Sustainable Development (PCSD); urges to reinstate clear spending targets under the Official Development Assistance (ODA) aiming at poverty alleviation and reducing inequality;

Or. en

Amendment 628
Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

21 a. Stresses that EU's external action budget is also a strategic investment that boosts the EU's presence and influence internationally in an increasingly complex geopolitical environment; points out that other global players are increasing investments in partner countries; considers that investments in long-term development and global climate action are strategic enablers that underpin the EU's competitiveness and security agendas as the EU's resilience and preparedness are closely linked to those of its regional and global partner countries; emphasizes that strengthening partners' capacity to withstand and effectively respond to extreme weather events, health crises, hybrid campaigns and conflict also lowers the risk of cascading or spill-over effects for Europe; underlines the need of establishing of clear spending targets and minimum funding levels for strategic priorities in order to predictability for partner countries and to increase transparency while ensuring that flexible funding structures remain accountable and aligned with the Union's long-term objectives, as well as for the Parliament to exercise effective scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 629

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)**

21 bis. sottolinea l'importanza di un

approccio integrato e a 360° all'azione esterna dell'Unione, in particolare nel vicinato meridionale e in Africa, che combini sviluppo, infrastrutture, energia, istruzione e formazione e creazione di occupazione, in coerenza con le priorità dell'Unione; accoglie con favore il Patto per il Mediterraneo quale quadro strategico dell'Unione per rafforzare partenariati strutturati e duraturi con i Paesi del vicinato meridionale, anche attraverso la diplomazia parlamentare; evidenzia, a tale riguardo, la necessità di assicurare complementarità e sinergie tra le iniziative Global Gateway e le iniziative nazionali con un approccio team Europe volte a rafforzare partenariati strategici, anche al fine di contribuire a una gestione ordinata dei flussi migratori e al contrasto delle reti criminali; invita la Commissione a orientare gli interventi verso risultati misurabili e sostenibili, garantendo al contempo la necessaria trasparenza delle dotazioni e la visibilità delle priorità finanziate;

Or. it

Amendment 630

Céline Imart, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy, Lukas Mandl, Sander Smit, Alice Teodorescu Măwe, Henrik Dahl

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Stresses that serious and well-documented breaches of the principle of neutrality of the United Nations, which is binding on UN agencies, have occurred within the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA), in particular where the infrastructure or resources of that organisation have been used for terrorist purposes; considers that such facts undermine the fundamental values

of the Union, the credibility of international humanitarian action and the principles of sound financial management; takes the view, therefore, that no funding from the Union budget should be granted to UNRWA and calls for the definitive suspension of all European funding to that organisation.

Or. en

Amendment 631

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Carmen Crespo Díaz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 bis. Pide la creación de una Oficina Europea de Control de Importaciones dotada de personal y tecnología específicos para verificar el cumplimiento de los acuerdos comerciales, los contingentes y la reciprocidad sanitaria y fitosanitaria en las importaciones de terceros países; advierte de la necesidad urgente de reforzar las auditorías en origen y los controles fronterizos en la UE, exigiendo a su vez a los Estados miembros que fortalezcan dichos controles; subraya que este mecanismo es esencial para garantizar el respeto de los contingentes, la seguridad alimentaria de los consumidores europeos y la defensa de la producción agraria comunitaria frente a la competencia desleal de terceros países;

Or. es

Amendment 632

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Demands that the share of non-programmable funding within all Global Europe pillars be set consistently at 25% of the total allocation under the future regulation and that this funding is explicitly dedicated to clearly defined actions including humanitarian assistance, crisis response, peacebuilding, resilience and foreign-policy needs; stresses that, alongside predictability for investment, spending programmes should retain a substantial in-built flexibility reserve, with allocation to specific policy objectives to be decided by the budgetary authority;

Or. en

Amendment 633
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Insist on the need to deploy the necessary funds to support the accession processes of Ukraine and the neighbouring Republic of Moldova as well as the Western Balkans countries; Stresses that separate budget lines should be created for each of the pre-accession countries;

Or. en

Amendment 634
Jean-Marc Germain
on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Considers that the profound changes in Europe's security and defence considerations warrant that sufficient funding is provided to relevant programmes, such as Common Security and Defence Policy and Rapid Deployment Capacity;

Or. en

**Amendment 635
Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Underlines that future EU enlargements should be conducted with a view to avoid placing any burden on Cohesion Policy and the CAP, enlargement must in no way come at the expense of EU's current regions and farming system;

Or. en

**Amendment 636
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Stresses that flexibility in external action cannot undermine predictability,

transparency, democratic oversight or the integrity of official development assistance;

Or. en

Amendment 637

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Calls for a more detailed nomenclature that includes specific budget lines for thematic programmes and instruments within each geographic pillar;

Or. en

Amendment 638

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Carmen Crespo Díaz, Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato, Fredis Beleris

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 ter. Destaca la importancia estratégica de los Acuerdos de Pesca Sostenible (SFPAs) como instrumento clave de la política exterior de la Unión y como elemento fundamental para el apoyo a la flota pesquera europea que opera en aguas de terceros países; subraya que estos acuerdos no solo garantizan el acceso legal y sostenible a recursos pesqueros, sino que también contribuyen de forma directa al desarrollo económico, social y alimentario de los países socios,

al fomento de la buena gobernanza pesquera, a la lucha contra la pesca ilegal y al refuerzo de la presencia geopolítica de la Unión en regiones clave; pide, por tanto, que el MFP 2028–2034 asigne recursos financieros suficientes, predecibles y estables para la negociación, renovación y aplicación efectiva de los SFPAs, garantizando que su dotación refleje su valor estratégico y su contribución múltiple a los intereses de la Unión;

Or. es

Amendment 639

Céline Imart, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy, Lukas Mandl, Sander Smit, Alice Teodorescu Măwe, Henrik Dahl, Antonio López-Istúriz White

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Recalls that credible information and documented evidence have highlighted serious risks of diversion, capture or indirect benefit of European Union funds by entities operating in Gaza that are directly or indirectly infiltrated, controlled or subject to the influence of terrorist organisations; notes in particular that a project related to water management and irrigation was used for non-civilian purposes, insofar as it was exploited to the advantage of the military tactics of a terrorist entity; calls therefore for the exclusion of any Union funding to entities directly or indirectly linked to, infiltrated or instrumentalised by terrorist organisations, as well as for a substantial strengthening of screening, monitoring and conditionality mechanisms in order to prevent any diversion or indirect benefit of European funds.

Or. en

Amendment 640

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Reminds that under the current seven-year financial framework, Ukraine receives an amount of EU support that is close to the regional development policy resources available to all EU-27 Member States, expects Ukraine to refrain from attacking the national sovereignty of Member States, their energy infrastructure and from interfering in national elections; condemns that based on the European Commission's plans for the post-2027 MFF, Ukraine would receive 20% of the total resources of the seven year budget and that, according to press reports, the European Commission has already accepted Ukraine's demand for reconstruction and rearmament and would provide an additional EUR 800 billion and EUR 700 billion respectively;

Or. en

Amendment 641

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Welcomes that the Global Europe Reserve mobilisation is to change compared to NDICI-GE, whereby under the next MFF the reserve mobilisation will have to follow Financial Regulation

rules and any mobilisation above 10% of the annual allocation will have to be approved by the Parliament and the Council; insists however on its previous calls that the Commission shall meaningfully and in due time inform the co-legislators before any mobilisation of the reserve and take into consideration their observations on the nature, objectives and financial amounts envisaged.

Or. en

Amendment 642

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 ter. ribadisce l'importanza di un uso coerente e orientato ai risultati degli strumenti di azione esterna per affrontare i driver migratori; invita la Commissione a rispettare e valorizzare l'obiettivo di destinare almeno il 10% delle risorse pertinenti di Europa globale a interventi connessi alla migrazione, inclusi prevenzione, contrasto alle reti criminali, cooperazione sui rimpatri e reintegrazione, nonché rafforzamento delle capacità dei paesi di origine e transito, garantendo criteri chiari, condizionalità e piena trasparenza sull'impiego dei fondi;

Or. it

**Amendment 643
Rasmus Nordqvist**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Takes note the Commission's proposal that humanitarian aid remains with its own governance structure and accountability mechanism and insists on the need for stable and predictable funding ensuring a minimum level of resources granted given the worsening global situation , and the absolute need to prevent any politicisation that could arise from integrating it into broader external action instruments; Insists at the same time for the need for dedicated flexibility for the unplannable humanitarian needs within the crisis special instrument;

Or. en

Amendment 644
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Underlines that the Overseas countries and territories represent unique and strategic assets that benefit the Union as a whole; believes that the allocation proposed by the Commission does not correspond to the challenges faced by Overseas countries and territories and calls for doubling the Commission proposal to 2.15 bn. EUR in current prices (1.85 bn. EUR in constant prices) taking also into consideration the recent threats to the territorial integrity of Greenland;

Or. en

Amendment 645
Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 b. Underscores that grant financing remains an essential component of the EU's development tool box, particularly in fragile and conflict-affected contexts; insists that EU guarantees must generate additionality over existing market solutions and that scaling guarantees in the Global Europe Instrument is only agreed once a thorough impact assessment has been done on the development impact of the EFSD+;

Or. en

Amendment 646

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 c. Rejects the proposal to establish the implementing rules for pre-accession assistance under the Europe Pillar of Global Europe through implementing acts, given that these rules define the design, content and management of support that is essential for future membership; demands that any elements entailing policy choices including the adoption of multiannual indicative programmes and the mobilisation of the emerging challenges and priorities reserve, be adopted exclusively through

delegated acts to ensure Parliament's systematic involvement in policy decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 647

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 quater. sottolinea che una gestione efficace dei flussi migratori richiede un approccio integrato "interno + esterno"; chiede che l'Unione rafforzi, in parallelo, strumenti di solidarietà e sostegno ai paesi di primo ingresso e soluzioni innovative con i paesi terzi, inclusi partenariati operativi e hub di cooperazione per la gestione ordinata dei flussi e dei rimpatri;

Or. it

Amendment 648

Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 c. Insists that the budget for the Global pillar is the minimum amount of funding available for global initiatives, crisis response and conflict prevention during the next budget cycle, and it should not be possible to transfer these funds to other pillars of the Global Europe Instrument;

Amendment 649
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 c. Insists that debt service linked to Ukraine shall not be financed from within Heading 3;

Amendment 650
Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 quinquies. sottolinea la necessità di un'azione coordinata per ridurre le pressioni sui paesi di primo ingresso e contenere i movimenti secondari; invita la Commissione a garantire coerenza tra risorse di azione esterna e strumenti interni pertinenti, in particolare mediante cooperazione rafforzata con i paesi terzi su riammissione e rimpatri, lotta al traffico di esseri umani e gestione delle frontiere, assicurando al contempo una rendicontazione trasparente sull'efficacia delle misure finanziate;

Amendment 651

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 d. Calls for a more detailed nomenclature that includes specific budget lines for thematic programmes and instruments within each geographic pillar;

Or. en

Amendment 652

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 e. Calls for a clearly earmarked budget for human rights, democracy and the rule of law in the MFF, and for minimum targets in all geographical pillars for least-developed and conflict-affected countries, human development, gender equality, climate action and support to civil society organizations, the development and reinforcement of democratic structures and the growth of free media, including in neighbouring countries;

Or. en

Amendment 653

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 e (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 sexies. sottolinea che, al fine di garantire trasparenza, controllo democratico e un sostegno prevedibile ai cittadini, la nomenclatura di bilancio nell'ambito della procedura di bilancio annuale dovrebbe consentire una tracciabilità chiara e specifica delle azioni dell'Unione nei settori dell'istruzione, della gioventù, della cultura, del pluralismo dei media e dei settori culturali e creativi;

Or. it

Amendment 654

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 f (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 f. Insists that EU funding in conflict prevention, peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction is a direct investment in EU's long-term stability and security, particularly in its neighbourhood; demands that the EU plays an active role in the reconstruction of Gaza, which has been one of the gravest humanitarian catastrophes of the XXI century, with UN estimates putting the cost of reconstruction at EUR 60 billion; calls, in this regard, for the

creation within the MFF of an EU Facility for the reconstruction of Gaza, aimed at providing sufficient and predictable support for, inter alia, the reconstruction of residential neighbourhoods, hospitals, schools and basic civilian infrastructure, while providing agency for the affected population in the reconstruction process; stresses that this instrument should also involve international and regional stakeholders;

Or. en

Amendment 655

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 septies. sottolinea che la rubrica 3 “Europa globale” deve integrare pienamente la dimensione esterna della migrazione in un approccio globale che assicuri coerenza tra strumenti interni ed esterni, includendo prevenzione dell’immigrazione irregolare, lotta alle reti di traffico e tratta, gestione delle frontiere e cooperazione sui rimpatri;

Or. it

Amendment 656

Ruggero Razza, Roberts Zile, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 octies. chiede che, nell'ambito della rubrica 3, siano previste linee di bilancio sufficientemente granulari e visibili per gli strumenti operativi necessari all'attuazione dei provvedimenti di rimpatrio e riammissione, nonché per sostenere le strutture destinate alle procedure accelerate e di frontiera, anche in paesi terzi, inclusi hub e centri operativi, al fine di rafforzare la capacità dell'UE di gestire efficacemente i flussi migratori e controllare i propri confini;

Or. it

Amendment 657

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 g. Calls for the 'Middle East, North Africa and the Gulf Pillar' allocation to be reinforced in at least EUR 15 billion in current prices, in order to cover the wide range of cross-cutting objectives and commitments under this pillar, among which those related to conflict prevention, reconstruction and peacebuilding, and in particular the reconstruction of Gaza;

Or. en

Amendment 658

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato,

Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 h (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 nonies. invita la Commissione e gli Stati membri a subordinare, in modo proporzionato e verificabile, il sostegno finanziario dell'UE nell'ambito della rubrica 3 e l'uso degli strumenti di politica dei visti a una cooperazione effettiva dei paesi terzi in materia di gestione delle frontiere, riammissione e rimpatri, rilascio tempestivo di documenti, nonché contrasto alle reti di traffico e tratta; chiede che tale condizionalità sia accompagnata da indicatori chiari, meccanismi di monitoraggio regolari e clausole di revisione;

Or. it

Amendment 659

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 h (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 h. Commends the role of the UN and its agencies, particularly in conflict zones; calls for the EU to double down on its support to multilateralism by enhancing its political and financial support to the work of the UN and its agencies; demands that the next MFF provides dedicated, adequate and predictable funding to the UN and its agencies, particularly to UNRWA, whose work in Gaza and the West Bank is provider of essential

services is fundamental and irreplaceable to the population in the region;

Or. en

Amendment 660

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 decies. ritiene che i partenariati strategici con paesi terzi e organizzazioni regionali debbano essere legati a impegni concreti e tracciabili su rimpatri, controllo delle frontiere e lotta ai trafficanti; sottolinea che la credibilità dei partenariati richiede un chiaro nesso tra risultati conseguiti e livelli di sostegno, con indicatori misurabili;

Or. it

Amendment 661

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 i. Considers that the profound changes in Europe's security and defence considerations warrant that sufficient funding is provided to relevant programmes, such as Common Security and Defence Policy and Rapid

Amendment 662

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 undecies. sostiene l'obiettivo di destinare almeno il 10% dello strumento NDICI - Europa globale a interventi in ambito migratorio, in linea con gli orientamenti politici e con l'esigenza di affrontare i driver migratori, rafforzare la gestione ordinata dei flussi e sostenere la stabilità dei paesi partner; chiede che tale obiettivo sia reso operativo tramite tracciamento di spesa, rendicontazione annuale e piena visibilità di bilancio;

Amendment 663

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 j. Echoes its concern regarding the widespread use of 'financing not linked to costs' in the implementation of the Europe Pillar; stresses that while performance-based plans can accelerate

alignment, they must not come at the expense of sound financial management;

Or. en

Amendment 664

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 duodecies. chiede di rafforzare e rendere più visibili le risorse per l'allargamento, prevedendo una struttura di bilancio chiaramente riconoscibile per la pre-adesione e la preparazione all'adesione, evitando che il perimetro degli interventi sotto il pilastro "Europa" confonda paesi candidati e non candidati; sottolinea la necessità di uno strumento di pre-adesione dedicato, con obiettivi e criteri di assegnazione trasparenti;

Or. it

Amendment 665

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 k. Insists that the release of funds under the Europe Pillar be strictly conditional upon the fulfilment of concrete, measurable and qualitative

milestones related to the fundamentals of the accession process, in particular the rule of law and the fight against corruption, verified through a rigorous ex ante assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 666

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 I (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 terdecies. invita la Commissione a inquadrare la dimensione mediterranea dell'azione esterna in una cornice geopolitica coerente, in linea con il Patto per il Mediterraneo, orientata a promuovere la stabilità politica, lo sviluppo economico e la gestione ordinata dei flussi migratori; sottolinea la necessità di assicurare complementarità e coordinamento tra strumenti finanziari, iniziative diplomatiche e cooperazione operativa, garantendo al contempo adeguata visibilità, trasparenza e tracciabilità delle risorse allocate;

