



2025/0571R(APP)

2.2.2026

AMENDMENTS

872 - 996

Draft report

Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares
(PE779.351v01-00)

Interim report on the proposal for the multiannual financial framework for
2028-2034
(2025/0571R(APP))



Amendment 872

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; highlights the **importance** of strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds **under the 2021-2027 MFF**; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests **depends on** respect for the rule of law and Union values **at national level; welcomes, in particular, the positive impact of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation in** protecting the **Union's** financial interests **in cases of systemic and persistent** breaches of the rule of law; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the regulation strictly, consistently and without undue delay wherever necessary;

Amendment

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; highlights the **need for continued** of strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests **is intrinsically linked to the** respect for the rule of law and Union values; **stresses that the** Conditionality Regulation **has been a key tool for** protecting the **EU's** financial interests **against** breaches of the rule of law, **and that it should be applied whenever its legal conditions are met across the entire EU budget, including performance-based instruments as well as funding provided under Article 122 TFEU**; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the regulation strictly, consistently and **and to take measures** without undue delay wherever necessary; **stresses that in particular rule-of-law deficiencies affecting judiciary and the breaches pertain to the functioning of the judiciary system and in cases such as violations of rules, manipulation of, or favouritism in, calls for tender and applications involving EU funding, as well as administrative harassment breaching the equal treatment of local and regional authorities, companies or other final recipients and beneficiaries, and their access to EU funds as well procurement, audit bodies, anti-corruption safeguards or control systems constitute direct risks to the Union budget and require consistent and stringent application of conditionality; highlights that politicisation of public administration and undue concentration in procurement**

procedures represent systemic rule-of-law risks that threaten sound financial management;

Or. en

Amendment 873

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; highlights the importance of strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds under the 2021-2027 MFF; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests depends on respect for the rule of law and Union values at national level; welcomes, in particular, the positive impact of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation in protecting the Union's financial interests in cases of systemic and persistent breaches of the rule of law; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the regulation strictly, consistently and without undue delay wherever necessary;

Amendment

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; **welcomes conditionality as an objective tool to safeguard budgetary discipline, sound financial management and taxpayers interests; stresses the need for smart conditionality mechanisms that apply proportionate measures at central level while ringfencing payments to final recipients, local authorities and regions, preventing politicisation and ensuring transparency;** highlights the importance of strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds under the 2021-2027 MFF; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests depends on respect for the rule of law and Union values at national level; welcomes, in particular, the positive impact of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation in protecting the Union's financial interests in cases of systemic and persistent breaches of the rule of law; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the regulation strictly, consistently and without undue delay wherever necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 874

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. benadrukt dat eerbiediging van de **waarden van de Unie en van de** grondrechten een essentiële voorwaarde is voor toegang tot EU-middelen; benadrukt het belang van een nauw verband tussen de eerbiediging van de rechtsstaat en **de** toegang tot EU-fondsen in het kader van het MFK **2021-2027**; is van mening dat de bescherming van de financiële belangen van de Unie afhangt van de eerbiediging van **de** rechtsstaat **en de Uniewaarden** op nationaal niveau; **is met name ingenomen met het positieve effect van de verordening inzake conditionaliteit met betrekking tot de rechtsstaat wat betreft de bescherming van de financiële belangen van de Unie in geval van systemische en aanhoudende schendingen van de rechtsstaat**; verzoekt de Commissie en de Raad om **de verordening waar nodig strikt**, consequent **en onverwijld** toe te passen;

Amendment

44. benadrukt dat eerbiediging van de **universele** grondrechten een essentiële voorwaarde is voor toegang tot EU-middelen; benadrukt het belang van een nauw verband tussen de eerbiediging van de **duidelijk gedefinieerde** rechtsstaat en toegang tot EU-fondsen in het kader van het MFK; is van mening dat de bescherming van de financiële belangen van de Unie **mede** afhangt van de eerbiediging van **die** rechtsstaat op nationaal niveau, **ongeacht de regeringscoalitie**; verzoekt de Commissie en de Raad om **verordeningen te allen tijde politiek neutraal, onpartijdig en** consequent toe te passen;

Or. nl

Amendment 875

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; highlights the **importance of** strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds **under the 2021-2027 MFF**; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests **depends on**