Or. it

Amendment 667

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 I (new)**

21 l. Underlines that the Europe Pillar, designed to prepare candidate countries for Union membership, must operate under the strictest standards of value compliance; calls for the application of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation to all funds allocated under the Europe Pillar, ensuring that breaches of the rule of law principles in candidate countries lead to the immediate suspension of payments, independent of the broader foreign policy discretionary mechanisms;

Or. en

Amendment 668

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Cicciooli, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 m (new)**

21 quaterdecies. sottolinea come il Global Gateway e le iniziative complementari dovrebbero essere orientate in modo prioritario alla tutela dell'interesse europeo e degli interessi dei singoli Stati membri, in particolare: lo sviluppo della competitività europea, il rafforzamento della proiezione geopolitica dell'Unione e una gestione più efficace e ordinata dei flussi migratori; sottolinea che Global Gateway nasce anche per evitare che risorse provenienti dai contribuenti europei finiscano, direttamente o indirettamente, per sostenere interessi geopolitici o geoeconomici divergenti o contrari a quelli europei; chiede che Africa e Mediterraneo siano trattati come priorità

strategiche della programmazione, con linee di bilancio chiare dedicate a progetti effettivamente strategici e con un coordinamento rafforzato e strutturato tra UE e Stati membri, così da massimizzare l'impatto, ridurre duplicazioni e garantire coerenza tra strumenti europei e iniziative nazionali;

Or. it

Amendment 669

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 m. Considers that actions related to humanitarian and developmental aid should be assessed based on an analysis of the country's or region's needs and in collaboration with the CSOs, as , in the current international context, they remain key stakeholders and partners; welcomes the intention to involve and consult CSOs in this context and considers they should be involved in the evaluation processes as well;

Or. en

Amendment 670

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 n (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 n. Calls for an increased resourcing for and meaningful engagement with civil society organisations (CSOs), especially local actors and women's rights organisations, and for structured dialogue, including through dedicated resourcing and funding streams, tailored to the needs of CSOs, as well as for Human Right Defenders (HRDs); demands that support to CSOs and HRDs in candidate countries remains independent of reform progress or possible democratic backsliding;

Or. en

Amendment 671

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 n (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 quindices. sottolinea la necessità di rafforzare la base industriale e tecnologica di difesa europea, assicurando che essa rispecchi la diversità delle imprese del settore presenti nell'Unione e le specificità del tessuto industriale degli Stati membri; ribadisce che le politiche e i finanziamenti dell'UE in materia di difesa devono rispettare le prerogative nazionali e sostenere un accesso equo alle opportunità per grandi imprese, PMI e nuovi operatori;

Or. it

Amendment 672

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 o (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 sexdecies. ritiene che la sicurezza europea debba essere costruita attraverso un approccio realistico, pragmatico e integrato; sottolinea che tale approccio richiede coerenza tra dimensione interna ed esterna, tra esigenze del fianco orientale e meridionale e tra strumenti civili e militari, evitando interventi frammentati e non coordinati;

Or. it

Amendment 673

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 o (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 o. Emphasises that humanitarian aid, by its very nature, requires substantial flexibility and response capacity; considers, therefore, that, in addition to an adequate baseline figure, humanitarian aid requires significant ring-fenced flexibility in its design to enable an effective response to the growing crises;

Or. en

Amendment 674

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 p (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 p. Underlines that local and regional governments (LRGs) are fundamental actors in achieving the objectives of Global Europe and in advancing sustainable development, given that approximately 65 % of the Sustainable Development Goals' (SDGs) targets require significant local action; insists that effective, clear and consistent mechanisms for multilevel governance and partnership be established in the future Global Europe regulation, explicitly recognising LRGs as development actors and key policymakers;

Or. en

Amendment 675

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 p (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 septdecies. evidenzia che l'obiettivo delle iniziative europee nel settore della difesa è il raggiungimento di una reale prontezza operativa; sottolinea la necessità di ridurre la frammentazione europea mediante interoperabilità, standard comuni e cooperazione industriale; ribadisce che tali iniziative

devono essere complementari alla NATO e rafforzarne la capacità di deterrenza e difesa collettiva, evitando duplicazioni;

Or. it

Amendment 676

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 q (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 octodecies. invita a evitare un'eccessiva centralizzazione delle politiche industriali della difesa a livello UE e a promuovere un ecosistema industriale europeo aperto e competitivo, nel quale PMI e start-up possano svolgere un ruolo centrale; sottolinea l'importanza delle tecnologie a duplice uso quale leva per collegare difesa, industria e crescita; evidenzia che la mobilità militare e la resilienza delle infrastrutture critiche costituiscono pilastri essenziali per la credibilità della deterrenza europea e per la proiezione geopolitica dell'Unione;

Or. it

Amendment 677

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 q (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 q. *Expresses its opposition to the possibility for the Commission to change the ODA target of 90 % by means of a delegated act; reminds that the ODA spending target is key for the EU international commitments to poverty reduction and eradication and to the principle of policy coherence for development;*

Or. en

Amendment 678

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 r (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 r. *Considers that cooperation with Greenland can significantly help the Union to advance in its strategic autonomy; calls for a substantial increase in the budgetary allocation for Greenland in order to respond to geopolitical developments and strengthen its partnership with the Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 679

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 s (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 s. *Calls on the next MFF to ensure*

at least [EUR1.5 billion in current prices] under the Global Europe Fund for dedicated, visible and ambitious ocean-related policies, including, inter alia, the European Ocean Pact, the Mediterranean Pact, support for SFPAs and RFMOs, without prejudice of further contributions from other Union instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 680

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 t (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 t. Insists that the European Investment Bank (EIB) Group be formally anchored as the Union's primary strategic financial partner within the Global Europe architecture to maximise the impact of Heading 3 resources; calls for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and enhance the budgetary guarantee and blending framework, ensuring the effective delivery of the instrument's objectives, particularly relating to competitiveness, resilience and promoting private-sector access to funding in partner countries;

Or. en

Amendment 681

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

22. betont, dass die politischen Maßnahmen der Union durch eine gut funktionierende Verwaltung flankiert werden müssen; fordert nachdrücklich, dass für die Zeit nach 2027 von Anfang an und über den gesamten MFR-Zeitraum ausreichende finanzielle und personelle Mittel vorgesehen werden, damit die Organe, Einrichtungen und dezentralen Agenturen der Union sowie die Europäische Staatsanwaltschaft eine wirksame und effiziente Politikgestaltung sowie eine hochwertige Umsetzung und Durchsetzung sicherstellen können, technische Hilfe leisten können und weiterhin für die besten Köpfe aus allen Mitgliedstaaten attraktiv sind – und so die geografische Ausgewogenheit wahren können – sowie über die Flexibilität zur Anpassung an sich wandelnde Umstände verfügen;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 682

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

22. Subraya la necesidad de que las políticas de la Unión se apoyen en una administración que funcione correctamente; insiste en que, después de 2027, se asignen desde el principio durante el período del MFP personal y recursos financieros suficientes para que las instituciones, los órganos, los organismos y las agencias descentralizadas de la Unión y la Fiscalía Europea puedan garantizar una elaboración, una aplicación y una ejecución de alta calidad de políticas eficaces y eficientes, proporcionar asistencia técnica, seguir atrayendo a *las*

22. Subraya la necesidad de que las políticas de la Unión se apoyen en una administración que funcione correctamente; insiste en que, después de 2027, se asignen desde el principio durante el período del MFP personal y recursos financieros suficientes para que las instituciones, los órganos, los organismos y las agencias descentralizadas de la Unión y la Fiscalía Europea puedan garantizar una elaboración, una aplicación y una ejecución de alta calidad de políticas eficaces y eficientes, proporcionar asistencia técnica, seguir atrayendo a *los*

mejores *personas* de todos los Estados miembros, garantizando así el equilibrio geográfico, y disponer de margen de maniobra para adaptarse a las circunstancias cambiantes;

mejores *profesionales* de todos los Estados miembros, garantizando así el equilibrio geográfico, y disponer de margen de maniobra para adaptarse a las circunstancias cambiantes; ***también recuerda, en coherencia con el objetivo de la eficiencia presupuestaria y la adecuada utilización de los recursos públicos, la necesaria evaluación de impacto de cada una de las agencias existentes, para determinar la verdadera necesidad de recursos para cada una de ellas, reforzando allí donde fuera necesario e intentando evitar duplicidades innecesarias o proyectos no coherentes con las prioridades de la Unión o reforzando;***

Or. es

Amendment 683

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Underlines the need for Union policies to be underpinned by a well-functioning administration; insists that, post-2027, sufficient financial and staff resources be allocated from the outset and throughout the MFF period so that Union institutions, bodies, decentralised agencies and the European Public Prosecutor's Office can ensure effective and efficient policy design and high-quality delivery and enforcement, provide technical assistance, continue to attract the best people from all Member States, thus ensuring geographical balance, and have leeway to adjust to changing circumstances;

Amendment

22. Underlines the need for Union policies to be underpinned by a well-functioning administration; insists that, post-2027, sufficient financial and staff resources be allocated from the outset and throughout the MFF period so that Union institutions, bodies, decentralised agencies and the European Public Prosecutor's Office, ***notbaly when their mandate is extended***, can ensure effective and efficient policy design and high-quality delivery and enforcement, provide technical assistance, continue to attract the best people from all Member States, thus ensuring geographical balance, and have leeway to adjust to changing circumstances;

Or. en

Amendment 684

Joachim Streit, Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Underlines the need for Union policies to be ***underpinned*** by a well-functioning administration; ***insists that, post-2027, sufficient financial and staff resources be allocated from the outset and throughout the MFF period so that*** Union institutions, bodies, decentralised agencies and the European Public Prosecutor's Office ***can ensure effective and efficient policy design and high-quality delivery and enforcement, provide technical assistance, continue to attract the best people from all Member States, thus ensuring geographical balance, and have leeway to adjust*** to changing circumstances;

Amendment

22. Underlines the need for Union policies to be ***supported*** by a well-functioning ***and efficient*** administration; ***stresses that administrative resources should be planned and used in accordance with the principles of sound financial management and efficiency, enabling*** Union institutions, bodies, decentralised agencies and the European Public Prosecutor's Office ***to fulfil their mandates effectively, making full use of existing resources and internal redeployment before considering additional financial or staff needs, while retaining sufficient flexibility to adapt*** to changing circumstances;

Or. en

Amendment 685

Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Call on the Commission to provide additional information on the funding and staff proposed for EU decentralized in the next MFF; Considers that, for some Agencies, the proposal of stable funding and staff, in the next MFF, will continue to undermine their ability to fulfil their mandates; Reiterates Parliaments longstanding position that any new tasks entrusted to decentralised agencies should be financed through fresh appropriations, and that redeployments from programmes and

*other priorities must be exceptional,
limited and examined with due care;*

Or. en

Amendment 686
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Emphasises that a safer and more secure Europe can only be achieved if EU decentralised agencies supporting law enforcement and judicial authorities are provided with adequate resources; stresses the need to strengthen cross-border police, judicial and inter-agency cooperation to enhance coordinated responses to transnational crime and security threats, including hybrid attacks from hostile actors; underlines the importance of ensuring sufficient funding for the relevant agencies to effectively protect European citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 687
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Expects that the Commission proposal duly reflects the actual needs across all institutions, bodies and agencies under Heading 4 and, for that reason, does not propose a further increase; fully intends, however, to defend the Commission proposal as presented and to secure the necessary funding for a

***strong, efficient and high-quality
European public administration at the
service of all Europeans;***

Or. en

Amendment 688

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Reminds that the rule of law applies equally to the institutions, bodies, offices, and agencies of the Union, in order to ensure that they themselves are bound by the same principles of transparency, accountability, and legality which are demanded of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 689

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 b. Stresses the important role of European Schools and their mission to become a beacon for high-quality multilingual and multicultural education in Europe; reiterates its call for an adequate financial allocation that will allow them to undergo the necessary reforms, in line with the recommendations set out in its resolution of 12 September 2023, and respond positively to the challenges ahead; welcomes the Commission proposal in that respect;

Amendment 690
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. stellt mit Besorgnis fest, dass sich die von der Kommission selbst auferlegte stabile Personalausstattung als unzureichend erwiesen hat, um den operativen Anforderungen gerecht zu werden; nimmt zur Kenntnis, dass die Kommission im Zusammenhang mit dem MFR 2028-2034 zusätzliche Stellen beantragt hat; besteht darauf, dass bei der Schaffung zusätzlicher Stellen der Personalbedarf aller Organe und Einrichtungen berücksichtigt werden muss; hebt den besonders besorgniserregenden Umstand hervor, dass einigen EU-Agenturen eine unzureichende operative Finanzierung zuteilwird, wodurch ihre Fähigkeit, ihren Mandaten gerecht zu werden und politische Ziele zu erreichen, erheblich eingeschränkt wird;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 691
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. note avec préoccupation que le maintien volontaire des effectifs de la Commission s'est avéré insuffisant pour répondre aux besoins opérationnels; reconnaît que, pour le CFP 2028-2034, la

supprimé

Commission a demandé des postes supplémentaires; insiste pour que les besoins en personnel de toutes les institutions et de tous les organes soient pris en compte lors de l'établissement de postes additionnels; souligne la situation particulièrement préoccupante dans laquelle se trouvent certaines agences de l'Union, qui reçoivent un financement opérationnel insuffisant, limitant gravement leur capacité à remplir leur mandat et à atteindre les objectifs politiques;

Or. fr

Amendment 692
Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. stelt met bezorgdheid vast dat met het beginsel van een stabiele personeelsbezetting dat de Commissie zichzelf heeft opgelegd, niet kan worden voldaan aan de operationele behoeften; merkt op dat de Commissie voor het MFK 2028-2034 om extra posten heeft verzocht; dringt erop aan dat bij het creëren van extra posten rekening wordt gehouden met de personeelsbehoeften van alle instellingen en organen; wijst op de bijzonder alarmerende situatie waarbij sommige EU-agentschappen onvoldoende operationele financiering ontvangen, waardoor hun capaciteit om hun mandaat te vervullen en beleidsdoelstellingen te verwezenlijken ernstig wordt beperkt;

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 693
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

Amendment

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts, ***particularly regarding the cybersecurity requirements in terms of staff***; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates, ***to comply with the Regulation for Cybersecurity of EU Institutions[1]*** and deliver on policy objectives;

[1] Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2023/2841 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2023 laying down measures for a high common level of cybersecurity at the institutions, bodies, offices and agencies of the Union; OJ L, 2023/2841, 18.12.2023, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2023/2841/oj>

Or. en

Amendment 694
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has

Amendment

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has

requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

requested additional posts; ***emphasises that, if programmes and headings are reduced and simplified, this should also be reflected in the Commission's proposals on staffing levels and human resources allocations; calls on the Commission to carry out a thorough audit in this area***; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 695
Kinga Kollár

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

Amendment

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives; ***stresses that measures should be explored to ensure that an agile and motivated workforce is available and ready to be deployed in areas that are key to addressing challenges facing the Union***;

Or. en

Amendment 696
Isabel Benjumea Benjumea

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Observa con preocupación que la dotación de personal estable autoimpuesta por la Comisión ha demostrado ser insuficiente para cumplir los requisitos operativos; reconoce que, para el MFP 2028-2034, la Comisión ha solicitado puestos adicionales; insiste en que deben tenerse en cuenta las necesidades de personal de todas las instituciones y órganos a la hora de crear puestos adicionales; destaca la situación especialmente alarmante en la que se encuentran algunas agencias de la Unión que reciben una financiación operativa insuficiente, lo que limita gravemente su capacidad para cumplir sus mandatos y alcanzar los objetivos políticos;

Amendment

23. Observa con preocupación que la dotación de personal estable autoimpuesta por la Comisión ha demostrado ser insuficiente para cumplir los requisitos operativos; reconoce que, para el MFP 2028-2034, la Comisión ha solicitado puestos adicionales; insiste en que deben tenerse en cuenta **las evaluaciones de impacto que se realicen a cada una de las agencia existentes, para poder detectar así** las necesidades de personal de todas las instituciones y órganos a la hora de crear puestos adicionales; destaca la situación especialmente alarmante en la que se encuentran algunas agencias de la Unión que reciben una financiación operativa insuficiente, lo que limita gravemente su capacidad para cumplir sus mandatos y alcanzar los objetivos políticos;

Or. es

Amendment 697
Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Notes **with concern** that the **Commission's** self-imposed stable staffing **has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that**, for the 2028-2034 MFF, **the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that** the staffing **needs** of all institutions and bodies **have to be taken**

Amendment

23. Notes that the **Commission has identified operational challenges linked to its** self-imposed stable staffing **policy; recalls that any requests for additional posts** for the 2028-2034 MFF, **must be based on demonstrated needs, objective workload assessments and efficiency considerations, and must take into**

into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

account the staffing requirements of all Union institutions and bodies; stresses that the mandates, performance and resource allocation of Union agencies should be regularly reviewed to ensure that operational funding is aligned with clearly defined tasks, measurable objectives and available budgetary resources;

Or. en

Amendment 698

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

Amendment

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven inadequate to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives **and enforcement as well as their regulatory obligations;**

Or. en

Amendment 699

Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. note avec préoccupation que le maintien volontaire des effectifs de la Commission s'est avéré insuffisant pour répondre aux besoins opérationnels; reconnaît que, pour le CFP 2028-2034, la Commission a demandé des postes supplémentaires; insiste pour que les besoins en personnel de toutes les institutions et de tous les organes soient pris en compte lors de l'établissement de postes additionnels; souligne ***la situation particulièrement préoccupante dans laquelle se trouvent*** certaines agences de l'Union, ***qui reçoivent un financement opérationnel insuffisant, limitant gravement leur capacité à remplir leur mandat et à atteindre les objectifs politiques;***

23. note avec préoccupation que le maintien volontaire des effectifs de la Commission s'est avéré insuffisant pour répondre aux besoins opérationnels; reconnaît que, pour le CFP 2028-2034, la Commission a demandé des postes supplémentaires; insiste pour que les besoins en personnel de toutes les institutions et de tous les organes soient pris en compte lors de l'établissement de postes additionnels; souligne ***que*** certaines agences de l'Union ***pourraient être fusionnées dans un souci d'efficacité, permettant ainsi de rationaliser leur action et de dégager des marges d'économies;***

Or. fr

Amendment 700

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven ***inadequate*** to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

Amendment

23. Notes with concern that the Commission's self-imposed stable staffing has proven ***counterproductive*** to meet operational requirements; acknowledges that, for the 2028-2034 MFF, the Commission has requested additional posts; insists that the staffing needs of all institutions and bodies have to be taken into account when establishing additional posts; highlights the particularly alarming situation whereby some EU agencies receive inadequate operational funding, severely limiting their capacity to fulfil their mandates and deliver on policy objectives;

Amendment 701

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 a. Stresses that the increased security challenges facing Europe require strengthened cross-border police, judicial and inter-agency cooperation to address transnational crime, security threats and hybrid attacks; recalls that a safer Europe depends on adequately resourced EU decentralised agencies, ensuring that reinforcements in law enforcement cooperation are accompanied by reinforcements in judicial cooperation; underlines the need to provide appropriate resources to these agencies; highlights the need to strengthen the funding and operational capacity of Eurojust, given its key role in cross-border judicial cooperation, including the support for Joint Investigative Teams, through sufficient and stable financial resources ; emphasises the need to ensure adequate, stable and long-term funding for Union agencies with a central role in migration management and border security, in particular Frontex and Europol, enabling them to fully exercise their mandates; underlines that sufficient human, technical and financial resources are indispensable for strengthening operational support to Member States, enhancing border surveillance and control, combating migrant smuggling and trafficking networks, and improving coordination, information exchange and joint operations at Union level;

Amendment 702

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 b. Highlights the need to ensure adequate and reinforced funding for the European Public Prosecutor's Office, in order to strengthen its capacity to investigate and prosecute crimes affecting the Union's financial interests, including cross-border and organised fraud;

Or. en

Amendment 703

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. is ingenomen met het voorstel van de Commissie voor een flexibiliteitsinstrument waaraan niet enkel een vast bedrag zou worden toegewezen, maar ook aanvullende middelen die afkomstig zijn van ontvangsten in verband met geldboeten en vrijmakingen; is, in het licht van zijn standpunt van 7 mei 2025, van mening dat aan het flexibiliteitsinstrument middelen moet worden toegewezen uit extra bronnen, zoals niet-bestede marges van voorgaande jaren, het jaarlijkse overschot van het voorgaande jaar en teruggevloede middelen uit financieringsinstrumenten, samen met de huidige en toekomstige marges als laatste redmiddel; is ook van mening dat dit fonds in hoge mate vervroegd moet worden ingezet; is ervan overtuigd dat externe

Schrappen

bestemmingsontvangsten en bedragen die opnieuw ter beschikking worden gesteld in het kader van in programmaspecifieke bepalingen vastgelegde specifieke regels inzake ontvangsten en vrijmakingen, van deze algemene regel kunnen worden vrijgesteld;

Or. nl

Amendment 704
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. begrüßt den Vorschlag der Kommission für ein Flexibilitätsinstrument, das nicht nur einen Festbetrag, sondern auch zusätzliche Mittel aus Einnahmen im Zusammenhang mit Geldbußen und aufgehobenen Mittelbindungen aufweisen soll; vertritt mit Blick auf seinen Standpunkt vom 7. Mai 2025 die Ansicht, dass dem Flexibilitätsinstrument Mittel aus zusätzlichen Quellen zugeführt werden sollten, und zwar nicht ausgeschöpfte Spielräume aus den Vorjahren, der jährliche Überschuss aus dem Vorjahr, Rückflüsse aus Finanzierungsinstrumenten sowie – als letztes Mittel – auch aktuelle und künftige Spielräume; ist ferner der Ansicht, dass dabei ein höherer Mitteleinsatz zu Beginn erfolgen sollte; ist der Ansicht, dass externe zweckgebundene Einnahmen sowie Beträge, die nach spezifischen Vorschriften über Einnahmen und die Aufhebung von Mittelbindungen in programmspezifischen Bestimmungen wieder eingesetzt werden, von dieser allgemeinen Regel ausgenommen werden könnten;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 705

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. **salue** la proposition de la Commission visant à doter l'instrument de flexibilité **non seulement** d'un montant fixe, **mais aussi de** fonds supplémentaires provenant de recettes liées aux amendes et aux dégagements; **estime, à la lumière de sa position du 7 mai 2025, que** l'instrument de flexibilité devrait être **alimenté par d'autres sources, à savoir les marges non utilisées des années précédentes, l'excédent annuel de l'année précédente et les retours sur les instruments financiers, conjointement avec les marges actuelles et futures en dernier ressort; estime également qu'il devrait être fortement concentré** en début de période; **considère** que les recettes affectées externes et les montants rendus disponibles en vertu de règles spécifiques en matière de recettes et de dégagements prévues dans les dispositions propres aux programmes pourraient être exemptés de cette règle générale;

Amendment

24. **prend note de** la proposition de la Commission visant à doter l'instrument de flexibilité d'un montant fixe **complété par des** fonds supplémentaires provenant de recettes liées aux amendes et aux dégagements, **tout en rappelant que, selon la Cour des comptes européenne, le cadre actuel de flexibilité budgétaire est excessivement complexe, insuffisamment justifié et marqué par des chevauchements entre les différents outils; souligne que, comme l'a relevé la Cour dans son rapport spécial n° 18/2025, la Commission n'a procédé à aucune évaluation ex ante ni rétrospective du fonctionnement des marges, du dispositif de marge unique ni de l'instrument de flexibilité, ce qui ne permet ni d'apprécier l'efficacité réelle de ces mécanismes ni d'en tirer des enseignements pour l'avenir ; souligne que le recours prioritaire devrait être donné aux marges non utilisées des années précédentes, à l'excédent budgétaire annuel et aux redéploiements internes, avant toute mobilisation d'instruments spéciaux au-delà des plafonds du CFP; s'oppose enfin à une concentration excessive de l'instrument de flexibilité** en début de période, **qui risquerait d'en épuiser prématurément les ressources et de réduire la capacité de réaction de l'Union face à de véritables événements imprévus au cours de la période du CFP; estime** que les recettes liées aux amendes et aux dégagements **devraient permettre de baisser la participation des états membres contributeurs nets;**

Or. fr

Amendment 706

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; considers, in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded; considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule;

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; considers, in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded; ***calls for a simplification and rationalisation of procedures in the mobilisation of Special Instruments, therefore, considers the existence of the Single Margin Instrument to be obsolete and calls for its features to be incorporated into the Flexibility Instrument***; considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule;

Or. en

Amendment 707

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Cicciooli, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta, Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. accoglie con favore la proposta della Commissione di istituire uno strumento di flessibilità che sia costituito non solo da un importo fisso, ma anche da fondi supplementari provenienti dalle entrate relative alle ammende e ai disimpegni; ritiene, alla luce della sua posizione del 7 maggio 2025, che lo strumento di flessibilità dovrebbe ricevere fondi da fonti aggiuntive, segnatamente i margini non spesi degli esercizi precedenti, l'eccedenza annuale dell'esercizio precedente e i rientri di capitale da strumenti finanziari, unitamente ai margini attuali e futuri in ultima istanza; ritiene inoltre che esso dovrebbe essere fortemente concentrato nella fase iniziale; ritiene altresì che le entrate con destinazione specifica esterne e gli importi resi nuovamente disponibili a titolo delle norme specifiche in materia di entrate e disimpegni nelle disposizioni specifiche per programma potrebbero essere esentati da tale norma generale;

Amendment

24. accoglie con favore la proposta della Commissione di istituire uno strumento di flessibilità che sia costituito non solo da un importo fisso, ma anche da fondi supplementari provenienti dalle entrate relative alle ammende e ai disimpegni; ritiene, alla luce della sua posizione del 7 maggio 2025, che lo strumento di flessibilità dovrebbe ricevere fondi da fonti aggiuntive, segnatamente i margini non spesi degli esercizi precedenti, l'eccedenza annuale dell'esercizio precedente e i rientri di capitale da strumenti finanziari, unitamente ai margini attuali e futuri in ultima istanza; ritiene inoltre che esso dovrebbe essere fortemente concentrato nella fase iniziale; ritiene altresì che le entrate con destinazione specifica esterne e gli importi resi nuovamente disponibili a titolo delle norme specifiche in materia di entrate e disimpegni nelle disposizioni specifiche per programma potrebbero essere esentati da tale norma generale; ***ritiene che gli strumenti speciali e di flessibilità debbano poter essere utilizzati, in via prioritaria, per garantire la disponibilità di forniture sanitarie essenziali e il rafforzamento delle catene di approvvigionamento critiche in caso di crisi sanitarie;***

Or. it

Amendment 708

Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but

also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; considers, in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded; considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule;

also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; considers, in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded; considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule; ***recalls that the precedent for decommitments flowing back to the budget line of origin in the NDICI was a condition of the European Parliament for budgetizing the European Development Fund during negotiations for the current budget cycle, and must be protected;***

Or. en

Amendment 709

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; ***considers***, in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded;

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; ***insists*** in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded;

considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule;

considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule; ***in the same spirit, proposes to ensure that reflows and surplus provisioning stemming from financial instruments and budgetary guarantees remain within the EU budget for re-use;***

Or. en

Amendment 710

Joachim Streit, Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. ***Welcomes*** the Commission's proposal ***for a Flexibility Instrument to be constituted not only of a fixed amount but also of additional funds from revenue relating to fines and decommitments; considers, in the light of its position of 7 May 2025, that the Flexibility Instrument should receive funds from additional sources, namely unspent margins from previous years, the annual surplus from the previous year and reflows from financial instruments, together with current and future margins as a last resort; considers also that it should be heavily frontloaded; considers that external assigned revenue and amounts made available again under specific revenue and decommitment rules in programme-specific provisions could be exempted from this general rule;***

Amendment

24. ***Takes note of*** the Commission's proposal ***concerning*** the Flexibility Instrument; ***recalls that*** unspent margins, ***surpluses and decommitments primarily serve as safeguards of budgetary discipline within the MFF; stresses that the use of such amounts for flexibility purposes must remain exceptional, clearly justified and limited in scope, and must not undermine the expenditure ceilings or lead to the systematic creation of additional spending capacity through frontloading or the accumulation of multiple funding sources; underlines the need for full transparency and robust parliamentary scrutiny;***

Or. en

Amendment 711

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Proposes that revenues from fines imposed under Council Regulations (EC) No 2022/2065, (EC) No 2022/1925, and (EC) No 2024/1689 be made available as an additional allocation of commitment and payment appropriations to AgoraEU, following the precedent of the programme-specific adjustment established under the 2021–2027 MFF, and taking into account the significant risks and negative effects that digital market dominance poses to culture, media, democracy, and fundamental rights, including job losses, market distortion, and the spread of information manipulation and disinformation;

Or. en

Amendment 712

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 bis. alerte la Commission sur le niveau de corruption en Ukraine ; réaffirme son opposition à l'entrée de l'Ukraine dans l'Union Européenne, le climat régnant dans ce pays étant aujourd'hui incompatible avec les principes de conditionnalité liée à l'état de droit ; rappelle que l'entrée de l'Ukraine dans l'Union Européenne aboutirait au massacre de l'agriculture européenne actuelle que nous devons protéger et sauvegarder;

Amendment 713
Alexander Jungbluth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. bekräftigt ferner seine Auffassung, dass es ein zweites besonderes Instrument geben sollte, nämlich die Solidaritätsreserve für Naturkatastrophen, über die im Falle von Naturkatastrophen für Solidarität gesorgt werden soll; ist der Ansicht, dass im Rahmen beider besonderen Instrumente nicht in Anspruch genommene Beträge zwecks Gewährleistung von Flexibilität über den gesamten MFR-Zeitraum übertragen werden können sollten; **entfällt**

Or. de

Amendment 714
Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. herhaalt ook zijn standpunt dat er een tweede speciaal instrument moet komen, een solidariteitsreserve voor natuurrampen, die gericht is op het waarborgen van solidariteit in geval van natuurrampen; is van mening dat beide speciale instrumenten niet-bestede bedragen gedurende de hele MFK-periode moeten kunnen overdragen om flexibiliteit te waarborgen; **Schrappen**

Or. nl

Amendment 715
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Amendment

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, ***endowed with substantial financial resources; recalls that, according to the 2024 European Climate Risk Assessment Report, cumulative economic losses could reach EUR 175 billion (around 1.4 % of EU GDP); Believes that the instrument should be*** dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters ***and should be governed by rules allowing for rapid disbursement and higher advance payments*** ; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Or. en

Amendment 716
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Amendment

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters ***of 3.3 bn. EUR/year in current prices (3 bn. EUR/year in constant prices) to be mobilised over and above the MFF ceilings***, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters ***which should complement the existing cascade mechanism for crisis situations, such as***

the flexibility provisions in the NRPPs and the EU Facility; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Or. en

Amendment 717

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Amendment

25. *Reiterates its call for the post-2027 MFF to ensure adequate funding for preparedness and rapid crisis response, ensuring the UCPM will remain the primary EU-level framework for general-purpose crisis response*; restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Or. en

Amendment 718

Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the

Amendment

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the

Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility; ***Underlines that the Solidarity Reserve Fund shall ensure that individuals, entities, islands, and regions facing natural disasters related challenges will be compensated within a period of twelve weeks.***

Or. en

Amendment 719

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for ***Natural Disasters***, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Amendment

25. Restates also its view that there should be a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for ***Crisis***, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters ***based on a 'build back better' approach and building resilience prioritising nature-based solutions in reconstruction as well as for humanitarian aid needs***; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Or. en

Amendment 720

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. **Restates** also its view **that there should be** a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Amendment

25. **Reiterates** also its view **of the strong necessity of** a second special instrument, the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, dedicated to ensuring solidarity in the event of natural disasters **which have become more frequent and more destructive in the past years and those events only increase in the upcoming years**; considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over unspent amounts throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility;

Or. en

Amendment 721

Joachim Streit, Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Restates **also** its view that **there should be a second special instrument, the** Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters, **dedicated to ensuring solidarity** in the event of natural disasters; **considers that both special instruments should be able to carry over** unspent amounts **throughout the MFF period, to guarantee flexibility**;

Amendment

25. Restates its view that **a** Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters **can play a role in supporting Member States** in the event of **major** natural disasters; **stresses that the use of such an instrument must remain strictly needs-based, transparent and time-limited, and that any carry-over of unspent amounts should be clearly justified and limited, in order to preserve budgetary discipline and avoid the accumulation of permanent reserves**;

Or. en

Amendment 722

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

sottolinea che la dotazione di bilancio proposta di 10,67 miliardi di EUR per il Meccanismo di protezione civile dell'Unione (UCPM) è inadeguata a fronteggiare la portata e la complessità delle minacce attuali ed emergenti, inclusa la crescente frequenza e gravità delle calamità naturali e di origine antropica;

Or. it

Amendment 723
Jean-Marc Germain, Gabriele Bischoff, Estelle Ceulemans

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 a. Strongly deplores the discontinuation of the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund for Displaced Workers (EGF) in the Commission's proposal; recalls the importance of active labour market and social protection measures in reintegrating displaced workers into the labour market following major restructuring events; calls on the Commission to ensure continued dedicated support for workers at risk from or displaced by major restructuring events through a permanent instrument in the 2028-2034 MFF, with a budgetary envelope that is at least double the size of the current one, given the scale and frequency of recent restructuring;

Or. en

Amendment 724
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 a. *Proposes that revenues from fines imposed under Council Regulations (EC) No 2022/2065, (EC) No 2022/1925, and (EC) No 2024/1689 be made available as an additional allocation of commitment and payment appropriations to AgoraEU, following the precedent of the programme-specific adjustment established under the 2021–2027 MFF, and taking into account the significant risks and negative effects that digital market dominance poses to culture, media, democracy, and fundamental rights, including job losses, market distortion, and the spread of information manipulation and disinformation;*

Or. en

Amendment 725
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 a. *25a. Proposes that revenues from fines imposed under Council Regulations (EC) No 2022/2065, (EC) No 2022/1925, and (EC) No 2024/1689 be made available as an additional allocation of commitment and payment appropriations to AgoraEU, following the precedent of the programme-specific adjustment established under the 2021–2027 MFF, and taking into account the significant risks and negative effects that digital market dominance poses to culture,*

media, democracy, and fundamental rights, including job losses, market distortion, and the spread of information manipulation and disinformation.