Amendment

44. Underlines that compliance with Union values and fundamental rights is an essential prerequisite to access EU funds; highlights the **need for continued** strong links between respect for the rule of law and access to EU funds; believes that the protection of the Union's financial interests **is intrinsically linked to the** respect for the

respect for the rule of law and Union values at national level; welcomes, in particular, the positive impact of the Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation in protecting the Union's financial interests in cases of systemic and persistent breaches of the rule of law; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the **regulation** strictly, consistently and without undue delay wherever necessary;

rule of law and Union values at national level; welcomes, in particular, the positive impact of the Rule of Law Conditionality **Regulation and the Financial** Regulation in protecting the Union's financial interests in cases of systemic and persistent breaches of the rule of law; calls on the Commission and the Council to apply the **regulations** strictly, consistently and **to take measures** without undue delay wherever necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 876
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 a. Condemns in the strongest terms the instrumentalisation of rule of law conditionality for politically motivated ideological assaults on Member States; emphasises that in these assaults, the Commission has demonstrably utilised and financed NGOs and left-leaning media outlets through Union funds; consequently demands an immediate cessation of these attacks against national sovereignty, which are perpetrated through the misuse of the rule of law conditionality;

Or. en

Amendment 877
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 a. Stresses that decisions to suspend or reduce Union funding over breaches of the rule of law must be based on objective criteria, applied consistently, and must not be guided by other considerations or be the outcome of negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 878

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 b. Highlights that in particular rule-of-law deficiencies affecting judiciary and the breaches pertain to the functioning of the judiciary system and in cases such as violations of rules, manipulation of, or favouritism in, calls for tender and applications involving EU funding, as well as administrative harassment breaching the equal treatment of local and regional authorities, companies or other final recipients and beneficiaries, and their access to EU funds as well procurement, audit bodies, anti-corruption safeguards or control systems constitute direct risks to the Union budget and require consistent and stringent application of conditionality; highlights that politicisation of public administration and undue concentration in procurement procedures represent systemic rule-of-law risks that threaten sound financial management;

Or. en

Amendment 879

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 b. *Recalls that there is no legal definition of ‘rule of law’ in the TEU and that each Member State has its own definition, which may vary from one country to another, contributing to legal uncertainty; deplores the fact that this concept is used arbitrarily, in the absence of a definition and a legal basis;*

Or. en

Amendment 880

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 c. *Notes that the new proposal introduces horizontal conditions on respecting the principles of the rule of law and the Charter; is concerned about the overlaps with the newly proposed conditionality mechanism in the upcoming multiannual financial framework; calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU programmes;*

Or. en

Amendment 881

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 d. *Recalls that the Conditionality Regulation is recognised as one of the key*

tools for protecting the EU's financial interests against breaches of the rule of law, and that it should be applied whenever its legal conditions are met across the entire EU budget, including performance-based instruments, so that all EU expenditure is subject to rule of law scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 882

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45. fordert, dass Dopplungen oder Überschneidungen zwischen bestehenden und künftigen Rechtsvorschriften vermieden werden, insbesondere in den nationalen und regionalen Partnerschaftsplänen und fordert, dass ein einheitlicher, kohärenter und umfassender Rahmen für alle EU-Finanzierungsprogramme unter dem Dach der Konditionalitätsverordnung geschaffen wird, das sowohl die Voraussetzungen für den Zugang zu Unionsmitteln als auch Maßnahmen zum Zurückhalten von Mitteln umfasst, wenn die entsprechenden Voraussetzungen nicht mehr erfüllt sind; fordert die Kommission auf, sämtliche Instrumente im Bereich der Rechtsstaatlichkeit in einem einheitlichen und umfassenden Rahmen zu konsolidieren, der klare, objektive und transparente Anwendungskriterien enthält und in dem dargelegt wird, wie die Kommission die Koordinierung dieser Instrumente sicherstellen soll;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 883
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45. demande que tout doublon ou chevauchement entre la législation existante et future soit évité, en particulier dans le cadre des PNRR, et demande la mise en place d'un cadre unifié, cohérent et complet pour l'ensemble des programmes de financement de l'Union, sous l'égide du règlement sur la conditionnalité liée à l'état de droit, couvrant à la fois les conditions favorisantes qui doivent être remplies pour accéder au financement de l'Union et les mesures visant à retenir les fonds lorsque les conditions pertinentes ne sont plus remplies; demande à la Commission de regrouper tous les outils relatifs à l'état de droit dans un cadre unifié et complet qui définisse des critères d'application clairs, objectifs et transparents, ainsi que la manière dont la Commission assurera la coordination entre ces outils;