Or. en

Amendment 726

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccioi, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 bis. sottolinea che la riserva di solidarietà per le calamità naturali deve garantire una risposta rapida, semplice e prevedibile; invita la Commissione a definire procedure di mobilitazione snelle e tempi certi, prevedendo, ove pertinente, meccanismi di prefinanziamento e un chiaro coordinamento con UCPM+ e rescEU e gli altri strumenti pertinenti, al fine di evitare sovrapposizioni e massimizzare l'efficacia degli interventi sul terreno;

Or. it

Amendment 727

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccioi, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 ter. osserva che l'allineamento dei finanziamenti a livello dell'UE con i piani nazionali di preparazione e risposta (NRPP) per il periodo di programmazione 2028-2034 rafforza l'efficacia del Meccanismo di protezione civile dell'Unione (UCPM); chiede l'istituzione, all'interno dell'UCPM, di una dotazione finanziaria rafforzata e vincolata, mediante una concentrazione tematica sulla gestione dei disastri basata su un approccio multi-rischio e intersettoriale e su una valutazione dei rischi a livello dell'UE, al fine di garantire risorse sufficienti ad affrontare rischi simultanei e sempre più complessi; sottolinea che tale concentrazione tematica migliorerebbe la preparazione, rafforzerebbe il coordinamento tra gli Stati membri e accrescerebbe la resilienza di fronte a crisi multifattoriali;

Or. it

Amendment 728

Jean-Marc Germain, Gabriele Bischoff, Estelle Ceulemans

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 b. Underlines the crucial role played by the European instrument for temporary Support to mitigate Unemployment Risks in an Emergency (SURE) to protect workers and mitigate the severely negative socio-economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic; stresses the need to support short-time work schemes building on the positive experience of SURE; calls for a reinforced SURE mechanism, as it believes that supporting such employment-protection measures can stabilise public expenditure dedicated to employment policies and just transition measures to mitigate the immediate

impacts on jobs of the necessary transformation of the EU economy, and for a European industrial policy for quality jobs and the reinforcement of the EU's economic and social resilience;

Or. en

Amendment 729

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 quater. ricorda la necessità di garantire finanziamenti sufficienti, stabili e prevedibili per i vigili del fuoco professionisti e per il personale sanitario, al fine di preservare l'efficienza operativa e la capacità di risposta rapida nell'ambito del Meccanismo di protezione civile dell'Unione (UCPM);

Or. it

Amendment 730

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 quinquies. chiede il rafforzamento del Meccanismo di protezione civile dell'Unione (UCPM) attraverso

finanziamenti supplementari, con particolare attenzione alle esigenze regionali, incluse quelle delle regioni ultraperiferiche e delle regioni situate in prossimità di conflitti armati; sottolinea che la distribuzione dei fondi dovrebbe integrare in modo trasversale il principio di territorialità, garantendo che le specificità geografiche, strutturali e connesse alla sicurezza siano debitamente prese in considerazione;

Or. it

Amendment 731
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. *stellt fest, dass die Kommission im Rahmen der MFR-Verordnung Mittel in Höhe von 88 Mrd. EUR für die Ukrainereserve vorschlägt, um Maßnahmen im Zusammenhang mit der Heranführungshilfe, der Erholung und dem Wiederaufbau zu finanzieren; ist der Ansicht, dass mit diesem Betrag erhebliche Unterstützung geleistet werden könnte; stellt jedoch fest, dass diese Mittelzuweisung im Falle eines Andauerns des Konflikts nicht ausreichen würde, um den Bedürfnissen der Ukraine gerecht zu werden; fordert eine Aufstockung und frühzeitige Bereitstellung der Mittel aus der Reserve, damit die Ukraine zusätzlich unterstützt werden kann;*

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 732
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. note que la Commission propose 88 milliards d'EUR pour la réserve pour l'Ukraine dans le cadre du règlement CFP, afin de financer l'aide de préadhésion ainsi que les efforts de redressement et de reconstruction; estime que ce montant pourrait apporter un soutien substantiel; observe toutefois que, si le conflit devait se prolonger, cette allocation serait insuffisante pour répondre aux besoins de l'Ukraine; demande une augmentation et une concentration accrue en début de période de la réserve, afin de fournir un soutien supplémentaire à l'Ukraine;

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 733
Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. merkt op dat de Commissie 88 miljard EUR voorstelt voor de reserve voor Oekraïne in het kader van de MFK-verordening, ter financiering van pretoetredingssteun en inspanningen voor herstel en wederopbouw; is van mening dat met dit bedrag uitgebreide steun kan worden geboden; merkt echter op dat indien het conflict aanhoudt, deze toewijzing niet zou volstaan om aan de behoeften van Oekraïne te voldoen; dringt aan op een verhoging en vervroegde inzet van de reserve om te voorzien in extra steun voor Oekraïne;

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 734

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 735

Danuše Nerudová, Jan Farský

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;

Amendment

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine; ***believes that Hungary, the Czech Republic and Slovakia should participate in all EU budgetary solidarity instruments***

supporting Ukraine in its fight against the Putin regime, and should not act as free riders when it comes to European budgetary liabilities in this area and the defence of the continent ;

Or. en

Amendment 736

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;

Amendment

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; **welcomes the placing of the Ukraine Reserve over and above the MFF ceiling to cater for Ukraine's exceptional and unpredictable needs while ensuring that support to Ukraine will not be to the detriment of other partner countries and regions**; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 737

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Notes that the Commission

Amendment

26. Notes that the Commission

proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;

proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; ***in addition, deplors the lack of clarity of the financing structure for Ukraine between pre-accession support and support for reconstruction; calls therefore for a change in its nomenclature; moreover,*** calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 738
Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. note que la Commission propose 88 milliards d'EUR pour la réserve pour l'Ukraine dans le cadre du règlement CFP, afin de financer l'aide de préadhésion ainsi que les efforts de redressement et de reconstruction; estime que ce montant pourrait apporter un soutien substantiel; observe toutefois que, si le conflit devait se prolonger, cette allocation serait insuffisante pour répondre aux besoins de l'Ukraine; demande une augmentation et une concentration accrue en début de période de la réserve, afin de fournir un soutien supplémentaire à l'Ukraine;

Amendment

26. note que la Commission propose 88 milliards d'EUR pour la réserve pour l'Ukraine dans le cadre du règlement CFP, afin de financer l'aide de préadhésion ainsi que les efforts de redressement et de reconstruction; estime que ce montant pourrait apporter un soutien substantiel; ***encourage les efforts pour mettre fin au conflit et trouver un accord de paix;*** observe toutefois que, si le conflit devait se prolonger, cette allocation serait insuffisante pour répondre aux besoins de l'Ukraine; demande une augmentation et une concentration accrue en début de période de la réserve, afin de fournir un soutien supplémentaire à l'Ukraine;

Or. fr

Amendment 739

Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; ***observes, however, that should the conflict continue, this allocation would be insufficient to meet Ukraine's needs; calls for an increase and frontloading of the Reserve, with a view to providing additional support to Ukraine;***

Amendment

26. Notes that the Commission proposes EUR 88 billion for the Ukraine Reserve within the MFF regulation to finance pre-accession assistance, recovery and reconstruction efforts; considers that this amount could provide substantial support; ***stresses that such support must be clearly targeted, transparent and subject to strict conditionality and effective oversight, and without creating permanent or open-ended budgetary commitments;***

Or. en

Amendment 740

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. ***Observa que a Comissão propõe 88 mil milhões de EUR para a Reserva para a Ucrânia no âmbito do Regulamento QFP, a fim de financiar a assistência de pré-adesão, a recuperação e os esforços de reconstrução; considera que este montante poderia proporcionar um apoio substancial; assinala, no entanto, que, se o conflito continuar, esta dotação será insuficiente para satisfazer as necessidades da Ucrânia; apela a um aumento e à antecipação dos montantes da Reserva, com vista a prestar apoio adicional à Ucrânia;***

Amendment

26. Considera que ***o orçamento da UE deve reflectir opções políticas dirigidas à defesa da paz e do respeito pelos princípios do direito internacional, à criação de soluções de segurança colectiva e à cooperação entre povos e Estados; recusa a utilização do orçamento da UE para promover políticas de confrontação e guerra, incluindo a mobilização de meios orçamentais da UE, nomeadamente através de instrumentos especiais, para promover o prolongamento da guerra que se trava na Ucrânia;***

Or. pt

Amendment 741

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Gabriel Mato, Younous Omarjee, André Rodrigues, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral, Sérgio Gonçalves, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Marie-Luce Brasier-Clain, Rody Tolassy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 bis. 1. Stresses the importance of ensuring the full application of Article 349 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and of enshrining the Union's permanent commitment to the outermost regions; calls for the establishment, within the Multiannual Financial Framework 2028–2034, of a dedicated and specific budgetary line for these regions; underlines that this budgetary line must ensure the preservation of the EU acquis applicable to the outermost regions and adequately address their permanent structural constraints; insists that the level of this budgetary allocation shall not be lower than EUR 2 562 000 000 (at 2025 prices). [1].

[1] COMPENSATION FUNDS: specific allocations under the ERDF (Article 14) and the ESF+ (Article 5), EMFAF (Article 5).

2. Calls for, under a separate budgetary line outside the National and Regional Partnership Plans, a specific financial allocation to be provided to ensure the continuity of the POSEI programme, under the same conditions as those laid down in Regulation (EU) No 228/2013; insists that this allocation shall be set at no less than EUR 9 142 000 000 (at 2025 prices). [2][2] REGULATION (EU) No 228/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 13 March 2013 laying down specific measures in the agricultural sector for the benefit of the outermost

Amendment 742
Danuše Nerudová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 a. Believes that the MFF should also ensure support for Member States not only bordering Ukraine but also significantly affected by the war in Ukraine, whether through humanitarian and migratory consequences; recalls, in this regard, that more than 4 million people displaced from Ukraine currently were granted temporary protection in the Union, with several Member States hosting numbers equivalent to over 2–3 % of their total population, thereby facing sustained pressure on public services, housing, education, healthcare and local budgets, while acknowledging the positive economic impact of Ukrainian workers and employees in Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 743
Emma Fourreau, Younous Omarjee

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 a. Expresses its conviction that the Do Not Significant Harm Principle needs to be operationalised and implemented in a coherent manner to avoid loopholes and ensure that environmentally harmful subsidies are phased out;

Amendment 744**Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 27***Motion for a resolution*

27. rappelle que **le CFP actuel a été sous-financé, ce qui a rendu nécessaire une révision du CFP en 2024; note que, malgré une flexibilité accrue dans la proposition de CFP 2028-2034, les montants globaux proposés par la Commission demeurent insuffisants;**

Amendment

27. rappelle que **l'augmentation de la flexibilité ne saurait se substituer à une planification budgétaire prudente, prévisible et transparente; estime que la proposition de CFP 2028-2034 de la Commission, loin de corriger ces faiblesses structurelles, perpétue une logique de recours excessif à la flexibilité, sans garantir une meilleure efficacité de la dépense ni un contrôle renforcé; souligne enfin que la réponse aux défis futurs doit reposer sur une meilleure allocation des ressources existantes et sur des économies ciblées, plutôt que sur une augmentation des montants globaux du CFP;**

Amendment 745**Rasmus Nordqvist**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 27***Motion for a resolution*

27. Recalls that the current MFF has been underfunded, which required an MFF revision in 2024; notes that while there is increased flexibility in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal, the overall amounts in the Commission proposal are still not sufficient;

Amendment

27. Recalls that the current MFF has been underfunded, which required an MFF revision in 2024; notes that while there is increased flexibility in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal, **is however deeply concern about the depletion of flexibility as outlined in the letter from the President of European Commission letter of the 6th January the**

overall amounts in the Commission proposal are still not sufficient;

Or. en

Amendment 746

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Recalls that the current MFF *has been underfunded, which required an MFF revision* in 2024; notes that while *there is* increased flexibility *in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal, the overall amounts in the Commission proposal are still not sufficient*;

Amendment

27. Recalls that the current MFF *was revised* in 2024; notes that while *the Commission's proposal for the 2028–2034 MFF provides for* increased flexibility, *any consideration of additional financial resources must be preceded by clear prioritisation, efficient use of available resources and effective implementation*;

Or. en

Amendment 747

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. *weist darauf hin, dass der derzeitige MFR unterfinanziert ist, was eine Überarbeitung des MFR im Jahr 2024 erforderlich machte*; stellt fest, dass der Vorschlag für den MFR 2028-2034 *zwar flexibler ist, die Gesamtbeträge im Vorschlag der Kommission jedoch nach wie vor nicht ausreichen*;

Amendment

27. stellt fest, dass der Vorschlag für den MFR 2028–2034 *trotz seiner Flexibilität eine unverhältnismäßige Ausweitung des EU-Haushalts vorsieht und die vorgeschlagenen Gesamtbeträge überhöht sind*;

Or. de

Amendment 748

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Recalls that the current MFF has been underfunded, which required **an** MFF revision in 2024; notes that while there is increased flexibility in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal, the overall amounts in the Commission proposal are still not sufficient;

Amendment

27. Recalls that the current MFF has been underfunded **and not equipped to face crises and unforeseen events**, which required **a significant** MFF revision in 2024; notes that while there is increased flexibility in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal, the overall amounts in the Commission proposal are still not sufficient;

Or. en

Amendment 749

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Recalls that the current MFF **has** been underfunded, which required an MFF revision in 2024; notes that **while** there is increased flexibility in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal, **the overall amounts in the Commission proposal are still not sufficient**;

Amendment

27. Recalls that the **certain policy areas within the** current MFF **have** been underfunded, which required an MFF revision in 2024; notes that **therefore** there is increased flexibility in the 2028-2034 MFF proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 750

Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a

Amendment

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a

review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;

review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation; *stresses that, in the case of external action, agreeing a limited number of spending targets and ring-fencing strategic investments such as humanitarian aid is essential for protecting long-term investments in the case of a mid-term revision; emphasises that minimum levels for key priorities, spending targets and clarity on the balance between programmable and non-programmable funding are therefore necessary to ensure transparency, continuity and effective parliamentary scrutiny during any future revision of the MFF;*

Or. en

Amendment 751

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in

Amendment

28. ***Regrets that the proposed MFF regulation does not include a mid-term review clause;*** insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate,

accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;

be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation; ***recalls the mandatory mid-term revision clause that was adopted in the 2014-2020 MFF Regulation and regrets that this was omitted in the 2021-2027 period;***

Or. en

Amendment 752

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, ***as*** appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; ***stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;***

Amendment

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, ***if and when*** appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 753

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;

Amendment

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, ***particularly important for balanced EU growth***, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 754

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;

Amendment

28. Insists that the MFF regulation must require the Commission to present a review of the functioning of the MFF, by July 2031 at the latest, taking full account of the economic situation at that time, as well as the latest macroeconomic projections ***and relevant global developments***; is also of the view that such a compulsory review should, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU; stresses that any such revision should not reduce pre-allocated national envelopes, as set out in the NRPP Regulation;

Amendment 755

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. herinnert eraan dat in 2034 Europese verkiezingen worden gehouden; is van mening dat de datum waarop het voorstel voor de volgende MFK-verordening moet worden ingediend, namelijk 1 juli 2033, veel later dan gewoonlijk is voor de levenscyclus van een MFK; dringt erop aan dat het voorstel voor het MFK voor de periode na 2034 uiterlijk in juli 2032 wordt ingediend;

Amendment

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 756

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Recalls that European elections will take place in 2034; considers that the date of publication of the proposal for the following MFF Regulation, i.e. 1 July 2033, is significantly later than usual in the MFF life cycle; calls for the proposal for the post-2034 MFF to be published by July 2032;

Amendment

29. Recalls that European elections will take place in 2034; considers that the date of publication of the proposal for the following MFF Regulation, i.e. 1 July 2033, is significantly later than usual in the MFF life cycle; calls for the proposal for the post-2034 MFF to be published by July 2032 **at the latest**;

Or. en

Amendment 757

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Amendment

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries ***lowering administrative burden to accessing the EU budget and making spending more efficient***; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; ***stresses that the proposed performance framework may lead to additional burdens for managing authorities and final beneficiaries***; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU; ***insists that simplification must not be used as pretext for undermining the multi-level governance and partnership principles, as taking their proper application into account will increase the effectiveness of EU spending and will be essential for the success of the EU's MFF***;

Or. en

Amendment 758

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Toma nota del discurso de la Comisión de que sus propuestas pretenden aumentar la flexibilidad y la simplificación en el próximo MFP; recuerda que el Parlamento ha defendido sistemáticamente la simplificación para los beneficiarios finales; reconoce la necesidad de flexibilidad, pero rechaza firmemente cualquier enfoque que sacrifique la transparencia bajo el pretexto de la eficiencia; lamenta que las propuestas de simplificación de la Comisión beneficien principalmente a sus propios procesos en lugar de a los beneficiarios finales, lo que agrava el déficit democrático en la Unión; insiste en que la simplificación no debe comprometer la calidad de los programas ni la rendición de cuentas democrática; subraya que el Parlamento establecerá todos los mecanismos de transparencia necesarios para desempeñar su papel de guardián de los intereses de los ciudadanos; advierte de que la flexibilidad sin transparencia ampliaría el déficit en materia de rendición de cuentas democrática y socavaría la confianza ciudadana en la Unión;

Amendment

30. Toma nota del discurso de la Comisión de que sus propuestas pretenden aumentar la flexibilidad y la simplificación en el próximo MFP; recuerda que el Parlamento ha defendido sistemáticamente la simplificación para los beneficiarios finales; reconoce la necesidad de flexibilidad, pero rechaza firmemente cualquier enfoque que sacrifique la transparencia bajo el pretexto de la eficiencia; ***insiste en una simplificación significativa de las normas para los beneficiarios finales, especialmente las pymes, con el fin de reducir las cargas administrativas desproporcionadas, los riesgos de doble financiación y el fraude, garantizando al mismo tiempo la evaluación ex ante y ex post de los cambios, de conformidad con las recomendaciones del Tribunal de Cuentas Europeo, en especial en lo relativo a las reformas e inversiones incluidas en los NRPPs***; lamenta que las propuestas de simplificación de la Comisión beneficien principalmente a sus propios procesos en lugar de a los beneficiarios finales, lo que agrava el déficit democrático en la Unión; insiste en que la simplificación no debe comprometer la calidad de los programas ni la rendición de cuentas democrática; subraya que el Parlamento establecerá todos los mecanismos de transparencia necesarios para desempeñar su papel de guardián de los intereses de los ciudadanos; advierte de que la flexibilidad sin transparencia ampliaría el déficit en materia de rendición de cuentas democrática y socavaría la confianza ciudadana en la Unión;

Or. es

Amendment 759

Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; ***regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;***

Amendment

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; ***acknowledges that flexibility is essential for responding to unforeseen challenges, but it must be anchored in a framework that guarantees accountability, predictability, transparency and policy coherence;*** recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency ***and democratic oversight*** under the guise of efficiency; ***observes that simplification measures should entail a balance between the need for better accessibility to funds and the protection of the EU budget and should take into due consideration concerns and inputs from institutional and non-institutional stakeholders; reiterates that draft amending budgets and autonomous transfers by the Commission on the lowest level of the nomenclature have provided budgetary flexibility in between the annual budgetary procedure; calls for a more granular nomenclature to allow for a meaningful annual budgetary procedure and draft amending budgets; reiterates that the annual budgetary procedure is the core democratic mechanism to allocate funds within the Multiannual Financial Framework;***

Or. en

Amendment 760
Roberts Zile

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Amendment

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification **and deregulation** in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries **and for facilitating access for beneficiaries including SME's to all EU funding and advisory services**; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification **including deregulation** must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 761

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has

Amendment

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has

consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; ***notes that vague general frameworks risk compliance disputes, eroding predictability and trust in implementation***; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 762

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or

Amendment

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or

democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would ***not only*** widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU ***but also cause an important lack of predictability***;

Or. en

Amendment 763
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Amendment

30. Takes note of the Commission's narrative that its proposals seek to increase flexibility and simplification in the next MFF; recalls that Parliament has consistently argued for simplification for final beneficiaries, ***in particular for SMEs***; acknowledges the need for flexibility but firmly rejects any approach that sacrifices transparency under the guise of efficiency; regrets that the Commission's simplification proposals primarily benefit its own processes rather than final beneficiaries, thereby exacerbating the democratic deficit in the Union; insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, or democratic accountability; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens' interests; warns that flexibility without transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 764

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 bis. Souligne que l'insuffisante granularité de la nomenclature budgétaire, combinée au recours accru aux mécanismes de flexibilité, est susceptible de faciliter des réorientations substantielles de crédits au stade de l'exécution budgétaire, au détriment de la lisibilité, de la traçabilité et du contrôle démocratique de la dépense publique européenne, en méconnaissance des prérogatives budgétaires du Parlement européen et du Conseil prévues par les traités ; estime que le recours aux PPNR ne doit pas conduire à une dilution de la clarté, de la comparabilité et de l'efficacité des politiques sectorielles historiques et structurantes de l'Union.

Or. fr

Amendment 765

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 a. Insists that simplification must not compromise programme quality, predictability or democratic accountability neither the proper involvement of relevant actors, in particular local and regional authorities, social partners and civil society; stresses that Parliament will put forward all the oversight and transparency mechanisms necessary to fulfil its role as the guardian of citizens'

interests; warns that flexibility without proper involvement of the Budgetary Authority in decision making and transparency would widen the democratic accountability gap and undermine public trust in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 766

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 A. Rejeita a instituição do princípio do “pagamento pela reforma” que subordina o desembolso dos fundos ao cumprimento de reformas e políticas impostas pela Comissão Europeia; rejeita, ainda, a ligação entre a imposição de reformas, metas e marcos e os instrumentos da Governação Económica, nomeadamente as recomendações específicas por país emitidas no âmbito do processo do Semestre Europeu; insta, pelo contrário, a que as verbas do QFP sejam utilizadas para fazer face às necessidades de desenvolvimento soberano de cada país;

Or. pt

Amendment 767

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 a. Believes that the current proposals of the MFF package could lead to the “re-nationalisation” of EU policies, due to a

lack of a horizontal approach and increased flexibility for the Member States in implementing the Union budget based on a national approach; calls on ensuring the budget remains an investment budget which maintains a focus on EU added value and ensures a strong horizontal approach;

Or. en

Amendment 768

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 a. Insists that simplification must primarily reduce administrative burden for final beneficiaries, apply proportionality in controls, and widen access for SMEs, small farmers and smaller applicants, without undermining accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 769

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 b. Underlines that the widespread use of flexibility and performance-based instruments in the next MFF must be accompanied by strengthened multilevel governance; considers that the meaningful involvement of local and regional authorities in the design, implementation and monitoring of Union-funded actions is essential to

counterbalance Commission-centric implementation, reduce the democratic accountability gap and ensure that spending responds to real territorial needs;

Or. en

Amendment 770

Céline Imart, Isabelle Le Callennec, Christophe Gomart, Nadine Morano, François-Xavier Bellamy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 ter. Rappelle que la boussole de compétitivité de l'Union implique que les financements européens soient pleinement cohérents avec les intérêts économiques et industriels de l'Union et ne sauraient bénéficier, directement ou indirectement, à des entités, organisations ou activités qui portent atteinte à la compétitivité, à la résilience ou aux filières stratégiques européennes.

Or. fr

Amendment 771

Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; *draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or*

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; *believes that the FNLC model for performance-based instruments should not be used as a generalised method of implementation or*

clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; *calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;*

reimbursement between the Member States and beneficiaries, except for smaller beneficiaries implementing projects under certain financial thresholds; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; *underlines in particular that large-scale and complex projects should remain cost-based, as their scope, duration and multi-level financing require detailed financial reporting and verifiable expenditure data to ensure proper monitoring and accountability;* *calls for the continued and expanded use of lump sums and simplified cost options, in particular for smaller or medium-scale investments, as effective and proportionate tools to reduce administrative burdens, increase accessibility for smaller beneficiaries, and maintain accountability and traceability in the use of Union funds;*

Or. en

Amendment 772

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing *performance-based* instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; *considers* that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot

Amendment

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; *underlines that a solution needs to be found to address the divergences of cost-*

come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

estimates connected to milestones and targets and the real costs of a project needs to be found; insists that any possible increase in efficiencies *and or simplification* cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability *and audit* mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Or. en

Amendment 773

Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. *Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include* widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Amendment

31. *Expresses deep concern over the* widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; *warns that this disconnects funding from actual needs and costs, thereby undermining the implementation of agreed political priorities;* considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Or. en

Amendment 774

**Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová,
Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31**

Motion for a resolution

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Amendment

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, ***as highlighted by ECA critiques of RRF compliance gaps and variable cost verification***, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Or. en

**Amendment 775
Isabelle Le Calennec, Céline Imart**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31**

Motion for a resolution

31. note avec préoccupation que les propositions relatives au CFP prévoient un recours généralisé au financement non lié aux coûts pour une part substantielle des instruments du prochain CFP; attire l'attention sur le fait que, sans garanties adéquates pour assurer une bonne gestion financière dans la mise en œuvre des financements de l'Union ou sans mesures

Amendment

31. note avec préoccupation que les propositions relatives au CFP prévoient un recours généralisé au financement non lié aux coûts pour une part substantielle des instruments du prochain CFP; attire l'attention sur le fait que, sans garanties adéquates pour assurer une bonne gestion financière dans la mise en œuvre des financements de l'Union ou sans mesures

claires visant à remédier aux lacunes relevées dans les instruments précédents ou existants fondés sur la performance, cette approche comporte des risques substantiels; estime que tout gain d'efficacité possible ne saurait se faire au détriment de la protection des intérêts financiers de l'Union; demande que des mécanismes de contrôle et de responsabilité appropriés soient établis dans tous les actes juridiques pertinents;

claires visant à remédier aux lacunes relevées dans les instruments précédents ou existants fondés sur la performance, cette approche comporte des risques substantiels ***notamment en termes de complexité liée aux indicateurs de performance***; estime que tout gain d'efficacité possible ne saurait se faire au détriment de la protection des intérêts financiers de l'Union; demande que des mécanismes de contrôle et de responsabilité appropriés soient établis dans tous les actes juridiques pertinents;

Or. fr

Amendment 776
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; ***draws attention to the fact that*** without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Amendment

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; ***is extremely concerned about such a major shift towards a new model of implementation*** without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Or. en

Amendment 777

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be established in all relevant legal acts;

Amendment

31. Notes with concern that the MFF proposals include widespread use of financing not linked to costs for a substantial portion of the instruments under the next MFF; draws attention to the fact that without adequate safeguards to ensure sound financial management in the implementation of Union funding or clear steps to address the shortcomings identified in previous or existing performance-based instruments, this approach entails substantial risks; considers that any possible increase in efficiencies cannot come at the expense of the protection of the financial interests of the Union; calls for appropriate oversight and accountability mechanisms to be ***firmly*** established in all relevant legal acts;

Or. en

Amendment 778

Hélder Sousa Silva, Paulo Cunha, Paulo Do Nascimento Cabral

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31 A. Opõe-se à implementação de quadros de desempenho excessivamente rígidos, semelhantes ao modelo do PRR para o acesso aos fundos, uma vez que condicionam o financiamento, gerando atrasos nos pagamentos e na implementação; alerta que, no caso de regiões menos desenvolvidas e com administrações pequenas, como as regiões ultraperiféricas, a preocupação é ainda mais visível, pois a dificuldade em atingir

determinados parâmetros e metas, especialmente fora do âmbito da coesão, é maior; sublinha a importância de assegurar uma metodologia que garanta flexibilidade e que esteja alinhada com os objetivos do Tratado e com as necessidades reais a nível local e regional; recorda que a política regional já dispõe de uma metodologia de desempenho abrangente, assente em condições de habilitação horizontais e específicas, incluindo reformas, com marcos e metas frequentemente associados a essas reformas;

Or. pt

Amendment 779
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31 a. *Stresses that Union financing should be granted only for measures directly linked to concrete, verifiable projects that demonstrate tangible progress, measurable results, contribute to Union policy objectives, and can be effectively monitored and audited; warns that the lack of cost verification under FNLC weakens auditability; stresses that the milestones and targets in the current proposal are vague and barely auditable; recalls the ECA's findings on incomplete audit trails and persistent shortcomings in several national audit authorities; underlines that, without credible national controls and compliance with audit standards, EU audit bodies must step in to safeguard sound financial management and the credibility of the discharge procedure;*

Or. en

Amendment 780
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31 a. Recalls, and firmly stands by, the Parliament's repeated objections against international agreements on the participation of third countries other than EFTA/EEA countries, Candidate countries, or Neighbourhood Policy countries in Union programmes which undermine the Parliament's prerogative to consent to these countries joining specific programmes; demands that the Commission and Council respect the Parliament's call and maintain the institutional balance set out in the Treaties by restructuring existing agreements so that the Parliament has to consent to the participation of a third country to each Union programme to which that third country wants to associate;

Or. en

Amendment 781

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31 a. Is concerned by the proposed governance of the pillar 1 of the next MFF and the lack of regional authorities' involvement; underlines that the NRPP cannot strip off local and regional

authorities from their competences when these competences are recognized in the national and constitutional framework; demands therefore that the NRPP regulation foresees a clear 'multilevel governance assessment' and a legally-binding subsidiarity clause to allow regions and relevant local authorities within their respective national competence an effective participation in the design, allocation, implementation and monitoring of NRPP funds;

Or. en

Amendment 782
Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31 a. Insists that data on incurred costs must remain available to the discharge authority to verify efficiency and 'value for money' in the Union budget; warns that without cost transparency, parliamentary scrutiny becomes a formalistic exercise unable to safeguard the sound financial management of the budget;

Or. en

Amendment 783
Jean-Marc Germain
on behalf of the S&D Group
Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31 b. Stresses that EU budget plays a vital role in supporting EU fundamental values of democracy and pluralism enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU; welcomes the recently published EU Civil Society Strategy which recognises the fundamental role of civil society organisations (CSOs) in the development, implementation, monitoring and enforcement of EU legislation and policies; underlines, in this regard, the necessity of ensuring long-term, predictable and sufficient funding to CSOs through framework partnership agreements and operating grants rather than action grants aimed to finance individual projects; calls for such funding to be under direct management, in order to ensure greater accountability and direct EU support to civil society; warns however that leaving EU financial support to civil society organisations in the sole discretion of the Commission would undermine democratic accountability would be against the aims of EU Civil Society Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 784

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. reconnaît la proposition de règlement sur la performance comme une première étape vers la mise en place d'un cadre horizontal de suivi des dépenses et de contrôle de la performance pour le budget; estime que cette approche peut conduire à une plus grande cohérence dans le suivi et la mise en œuvre des programmes de dépenses de l'Union ainsi que dans l'intégration des objectifs importants de l'Union; souligne que

Amendment

supprimé

L'inclusion de principes horizontaux dans un cadre unique doit permettre des méthodologies de suivi plus efficaces et un contrôle parlementaire renforcé, sans créer de charges supplémentaires;

Or. fr

Amendment 785

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. ***Acknowledges*** the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach ***can lead to*** greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the ***inclusion of*** horizontal principles in a single framework ***must enable*** more effective ***tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;***

Amendment

32. ***Welcomes*** the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget ***that will reduce administrative burden compared to the current status quo;*** considers that this approach ***strengthens democratic oversight and provides*** greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives ***and commitments, namely gender equality, investments in line with the pillar of social rights, and climate and biodiversity targets to achieve;*** considers it ***therefore essential to continue tracking the biodiversity spending, as well as setting more ambitious mainstreaming targets both for climate and biodiversity spending in the next MFF;*** stresses that the ***ultimate objective of tracking the performance and of investments for horizontal principles in a single framework is to make the Union budget more effective in achieving its policy objectives, while limiting administrative burden;***

Or. en

Amendment 786

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Reconoce que la propuesta de Reglamento sobre el rendimiento constituye un primer paso para establecer un marco horizontal de seguimiento de los gastos y de control del rendimiento para el presupuesto; considera que este enfoque puede dar lugar a una mayor coherencia en el seguimiento y la ejecución de los programas de gasto de la Unión y en la integración de objetivos importantes de la Unión; subraya que la inclusión de principios horizontales en un marco único debe permitir metodologías de seguimiento y control parlamentario más eficaces sin crear cargas adicionales;

Amendment

32. Reconoce que la propuesta de Reglamento sobre el rendimiento constituye un primer paso para establecer un marco horizontal de seguimiento de los gastos y de control del rendimiento para el presupuesto; considera que este enfoque puede dar lugar a una mayor coherencia en el seguimiento y la ejecución de los programas de gasto de la Unión y en la integración de objetivos importantes de la Unión; subraya que la inclusión de principios horizontales en un marco único debe ***simplificar los procedimientos administrativos y reducir la burocracia innecesaria, reflejar las nuevas prioridades políticas de la Unión, como la competitividad y la preparación, garantizar que los indicadores de rendimiento se centren en la eficacia, los resultados y el impacto de las medidas de financiación de la UE, y reconsiderar los principios medioambientales, incluida la aplicación práctica de los criterios de «no causar daño significativo» (DNSH), así como*** permitir metodologías de seguimiento y control parlamentario más eficaces sin crear cargas adicionales;

Or. es

Amendment 787

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny ***without creating additional burdens;***

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny; ***Is concerned that the proposed climate and environment spending target of 35% is insufficient to ensure that the Union budget is an essential contributor to achieving climate neutrality by 2050; calls, therefore, for an increase of the target to at least 50%; reminds the horizontal nature of the target and that not applying the target to the entire budget reduce its transparency;***

Or. en

Amendment 788

Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking

methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens; *notes, however, that performance must not be defined merely by the speed of spending or the administrative completion of milestones; insists that the framework allows for a qualitative assessments of whether funded measures deliver tangible benefits to citizens and a European added value;*

Or. en

Amendment 789

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget, *but regrets its overly general and vague formulation, which, as the Commission's own NRPP impact assessment admits due to methodological limitations, leaves key impacts and robust indicators undefined;* considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives, *only if risks of audit disputes and implementation unpredictability are addressed;* stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 790
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens; ***notes the introduction of mandatory horizontal targets on climate, environment, biodiversity and gender objectives; demands the establishment of targets supporting competitiveness, preparedness, innovation and debureaucratisation into the Performance Regulation;***

Or. en

Amendment 791
Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the

mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

mainstreaming of important EU objectives; ***however insists that significant improvement in climate and biodiversity mainstreaming methodologies is needed to accurately monitor and assess the impact of EU funding***; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 792

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach can lead to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; ***underlines that a solution needs to be found to address the divergences of cost-estimates connected to milestones and targets and the real costs of a project needs to be found***; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 793

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach *can lead* to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Amendment

32. Acknowledges the proposal for a Performance Regulation as a first step in putting in place a horizontal expenditure tracking and performance monitoring framework for the budget; considers that this approach *may lead, if adequately implemented*, to greater coherence in the monitoring and implementation of EU spending programmes and in the mainstreaming of important EU objectives; stresses that the inclusion of horizontal principles in a single framework must enable more effective tracking methodologies and parliamentary scrutiny without creating additional burdens;

Or. en

Amendment 794
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 a. Questions, nevertheless, several aspects of the proposal, such as the choice of the horizontal principles and the duplications with the Financial Regulations, the absence of impact indicators and the overlaps between the output and result indicators, the flexibility offered to Member States in choosing reporting indicators, which will generate a lack of comparability of performance, the monitoring of the implementation of commitment appropriations and not payment appropriations, which will not provide a real outlook of the performance of the Union programmes and therefore risk focusing primarily on monitoring

implementation progress rather than enabling an assessment of effectiveness, efficiency and value for money; believes that a horizontal focus should be placed on competitiveness and digitalisation, as part of the priorities for the next MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 795

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 a. Notes with great concern that in the last annual report, the Court of Auditors' estimated level of error in EU budget expenditure was material at 3.6 %, and in the last five annual reports the estimated level of error is above the materiality threshold of 2 %; underlines the need to strengthen the role of the European Court of Auditors in order to ensure transparency and accountability; calls on the Commission to ensure regular dialogue and cooperation with the Court of Auditors in liaison with the national audit bodies of the Member States, or, if these do not have the necessary powers, with the competent national departments;

Or. en

Amendment 796

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 a. *Stresses that an appropriate gender mainstreaming methodology needs to ensure that gender equality is promoted throughout the preparation, implementation, monitoring, reporting, and evaluation of programmes and activities; calls on the Commission to define the guidance on the methodology for the application of these principles by involving the relevant stakeholders according to the principles of civil dialogue; calls on the Commission to introduce specific and quantifiable targets contributing to gender equality across the specific programmes;*

Or. en

Amendment 797

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 a. *Is concerned that the proposed tracking methodology in the performance regulation de-facto discontinues the dedicated tracking of biodiversity expenditure; stresses that without a dedicated tracking of biodiversity expenditure the Union could fail to meet its international reporting obligations under the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework; insists therefore on a dedicated tracking of all six environmental objectives;*

Or. en

Amendment 798

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 a. Is concerned that the proposed climate and environment spending target of 35% is insufficient to ensure that the Union budget is an essential contributor to achieving climate neutrality by 2050; calls, therefore, for an increase of the target; recalls the horizontal nature of the target and underlines that not applying the target to the entire budget reduces its transparency and impact;

Or. en

Amendment 799
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 b. Recalls the Commission's commitment to phase out all fossil fuel subsidies and environmentally harmful subsidies in the next MFF and strongly regrets that the Commission has failed to come forward with its planned roadmap in this regard despite the very clear ask of the Parliament for the planned roadmap as part of its proposal for the next MFF; Calls on the Commission to do so without delay;

Or. en

Amendment 800
Jean-Marc Germain
on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32 b. Stresses that the next MFF must enshrine social conditionality, so that all EU programmes and instruments contribute to promoting quality jobs and collective bargaining, ensuring respect of workers and trade union rights and supporting a socially just green and digital transition;

Or. en

Amendment 801
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33. **Notes** the overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes with concern that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity regarding objectives and budgetary nomenclature; ***stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts***; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect the specific objectives of each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

33. **Condemns** the overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes with concern that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity regarding objectives and budgetary nomenclature; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect the specific objectives of each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Amendment 802**Rasmus Nordqvist**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 33***Motion for a resolution*

33. Notes the overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes **with concern** that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity **regarding** objectives and budgetary nomenclature; stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect the specific objectives of each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Amendment

33. Notes the overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity **between** objectives and budgetary nomenclature; stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature **as well as the amounts programmed on the lines** must reflect **all** the specific objectives of **and within** each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency **predictability** and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 803**Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 33***Motion for a resolution*

33. Notes the overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the

Amendment

33. Notes the overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the

Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes with concern that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity regarding objectives and budgetary nomenclature; stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect *the* specific objectives *of* each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes with concern that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity regarding objectives and budgetary nomenclature; stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect *all* specific objectives *as well as amounts programmed in budget lines for* each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 804

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. *note* la structure globale des quatre rubriques du CFP, telle que proposée par la Commission, contre sept rubriques dans le CFP actuel; note avec préoccupation que la Commission propose de réduire le nombre de programmes de l'Union de 60 à 19, introduisant un manque de clarté quant aux objectifs et à la nomenclature budgétaire; souligne que la position du Parlement sur la structure de ces nouveaux programmes du CFP sera arrêtée au cours de la procédure de codécision relative aux actes législatifs sectoriels concernés; insiste sur le fait que la nomenclature budgétaire proposée doit refléter les objectifs spécifiques de chaque programme, afin de garantir la transparence et la responsabilité

Amendment

33. *S'oppose à* la structure globale des quatre rubriques du CFP, telle que proposée par la Commission, contre sept rubriques dans le CFP actuel; note avec préoccupation que la Commission propose de réduire le nombre de programmes de l'Union de 60 à 19, introduisant un manque de clarté quant aux objectifs et à la nomenclature budgétaire; souligne que la position du Parlement sur la structure de ces nouveaux programmes du CFP sera arrêtée au cours de la procédure de codécision relative aux actes législatifs sectoriels concernés; insiste sur le fait que la nomenclature budgétaire proposée doit refléter les objectifs spécifiques de chaque programme, afin de garantir la

et de fournir à l'autorité budgétaire les informations nécessaires pour établir le budget annuel et en superviser la mise en œuvre;

transparence et la responsabilité et de fournir à l'autorité budgétaire les informations nécessaires pour établir le budget annuel et en superviser la mise en œuvre;

Or. fr

Amendment 805
Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. *Notes the* overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes *with concern* that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity regarding objectives and budgetary nomenclature; stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect the specific objectives of each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Amendment

33. *Welcomes the simplified* overall structure of four MFF headings, as proposed by the Commission, down from seven headings in the current MFF; notes that the Commission proposes to reduce the number of EU programmes from 60 to 19, introducing a lack of clarity regarding objectives and budgetary nomenclature; stresses that Parliament's position on the structure of these new MFF programmes will be determined during the co-decision procedure for the relevant sectoral legislative acts; insists that the proposed budget nomenclature must reflect the specific objectives of each programme, with a view to ensuring transparency and accountability and providing the budgetary authority with the information necessary to establish the annual budget and oversee its implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 806
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. déplore, à cet égard, que la nomenclature budgétaire proposée dans la législation sectorielle soit totalement inappropriée; ***invite la Commission à prendre note de la nomenclature détaillée correspondant aux quatre rubriques et jointe au présent rapport, à utiliser comme base pour les discussions ultérieures, sans préjuger de l'issue des procédures législatives ordinaires;***

Amendment

34. déplore, à cet égard, que la nomenclature budgétaire proposée dans la législation sectorielle soit totalement inappropriée;

Or. fr

Amendment 807
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Deplores, in this regard, the fact that the budgetary nomenclature proposed in the sectoral legislation is woefully inadequate; ***calls on the Commission to take note of the detailed nomenclature for the four headings accompanying this report to be used as a basis for further discussions, without pre-empting the outcome of the respective ordinary legislative procedures;***

Amendment

34. Deplores, in this regard, the fact that the budgetary nomenclature proposed in the sectoral legislation is woefully inadequate;

Or. en

Amendment 808
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Deplores, in this regard, the fact that the budgetary nomenclature proposed

Amendment

34. Deplores, in this regard, the fact that the budgetary nomenclature proposed

in the sectoral legislation is woefully inadequate; calls on the Commission to take note of the detailed nomenclature for the four headings accompanying this report to be used as a basis for further discussions, without pre-empting the outcome of the respective ordinary legislative procedures;

in the sectoral legislation is woefully inadequate, ***stresses that budgetary nomenclature is not merely a technical issue, but also a political instrument that directly shapes the level of transparency and accountability***; calls on the Commission to take note of the detailed nomenclature for the four headings accompanying this report to be used as a basis for further discussions, without pre-empting the outcome of the respective ordinary legislative procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 809
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Insists that the nomenclature must remain sufficiently detailed to reflect programme objectives set out in the basic acts, preserve the visibility of EU actions in all policy objectives and priorities and enable Parliament to fully exercise its scrutiny role throughout the annual budgetary procedure, during implementation and discharge procedure; underlines that in all programmes, particularly for Erasmus+ and AgoraEU, this requires budget lines structured by area or strand, comparable to the 2021 - 2027 MFF, and the addition of clearly identifiable lines for resilience, security and defence, in all relevant programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 810
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. *Deplores, in this regard, the fact that the budgetary nomenclature proposed in the sectoral legislation is woefully inadequate; calls on the Commission to take note of the detailed nomenclature to be used as a basis for further discussions, without pre-empting the outcome of the respective ordinary legislative procedures; underlines that the simplification of the budgetary structure must not come at the expense of transparency or the ability of the budgetary authority to exert efficient scrutiny and to take informed decisions;*

Or. en

Amendment 811

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 b. *Stresses that, in the case of Global Europe, the budgetary nomenclature should sufficiently reflect the specific objectives of the different policies constituting the Instrument; requests a more detailed nomenclature on enlargement with as a minimum one budget line per country; reminds that the main approach for actions financed under Global Europe should be through geographic programmable actions and therefore the proportion of geographic programmable funding should reflect this principle in order to reinforce predictability while the applicable proportions should be defined in the Global Europe Regulation; recalls that non-programmable actions should be complementary to programmable actions, while humanitarian aid is to retain its*

Amendment 812

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. note que les virements budgétaires prévus par le règlement financier³ offrent déjà une flexibilité lors de l'exécution du budget; souligne que la Commission peut actuellement transférer des montants considérables entre domaines politiques sans l'approbation de l'autorité budgétaire, limitant ainsi le contrôle; en l'absence d'une structure budgétaire suffisamment détaillée, demande l'adoption de nouvelles règles fixant à la fois un montant maximal et un pourcentage par ligne budgétaire pour les virements sans approbation; *estime* que les virements en provenance d'autres institutions de l'Union, lorsqu'ils sont inférieurs à un certain seuil, *pourraient être exemptés* de la procédure d'objection *à titre de mesure* de simplification;

³ Règlement (UE, Euratom) 2018/1046 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 18 juillet 2018 relatif aux règles financières applicables au budget général de l'Union, modifiant les règlements (UE) no 1296/2013, (UE) no 1301/2013, (UE) no 1303/2013, (UE) no 1304/2013, (UE) no 1309/2013, (UE) no 1316/2013, (UE) no

Amendment

35. note que les virements budgétaires prévus par le règlement financier³ offrent déjà une flexibilité lors de l'exécution du budget; souligne que la Commission peut actuellement transférer des montants considérables entre domaines politiques sans l'approbation de l'autorité budgétaire, limitant ainsi le contrôle; en l'absence d'une structure budgétaire suffisamment détaillée, demande l'adoption de nouvelles règles fixant à la fois un montant maximal et un pourcentage par ligne budgétaire pour les virements sans approbation; *souligne* que les virements en provenance d'autres institutions de l'Union, *y compris* lorsqu'ils sont inférieurs à un certain seuil, *doivent rester pleinement soumis à des exigences strictes de transparence, de traçabilité et d'information de l'autorité budgétaire; estime que toute exemption* de la procédure d'objection, *même à des fins* de simplification *administrative, risquerait d'affaiblir le contrôle parlementaire et de réduire la lisibilité de l'exécution budgétaire;*

³ Règlement (UE, Euratom) 2018/1046 du Parlement européen et du Conseil du 18 juillet 2018 relatif aux règles financières applicables au budget général de l'Union, modifiant les règlements (UE) no 1296/2013, (UE) no 1301/2013, (UE) no 1303/2013, (UE) no 1304/2013, (UE) no 1309/2013, (UE) no 1316/2013, (UE) no

223/2014, (UE) no 283/2014 et la décision no 541/2014/UE, et abrogeant le règlement (UE, Euratom) no 966/2012 (JO L 193 du 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

223/2014, (UE) no 283/2014 et la décision no 541/2014/UE, et abrogeant le règlement (UE, Euratom) no 966/2012 (JO L 193 du 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

Or. fr

Amendment 813

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Notes that budgetary transfers under the Financial Regulation³ already provide flexibility during budget implementation; stresses that the Commission can currently transfer considerable amounts between policy areas without budgetary authority approval, limiting oversight; ***in the absence of a sufficiently detailed budget structure***, calls for new rules setting both a maximum amount and percentage per budget line for transfers without approval; considers that transfers from other Union institutions below a certain threshold could be exempted from the objection procedure as a simplification measure;

³ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012

Amendment

35. Notes that budgetary transfers under the Financial Regulation³ already provide flexibility during budget implementation; stresses that the Commission can currently transfer considerable amounts between policy areas without budgetary authority approval, limiting oversight; calls for new rules setting both a maximum amount and percentage per budget line for transfers without approval ***to accompany the more detailed budgetary nomenclature as indicatively set out in Annex 3 of this report***; considers that transfers from other Union institutions below a certain threshold could be exempted from the objection procedure as a simplification measure;

³ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012

(OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI:
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

(OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI:
<http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

Or. en

Amendment 814
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Assinala que as transferências orçamentais ao abrigo do Regulamento Financeiro³ já proporcionam flexibilidade durante a execução orçamental; salienta que, atualmente, a Comissão pode transferir montantes consideráveis entre domínios de intervenção sem a aprovação da autoridade orçamental, o que limita a supervisão; **solicita que, na ausência** de uma estrutura orçamental suficientemente pormenorizada, **sejam adotadas** novas regras que fixem um montante máximo e uma percentagem por rubrica orçamental aplicáveis às transferências sem aprovação; considera que, quando se situam abaixo de um determinado limiar, as transferências de outras instituições da União podem, a título de medida de simplificação, ficar isentas do procedimento de oposição;

³ Regulamento (UE, Euratom) 2018/1046 do Parlamento Europeu e do Conselho, de 18 de julho de 2018, relativo às disposições financeiras aplicáveis ao orçamento geral da União, que altera os Regulamentos (UE) n.º 1296/2013, (UE) n.º 1301/2013, (UE) n.º 1303/2013, (UE) n.º 1304/2013, (UE) n.º 1309/2013, (UE) n.º 1316/2013, (UE) n.º 223/2014 e (UE) n.º 283/2014, e a Decisão n.º 541/2014/UE, e revoga o Regulamento (UE, Euratom) n.º 966/2012 (JO L 193 de 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

Amendment

35. Assinala que as transferências orçamentais ao abrigo do Regulamento Financeiro³ já proporcionam flexibilidade durante a execução orçamental; salienta que, atualmente, a Comissão pode transferir montantes consideráveis entre domínios de intervenção sem a aprovação da autoridade orçamental, o que limita a supervisão; **reclama a necessidade** de uma estrutura orçamental suficientemente pormenorizada, **que deve ser adoptada sem prejuízo de** novas regras que fixem um montante máximo e uma percentagem por rubrica orçamental aplicáveis às transferências sem aprovação; considera que, quando se situam abaixo de um determinado limiar, as transferências de outras instituições da União podem, a título de medida de simplificação, ficar isentas do procedimento de oposição;

³ Regulamento (UE, Euratom) 2018/1046 do Parlamento Europeu e do Conselho, de 18 de julho de 2018, relativo às disposições financeiras aplicáveis ao orçamento geral da União, que altera os Regulamentos (UE) n.º 1296/2013, (UE) n.º 1301/2013, (UE) n.º 1303/2013, (UE) n.º 1304/2013, (UE) n.º 1309/2013, (UE) n.º 1316/2013, (UE) n.º 223/2014 e (UE) n.º 283/2014, e a Decisão n.º 541/2014/UE, e revoga o Regulamento (UE, Euratom) n.º 966/2012 (JO L 193 de 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

Amendment 815**Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 35***Motion for a resolution*

35. Notes that budgetary transfers under the Financial Regulation³ *already* provide flexibility during budget implementation; stresses that the Commission can currently transfer considerable amounts between policy areas without budgetary authority approval, limiting oversight; in the absence of a sufficiently detailed budget structure, calls for new rules setting both a maximum amount and percentage per budget line for transfers without approval; considers that transfers from other Union institutions below a certain threshold could be exempted from the objection procedure as a simplification measure;

³ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 (OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

Amendment

35. Notes that budgetary transfers under the Financial Regulation³ provide flexibility during budget implementation; stresses that the Commission can currently transfer considerable amounts between policy areas without budgetary authority approval, limiting oversight; in the absence of a sufficiently detailed budget structure, calls for new rules setting both a maximum amount and percentage per budget line for transfers without approval; considers that transfers from other Union institutions below a certain threshold could be exempted from the objection procedure as a simplification measure;

³ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 (OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, p. 1, ELI: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2018/1046/oj>).

Amendment 816**Karlo Ressler**

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Emphasises the need for robust governance ensuring parliamentary accountability, oversight and control, alongside an effective anti-fraud architecture; rejects any approach that limits Parliament to a consultative or information-only role in the mobilisation of any instrument or fund under the next MFF; stresses that budgetary flexibility must not circumvent the prerogatives of the budgetary authority and that all redeployments, reprogramming and mobilisation of cushions or other reserves must be subject to Parliament's approval; considers that genuine flexibility requires both rapid response capacity and democratic legitimacy, which can only be achieved through Parliament's full participation in decisions on the allocation and use of resources;

Amendment

36. Emphasises the need for robust governance ensuring parliamentary accountability, oversight and control, alongside an effective anti-fraud architecture; ***further raises concerns as to how the Commission will obtain sufficient assurance at EU level on the legality and regularity of expenditure, recalling that such clear assurance is indispensable for Parliament to exercise its role as discharge authority***; rejects any approach that limits Parliament to a consultative or information-only role in the mobilisation of any instrument or fund under the next MFF; stresses that budgetary flexibility must not circumvent the prerogatives of the budgetary authority and that all redeployments, reprogramming and mobilisation of cushions or other reserves must be subject to Parliament's approval; considers that genuine flexibility requires both rapid response capacity and democratic legitimacy, which can only be achieved through Parliament's full participation in decisions on the allocation and use of resources;

Or. en

Amendment 817
Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Emphasises the need for robust governance ensuring parliamentary accountability, oversight and control, alongside an effective anti-fraud architecture; ***rejects any approach that***

Amendment

36. Emphasises the need for robust governance ensuring parliamentary accountability, oversight and control, alongside an effective anti-fraud architecture; ***reminds the Commission of***

limits Parliament to a consultative or information-only role in the mobilisation of any instrument or fund under the next MFF; stresses that budgetary flexibility must not circumvent the prerogatives of the budgetary authority and that all redeployments, reprogramming and mobilisation of cushions or other reserves must be subject to Parliament's approval; considers that genuine flexibility requires both rapid response capacity and democratic legitimacy, which can only be achieved through Parliament's full participation in decisions on the allocation and use of resources;

the Parliament's competences regarding the mobilisation of instruments and funds as enshrined in the Treaties; considers that genuine flexibility requires both rapid response capacity and democratic legitimacy, which can only be achieved through Parliament's full participation in decisions on the allocation and use of resources;

Or. en

**Amendment 818
Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 a. Expresses deep concern about the lack of transparency of non-governmental organisations (NGOs), especially regarding their funding; recalls ECA special report 35/2018, which highlighted the lack of transparency regarding the implementation of EU funds by NGOs; emphasises the consequent risk of money laundering and terrorist financing through non-profit organisations; recalls ECA special report 11/2025, which highlights that of more than 4 400 NGOs, 30 received more than 40 % of their total funding (i.e. EUR 3.3 billion) during the period 2014-2023, linking this to the intravenous dependence of certain NGOs on EU funding and, ultimately, with all that this implies for their activities and orientations;

Or. en

Amendment 819

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. exprime son inquiétude quant au manque de prévisibilité du financement de l'Union qui pourrait résulter de mécanismes de flexibilité excessifs et de redéploiements récurrents; **reconnait la nécessité d'une flexibilité accrue dans l'allocation des ressources au sein de certains programmes, mais** souligne que **celle-ci ne doit pas compromettre les objectifs politiques à long terme, la prévisibilité du financement ni les prérogatives du Parlement; souligne que, si le CFP actuel a souffert d'une flexibilité insuffisante pour s'adapter à l'évolution des priorités,** le prochain CFP doit trouver un meilleur équilibre entre prévisibilité des investissements et adaptabilité; souligne que certains domaines politiques exigent une stabilité de financement **accrue**; rejette fermement les redéploiements récurrents comme moyen de financer les priorités de l'Union, car ils compromettent la mise en œuvre des programmes et mettent en péril la réalisation des objectifs politiques convenus;

Amendment

37. exprime son inquiétude quant au manque de prévisibilité du financement de l'Union qui pourrait résulter de mécanismes de flexibilité excessifs et de redéploiements récurrents; souligne que le prochain CFP doit trouver un meilleur équilibre entre prévisibilité des investissements et adaptabilité; souligne que certains domaines politiques exigent une stabilité de financement; rejette fermement les redéploiements récurrents comme moyen de financer les priorités de l'Union, car ils compromettent la mise en œuvre des programmes et mettent en péril la réalisation des objectifs politiques convenus;

Or. fr

Amendment 820

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Expresses its concern about the lack

Amendment

37. Expresses its concern about the lack

of predictability in EU funding that might result from excessive flexibility mechanisms and recurrent redeployments; recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underlines that while the current MFF has suffered from insufficient flexibility to adjust to evolving priorities, the next MFF must strike a better balance between investment predictability and adaptability; emphasises that certain policy areas require greater funding stability than others; firmly rejects recurrent redeployments as a means to finance Union priorities, as they undermine programme implementation and jeopardise the delivery of agreed policy objectives;

of predictability in EU funding that might result from excessive flexibility mechanisms and recurrent redeployments; recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underlines that while the current MFF has suffered from insufficient flexibility to adjust to evolving priorities, the next MFF must strike a better balance between investment predictability and adaptability; emphasises that certain policy areas require greater funding stability than others; firmly rejects recurrent redeployments as a means to finance Union priorities, as they undermine programme implementation and jeopardise the delivery of agreed policy objectives; ***stresses that flexibility instruments, including any flexibility reserve, should be strictly limited, clearly defined and used only as a last resort, and should not compensate for structural weaknesses in programme design, insufficient prioritisation or shortcomings in performance planning;***

Or. en

Amendment 821

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. ***Expresses its concern about*** the lack of predictability in EU funding that might result from excessive flexibility mechanisms and recurrent redeployments; recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, ***but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives;*** underlines that

Amendment

37. ***Notes*** the lack of predictability in EU funding that might result from excessive flexibility mechanisms and recurrent redeployments; recognises the need for enhanced flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes ***as priorities evolve;*** ; underlines that while the current MFF has suffered from insufficient flexibility to adjust to evolving priorities, the next MFF must strike a better

while the current MFF has suffered from insufficient flexibility to adjust to evolving priorities, the next MFF must strike a better balance between investment predictability and adaptability; emphasises that certain policy areas require greater funding stability than others; firmly rejects recurrent redeployments as a means to finance Union priorities, as they undermine programme implementation and jeopardise the delivery of agreed policy objectives;

balance between investment predictability and adaptability; emphasises that certain policy areas require greater funding stability than others; firmly rejects recurrent redeployments as a means to finance Union priorities, as they undermine programme implementation and jeopardise the delivery of agreed policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 822

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Expresses its concern about the lack of predictability in EU funding that might result from excessive flexibility mechanisms and recurrent redeployments; recognises the need for **enhanced** flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underlines that while the current MFF has **suffered from insufficient flexibility to adjust** to evolving priorities, the next MFF must strike a better balance between investment predictability and adaptability; emphasises that certain policy areas require greater funding stability than others; firmly rejects recurrent redeployments as a means to finance Union priorities, as they undermine programme implementation and jeopardise the delivery of agreed policy objectives;

Amendment

37. Expresses its concern about the lack of predictability in EU funding that might result from excessive flexibility mechanisms and recurrent redeployments; recognises the need for flexibility in allocating resources within certain programmes, but stresses that this must not compromise long-term policy objectives, funding predictability or Parliament's prerogatives; underlines that while the current MFF has **faced challenges in responding** to evolving priorities, the next MFF must strike a better balance between investment predictability and adaptability; emphasises that certain policy areas require greater funding stability than others; firmly rejects recurrent redeployments as a means to finance Union priorities, as they undermine programme implementation and jeopardise the delivery of agreed policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 823

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37 a. Underlines the importance of maintaining the N+3 decommitment rule, as currently applied under the existing MFF, in the future Multiannual Financial Framework, given the complexity, multiannual nature and long-term impact of cohesion policy investments; stresses that the N+3 rule provides essential flexibility and predictability for managing EU-funded programmes, particularly in the context of the transition to a new budgetary framework, which entails new instruments, programming arrangements and governance structures and therefore carries an inherent risk of delays in implementation; warns that any shortening of implementation deadlines would disproportionately affect regions and Member States with lower administrative capacity, undermine absorption rates, increase the risk of decommitments and ultimately delay or jeopardise the delivery of projects with high European added value;

Or. en

Amendment 824

Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37 a. Calls for the setting of minimum funding amounts guaranteed for each policy area, which should correspond, annually, to at least 50% of the

allocations agreed by the co-legislators, per strand, cluster and budget line, in the negotiation of the financial envelopes of each programme, in sectoral legislation, to ensure predictability and safeguard the authority of both co-legislators in establishing the financial programming of the next MFF: Stresses that such rules are particularly necessary for programmes with multiannual commitments and high demand, in order to prevent reallocations that would undermine agreed policy objectives and final beneficiaries' expectations;

Or. en

Amendment 825
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37 A. Considera que o recurso ao endividamento conjunto é uma opção errada com consequências negativas em orçamentos futuros, acrescentando pressão para a redução do financiamento de programas e fundose desvio desses montantes para o serviço da dívida e para a amortização do capital, como se comprova pelas intenções assumidas relativamente à dívida contraída no âmbito no NextGenerationEU;

Or. pt

Amendment 826
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

38. *Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 827
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority; ***reiterates that joint borrowing and lending must be a mean of last resort and serve European objectives to the highest regard; recalls the adherence to fiscal responsibility in the EU budget as well as Member States; highlights that joint borrowing does not alleviate from the Stability and Growth Pact; points to the vast increase of interests payments related to NGEU as well as future commitments like SAFE and the Ukraine support loans; warns that future interest payments may exceed 0,11% of GNI, resulting ultimately in cuts to programming; rejects the excessive utilisation of Art. 122 to legitimate borrowing and lending operations without parliamentary consent;***

Amendment 828**Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 38***Motion for a resolution*

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

Amendment

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF **and the** headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority; ***Recalls its position that any repayment of borrowing costs is a non-discretionary legal obligation that should be outside of the MFF headings given that these costs are subject to market conditions, influenced by external factors and thus inherently volatile, which impacts heavily programmes lines.***

Amendment 829**Hélder Sousa Silva****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 38***Motion for a resolution*

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations **respect** the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary

Amendment

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that **any** borrowing and lending operations **ensure full transparency on final beneficiaries,**

authority;

procurement outcomes, EU added value and cross-border participation, while respecting the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority; stresses that these operations must avoid crowding-out for grant-based programmes where they deliver higher Union added value;

Or. en

Amendment 830

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. ***prend note de*** l'augmentation proposée des opérations d'emprunt et de prêt dans le cadre du prochain ***CFP***; ***considère que l'autorité budgétaire doit surveiller attentivement l'impact de*** cette approche ***sur la marge de manœuvre du CFP ou sur d'autres garanties***; exige que les opérations d'emprunt et de prêt respectent les pouvoirs des colégislateurs et de l'autorité budgétaire;

Amendment

38. ***s'oppose à*** l'augmentation proposée des opérations d'emprunt et de prêt dans le cadre du prochain ***cadre financier pluriannuel (CFP)***; ***estime que le recours accru à l'endettement au niveau de l'Union est susceptible de réduire durablement les marges de manœuvre budgétaires du CFP et de créer des charges financières futures pour les budgets et les citoyens européens***; ***souligne que*** cette approche ***accroît les risques budgétaires et financiers et affaiblit le principe de discipline budgétaire***; exige que les opérations d'emprunt et de prêt respectent les pouvoirs des colégislateurs et de l'autorité budgétaire;

Or. fr

Amendment 831

Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. **odnotowuje proponowane zwiększenie zaciągania i udzielania** pożyczek w następnych WRF; uważa, że władza budżetowa musi uważnie monitorować wpływ tego podejścia na margines elastyczności WRF lub inne gwarancje; **domaga się, aby operacje zaciągania i udzielania pożyczek odbywały się z poszanowaniem uprawnień współprawodawców i władzy budżetowej;**

38. **zdecydowanie sprzeciwia się zaciąganiu jakichkolwiek** pożyczek w następnych WRF; uważa, że władza budżetowa musi uważnie monitorować wpływ tego podejścia na margines elastyczności WRF lub inne gwarancje;

Or. pl

Amendment 832

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; **considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect** the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

Amendment

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; **rejects the use of** borrowing and lending **as a means of financing Union expenditure; stresses that Union policies must be financed within the MFF in line with the principles of budgetary discipline and fiscal responsibility; demands that** the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority **be fully respected;**

Or. en

Amendment 833

Isabelle Le Callennec, Céline Imart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. **prend note** de l'augmentation proposée des opérations d'emprunt et de prêt dans le cadre du prochain CFP; considère que l'autorité budgétaire doit

Amendment

38. **exprime sa vive inquiétude vis-à-vis** de l'augmentation proposée des opérations d'emprunt et de prêt dans le cadre du prochain CFP; considère que

surveiller attentivement l'impact de cette approche sur la marge de manœuvre du CFP ou sur d'autres garanties; exige que les opérations d'emprunt et de prêt respectent les pouvoirs des colégislateurs et de l'autorité budgétaire;

l'autorité budgétaire doit surveiller attentivement l'impact de cette approche sur la marge de manœuvre du CFP ou sur d'autres garanties; exige que les opérations d'emprunt et de prêt respectent les pouvoirs des colégislateurs et de l'autorité budgétaire;

Or. fr

Amendment 834

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

Amendment

38. Notes the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF **and the** headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

Or. en

Amendment 835

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. **Notes** the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers

Amendment

38. **Opposes** the proposed increase in borrowing and lending under the next MFF; considers that the budgetary authority must carefully monitor the impact of this approach on the MFF headroom or other guarantees; demands that borrowing and lending operations respect the powers

of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

of the co-legislators and the budgetary authority;

Or. en

Amendment 836

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38 bis. sottolinea che gli strumenti di prestito nell'ambito e a complemento del prossimo QFP potrebbero essere concepiti per massimizzare la mobilitazione di capitale privato attraverso strutture di finanziamento combinato, garantendo al contempo trasparenza, proporzionalità del rischio e il pieno rispetto delle prerogative di bilancio dei colegislatori;

Or. it

Amendment 837

Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38 a. Takes note of the NextGenerationEU repayment profile as presented by the Commission; stresses that any revised repayment schedule must ensure that NGEU debt servicing does not crowd out essential EU spending or compromise the Union's ability to address future crises;

Amendment 838

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. herhaalt zijn oproep voor een versterkt investeringspartnerschap met de EIB-groep om het hefboomeffect van de EU-begroting te benutten, particuliere investeringen te mobiliseren en de risico's te verminderen op belangrijke beleidsterreinen zoals klimaat, concurrentievermogen en innovatie, defensie en de externe dimensie;

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 839

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. bekräftigt seine Forderung nach einer verstärkten Investitionspartnerschaft mit der EIB-Gruppe, um den EU-Haushalt zu nutzen, private Investitionen zu mobilisieren und das Risiko in wichtigen Politikbereichen wie Klima, Wettbewerbsfähigkeit, Innovation und Verteidigung sowie der außenpolitischen Dimension zu verringern;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 840

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reitera su llamamiento en favor de una asociación de inversión reforzada con el Grupo BEI para sacar el mayor partido al presupuesto de la Unión, movilizar la inversión privada y reducir el riesgo en ámbitos políticos importantes como el clima, la competitividad y la innovación, la defensa y la dimensión exterior;

Amendment

39. Reitera su llamamiento en favor de una asociación de inversión reforzada con el Grupo BEI para sacar el mayor partido al presupuesto de la Unión, movilizar la inversión privada y reducir el riesgo en ámbitos políticos importantes como el clima, la competitividad y la innovación, la defensa y la dimensión exterior; ***Dado el papel central del Grupo BEI resulta necesario establecer mecanismos adecuados que garanticen el cumplimiento de los más altos estándares de supervisión prudencial externa, teniendo en cuenta las adaptaciones necesarias derivadas del carácter único de su modelo de negocio;***

Or. es

Amendment 841
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension; ***stresses that this partnership should maintain tailored instruments to facilitate access for SMEs, mid-caps and cross-border consortias, while ensuring robust governance, transparency and Union added value;***

Or. en

Amendment 842

Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private **investment and de-risk important** policy areas **such as climate**, competitiveness, **and** innovation, defence **and the** external dimension;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private **capital and support key** policy areas **that strengthen Europe's strategic autonomy**, **notably** competitiveness, innovation, **and** defence **capabilities, as well as the EU's** external dimension; **underlines the importance of measurable impact and responsible use of public guarantees;**

Or. en

Amendment 843

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas **for our strategic autonomy** such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension; **Reminds that a European preference in European goods must be key in public-private investments.**

Or. en

Amendment 844

Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension; ***emphasises for the next MFF, the need to preserve the simplifications made to InvestEU under the current MFF;***

Or. en

Amendment 845
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension, ***while maintaining the open architecture in the implementation of EU budget guarantees;***

Or. en

Amendment 846
João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reitera o seu apelo a favor de uma parceria de investimento reforçada com o Grupo BEI, a fim de **alavancar o orçamento da UE, mobilizar o investimento privado e reduzir os riscos em domínios de intervenção importantes, como o clima, a competitividade e a inovação, a defesa e a dimensão externa;**

Amendment

39. Reitera o seu apelo a favor de uma parceria de investimento reforçada com o Grupo BEI, a fim de **contribuir para o apoio ao desenvolvimento dos sectores produtivos dos Estados-Membros, às MPMEs e à promoção da coesão económica, social e territorial;**

Or. pt

Amendment 847

Andrzej Halicki, Jagna Marczułajtis-Walczak

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, **housing**, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Or. en

Amendment 848

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. **Reiterates** its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment

39. **Welcomes** its call for a reinforced investment partnership with the EIB Group to leverage the EU budget, mobilise private investment and de-risk important policy areas such as climate, competitiveness, and innovation, defence and the external dimension;

Amendment 849

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. ribadisce la sua richiesta di un partenariato rafforzato per gli investimenti con il gruppo BEI al fine di sfruttare il bilancio dell'UE, mobilitare gli investimenti privati e ridurre i rischi in settori strategici importanti quali *il clima*, la competitività e l'innovazione, la difesa e la dimensione esterna;

Amendment

39. ribadisce la sua richiesta di un partenariato rafforzato per gli investimenti con il gruppo BEI al fine di sfruttare il bilancio dell'UE, mobilitare gli investimenti privati e ridurre i rischi in settori strategici importanti quali la competitività e l'innovazione, la difesa e la dimensione esterna;

Or. it

Amendment 850

Adnan Dibrani

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39 a. Stresses that protecting the Union's financial interests and ensuring a sustainable EU budget require a sustained response to persistent revenue losses caused by large informal economies in several Member States; recalls that EU bodies such as the ECA, OLAF and EPPO have repeatedly identified VAT fraud as a major source of losses to national budgets and the Union's own resources; underlines that reducing informal economic activity would support fairer burden-sharing between Member

States, safeguard the integrity of the internal market and help stabilise GNI-based contributions; calls for the MFF 2028–2034 to include clear and well-coordinated provisions to support effective action against informal economies;

Or. en

Amendment 851

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccioi, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39 bis. sottolinea che un partenariato rafforzato con il gruppo BEI dovrebbe essere inteso come un pilastro dell'architettura dell'Unione in materia di finanziamento combinato (blended finance), nell'ambito della quale il bilancio dell'UE è utilizzato in modo strategico per ridurre il rischio degli investimenti, attrarre capitali privati e massimizzare l'addizionalità, in particolare attraverso garanzie, strumenti di condivisione del rischio e operazioni di tipo equity nei settori di importanza strategica;

Or. it

Amendment 852

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39 a. Insists on the need to pool in private investments to reinforce the concrete impact of the EU budget; calls on the European Commission to scale the impact of the InvestEU instrument to de-risk investments made by private actors backed with public guarantees;

Or. en

Amendment 853
Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39 a. Reiterates its long-standing position that all EU-level spending should be brought within the purview of the budgetary authority, thereby ensuring transparency, democratic control and protection of the Union's financial interests;

Or. en

Amendment 854
Adnan Dibrani

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39 b. Notes with concern that the European Court of Auditors continues to identify persistent and systemic levels of incorrect payments in EU expenditure; recalls that in 2024 the estimated error rate remained above the 2 % materiality threshold, leading the Court to issue an adverse audit opinion for the sixth consecutive year; stresses that incorrect payments undermine public trust and

weaken the EU budget's capacity to deliver on key social, security, competitiveness and climate objectives; calls for stronger safeguards, controls and performance requirements in the MFF 2028-2034 to prevent incorrect payments and ensure sound financial management;

Or. en

Amendment 855
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

40. Emphasises that, in the interest of securing Parliament's consent, every aspect of the MFF, especially budgetary elements, are to be considered as a package and subject to genuine negotiations; reiterates its objection to how current and previous MFF Regulations were adopted, with the European Council overstepping its role by predetermining multiple elements such as financial envelopes and policy-specific provisions, thereby violating Treaty provisions in both substance and intent; expresses serious concern that preliminary 'negotiating boxes' prepared by the Council presidency replicate this flawed methodology, incorporating issues that belong to the co-decision procedure when establishing new EU programme legislation;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 856
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

PE784.188v01-00

174/184

AM\1336462XM.docx

Motion for a resolution

41. Expresses serious concern about the broader programme design features and sectoral proposals that shift essential policy and budgetary decisions from the basic act to work programmes, significantly weakening Parliament's legislative role; strongly objects to the transfer of legislative elements away from sectoral regulations, excluding Parliament from decisions that are currently taken under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

41. **40.** Expresses serious concern about the broader programme design features and sectoral proposals that shift essential policy and budgetary decisions from the basic act to work programmes, significantly weakening Parliament's legislative role; strongly objects to the transfer of legislative elements away from sectoral regulations, excluding Parliament from decisions that are currently taken under the ordinary legislative procedure **and creating legal uncertainty for beneficiaries; points to the serious risks which such a shift would entail to the transparency and democratic scrutiny of the legislative process;**

Or. en

Amendment 857

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41**

Motion for a resolution

41. Expresses serious concern about the broader programme design features and sectoral proposals that shift essential policy and budgetary decisions from the basic act to work programmes, significantly weakening Parliament's legislative role; strongly objects to the transfer of legislative elements away from sectoral regulations, excluding Parliament from decisions that are currently taken under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

41. Expresses serious concern about the broader programme design features and sectoral proposals that shift essential policy and budgetary decisions from the basic act to work programmes, significantly weakening Parliament's legislative role; **notes that systematic reliance on delegated acts risks expanding Commission powers at the expense of democratic oversight;** strongly objects to the transfer of legislative elements away from sectoral regulations, excluding Parliament from decisions that are currently taken under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 858

Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

41. Expresses *serious* concern about the broader programme design features and sectoral proposals that shift essential policy and budgetary decisions from the basic act to work programmes, significantly weakening Parliament's legislative role; *strongly* objects to the transfer of legislative elements away from sectoral regulations, excluding Parliament from decisions that are currently taken under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

41. Expresses concern about the broader programme design features and sectoral proposals that shift essential policy and budgetary decisions from the basic act to work programmes, significantly weakening Parliament's legislative role; objects to the transfer of legislative elements away from sectoral regulations, excluding Parliament from decisions that are currently taken under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 859

Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

42. Insists that all substantive policy choices such as programme objectives, spending priorities, financial allocations, eligibility, selection and award criteria, conditions, definitions and calculation methods must be determined in the relevant legislation, with full respect for Parliament's prerogatives as co-legislator and budgetary authority and not in the work programme; demands that any elements entailing policy choices that are not included in the basic act be adopted exclusively through delegated acts, rejecting any use of implementing acts or other procedures that would bypass parliamentary scrutiny; in this respect,

Amendment

42. Insists that all substantive policy choices such as programme objectives, spending priorities, financial allocations, eligibility, selection and award criteria, conditions, definitions and calculation methods must be determined in the relevant legislation, with full respect for Parliament's prerogatives as co-legislator and budgetary authority and not in the work programme; demands that *the key policy choices be decided in the basic acts to the extent possible and that* any elements entailing policy choices that are not included in the basic act be adopted exclusively through delegated acts, rejecting any use of implementing acts or

requires that all multiannual and annual work programmes be adopted through delegated acts to ensure Parliament's systematic involvement in policy decision-making; categorically rejects any attempt to relegate strategic decisions to comitology procedures or other arrangements; warns that Parliament will not accept any proposal that fails to guarantee its full legislative and oversight powers;

other procedures that would bypass parliamentary scrutiny, ***in order to fully respect the institutional balance laid down in the Treaties***; in this respect, requires that all multiannual and annual work programmes be adopted through delegated acts to ensure Parliament's systematic involvement in policy decision-making; categorically rejects any attempt to relegate strategic decisions to comitology procedures or other arrangements; warns that Parliament will not accept any proposal that fails to guarantee its full legislative and oversight powers; ***Stresses that reducing the level of detail in the basic acts could result in shifting important policy choices to the implementation phase and therefore weakening democratic accountability and marginalising Parliament's role in political decisions with significant budgetary implications***;

Or. en

Amendment 860

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

42. Insists that all substantive policy choices such as programme objectives, spending priorities, financial allocations, eligibility, selection and award criteria, conditions, definitions and calculation methods must be determined in the relevant legislation, with ***full respect for Parliament's prerogatives as co-legislator and budgetary authority and not in the work programme***; demands that ***any elements entailing policy choices that are not included in the basic act be adopted exclusively through delegated acts, rejecting any use of implementing acts or***

Amendment

42. Insists that all substantive policy choices such as programme objectives, spending priorities, financial allocations, eligibility, selection and award criteria, conditions, definitions and calculation methods must be determined in the relevant legislation, with ***maximum detail and content in basic regulations and directives***; demands that delegated acts ***be strictly limited and confined to technical implementation details only***; categorically rejects any attempt to relegate strategic decisions to comitology procedures or other arrangements; warns that Parliament

other procedures that would bypass parliamentary scrutiny; in this respect, requires that all multiannual and annual work programmes be adopted through delegated acts to ensure Parliament's systematic involvement in policy decision-making; categorically rejects any attempt to relegate strategic decisions to comitology procedures or other arrangements; warns that Parliament will not accept any proposal that fails to guarantee its full legislative and oversight powers;

will not accept any proposal that fails to guarantee its full legislative and oversight powers, *underscoring the need for meaningful debate among co-legislators at this stage*;

Or. en

Amendment 861

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Stresses that the broad scope of objectives in the proposed sectoral regulations requires a revised assessment procedure for pilot projects and preparatory actions to safeguard Parliament's already limited right of initiative; considers that clearer criteria and streamlined procedures are essential to ensure that Parliament can continue to launch innovative actions and test new policy approaches; insists that broadening the scope of programmes must not reduce Parliament's capacity to propose pilot projects and preparatory actions in specific policy areas;

Amendment

43. Stresses that the broad scope of objectives in the proposed sectoral regulations requires a revised assessment procedure for pilot projects and preparatory actions to safeguard Parliament's already limited right of initiative; *observes that programme broadening should not lead to reduced legislative content through excessive delegation*; considers that clearer criteria and streamlined procedures are essential to ensure that Parliament can continue to launch innovative actions and test new policy approaches; insists that broadening the scope of programmes must not reduce Parliament's capacity to propose pilot projects and preparatory actions in specific policy areas;

Or. en

Amendment 862

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Stresses that the broad scope of objectives in the proposed sectoral regulations requires a revised assessment procedure for pilot projects and preparatory actions to safeguard Parliament's already limited right of initiative; considers that clearer criteria and streamlined procedures are essential to ensure that Parliament can continue to launch innovative actions and test new policy approaches; insists that broadening the scope of programmes must not reduce Parliament's capacity to propose pilot projects and preparatory actions in specific policy areas;

Amendment

43. Stresses that the broad scope of objectives in the proposed sectoral regulations requires a revised assessment procedure for pilot projects and preparatory actions to safeguard Parliament's already limited right of initiative; considers that clearer criteria and streamlined procedures are essential to ensure that Parliament can continue to launch innovative actions and test new policy approaches **in headings 1, 2 and 3**; insists that broadening the scope of programmes must not reduce Parliament's capacity to propose pilot projects and preparatory actions in specific policy areas;

Or. en

Amendment 863

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 43 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

43 A. Rejeita a criação e aprofundamento de mecanismos de condicionalidade como instrumento de pressão política, através da chantagem da sanção financeira, utilizados para assegurar a prevalência das políticas da UE contra os direitos e soberania dos povos, em função dos interesses e das conveniências das potências da UE e dos grupos económicos e financeiros;

Or. pt

Amendment 864
Andrzej Halicki

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

underlines the need of shaping a vibrant and vital civil society, as it plays a crucial and tangible role in defending EU against disinformation, electoral meddling, hybrid treats

Or. en

Amendment 865
Andrzej Halicki

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Democratic resilience is cle-de-voute for our competitiveness, growth and prosperity and security; calls the Commission to fund commitments articulated in the European Democracy Shield must be taken up and implement it within the MFF

Or. en

Amendment 866
Andrzej Halicki

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 12 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Strongly supports the Commission's commitment to mainstream democratic resilience into programmes including

Creative Europe, Digital Europe, the Justice Programme, Horizon Europe, Erasmus+, the European Competitiveness Fund, the Global Europe Instrument, and the National and Regional Partnership Plans;

Or. en

Amendment 867
Andrzej Halicki

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 12 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

emphasises the fact that defence spending should be also used to invest in democratic resilience, coming under such instruments as the European Defence Fund, Horizon Europe, Digital Europe, the SAFE instrument (Security Action for Europe) and the European Defence Industry Programme; Such spending should be used to support technological excellence, as well as countering and disrupting hybrid and information threats;

Or. en

Amendment 868
Andrzej Halicki

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 12 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Calls for an increase in the AgoraEU programme, as it helps supports the civil society in Europe

Or. en

Amendment 869
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44. betont, dass die Einhaltung der Werte der Union und der Grundrechte eine grundlegende Voraussetzung für den Zugang zu EU-Mitteln ist; hebt hervor, wie wichtig eine enge Verknüpfung zwischen der Achtung der Rechtsstaatlichkeit und dem Zugang zu EU-Mitteln im Rahmen des MFR 2021-2027 ist; ist der Auffassung, dass der Schutz der finanziellen Interessen der Union von der Achtung der Rechtsstaatlichkeit und der Werte der Union auf nationaler Ebene abhängt; begrüßt insbesondere die positiven Auswirkungen der Konditionalitätsverordnung auf den Schutz der finanziellen Interessen der Union bei systemischen und anhaltenden Verstößen gegen die Rechtsstaatlichkeit; fordert die Kommission und den Rat auf, die Verordnung erforderlichenfalls strikt, konsequent und unverzüglich anzuwenden;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 870
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44. souligne que le respect des valeurs de l'Union et des droits fondamentaux est une condition préalable essentielle pour accéder aux fonds de l'Union; souligne

supprimé

l'importance de liens solides entre le respect de l'état de droit et l'accès aux fonds de l'Union dans le cadre du CFP 2021-2027; estime que la protection des intérêts financiers de l'Union dépend du respect de l'état de droit et des valeurs de l'Union à l'échelon national; salue notamment les effets positifs du règlement sur la conditionnalité liée à l'état de droit pour la protection des intérêts financiers de l'Union en cas de violation systémique et persistante de l'état de droit; invite la Commission et le Conseil à appliquer, au besoin, le règlement de manière stricte, systématique et sans retard injustifié;

Or. fr

Amendment 871
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; highlights the importance of strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds under the 2021-2027 MFF; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests depends on respect for the rule of law and Union values at national level; welcomes, in particular, the positive impact of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation in protecting the Union's financial interests in cases of systemic and persistent breaches of the rule of law; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the regulation strictly, consistently and without undue delay wherever necessary;

deleted

Or. en