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 884
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45. Calls for any duplications or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular in the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Conditionality Regulation, covering both enabling

deleted

conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools;

Or. en

Amendment 885

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Calls for any duplications or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular in the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Conditionality Regulation, covering both enabling conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools;

Amendment

45. ***Insist that in the next MFF a seamless and coherent Union values toolbox to cover democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights across all EU funds.*** Calls for any duplications or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided, in particular in the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, ***as part of the same seamless and coherent toolbox***, under the umbrella of the Conditionality Regulation, covering both enabling conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified, ***seamless*** and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools, ***and ensure greater transparency and consistency in the application of that toolbox across instruments, including strengthened***

Amendment 886

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Calls for any duplications or overlaps ***between existing and future legislation*** to be avoided, in particular in the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, ***under the umbrella of the Conditionality Regulation***, covering both enabling conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools;

Amendment

45. ***Insist that in the next MFF a seamless and coherent Union values toolbox to cover democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights across all EU funds*** Calls for any duplications or overlaps to be avoided, in particular in the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes ***as part of the same seamless and coherent toolbox***, covering both enabling conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified, ***seamless*** and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools; ***insist in particular on a clear prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds;***

Amendment 887

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. ***Calls for any duplications or overlaps between existing and future legislation to be avoided***, in particular in the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Conditionality Regulation, covering both enabling conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools;

Amendment

45. ***Deplores the duplications and overlaps with the conditionality mechanism introduced*** in particular by the NRPPs, and calls for a unified, coherent and comprehensive framework across all EU funding programmes, under the umbrella of the Conditionality Regulation, covering both enabling conditions that must be fulfilled in order to access EU funding, and measures to withhold funds when relevant conditions are no longer met; requests the Commission to consolidate all rule of law tools into a unified and comprehensive framework that provides clear, objective and transparent criteria for application and the way in which the Commission will ensure coordination among these tools;

Or. en

Amendment 888
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

45 a. Expresses its firm opposition to the perpetuation of the current ‘rule of law conditionality system’ and, furthermore, to any proposals aimed at its reinforcement or expansion; recalls that any initiative seeking to strengthen or extend such conditionalities undermines the crucial objectives of simplification and enhanced competitiveness by imposing greater complexities and protracted delays on Member States seeking access to vital funding;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 889

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45 b. Notes that the Commission's annual rule of law reports have shown clear political bias in recent years; regrets the fact that the reports do not present an objective and substantiated picture of the rule of law situation in the Member States; highlights the fact that these reports cannot serve as a basis for any further EU mechanisms or procedures; deplores the fact that the Commission includes inputs from NGOs funded by the EU itself and, more worryingly, in some cases by non-EU governments when drafting its annual rule of law report;

Or. en

Amendment 890

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46. fordert, dass Unionsmittel zurückgehalten werden, wenn grundlegende Anforderungen nicht erfüllt sind, und dass eingefrorene Gelder erst dann an die betreffenden Mitgliedstaaten freigegeben werden, wenn diese konkrete Reformen vollständig umgesetzt und nachweisbar gezeigt haben, dass sie die Rechtsstaatlichkeit in der Praxis einhalten;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 891
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46. exige que les fonds de l'Union soient retenus lorsque les exigences essentielles ne sont pas remplies et que les fonds gelés ne soient versés aux États membres concernés qu'une fois qu'ils auront pleinement mis en œuvre des réformes substantielles et démontré de manière vérifiable le respect effectif de l'état de droit;

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 892
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 893
Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice;

Amendment

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice; ***insists on smart conditionality to enforce objective, proportionate criteria with full due process, robust defence rights and equal treatment, protecting final beneficiaries from central level failings and upholding budgetary responsibility without political instrumentalisation;***

Or. en

Amendment 894

Rasmus Nordqvist

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice;

Amendment

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice ***and when the rule of law reports do not identify further backsliding;***

Or. en

Amendment 895

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice;

Amendment

46. Demands that Union funds be withheld where essential requirements are not fulfilled and that frozen funds only be released to the Member States concerned once they have fully implemented meaningful reforms and verifiably demonstrated compliance with the rule of law in practice ***and when the rule of law reports do not identify further backsliding;***

Or. en

Amendment 896

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 a. Notes with concern the Commission's politically biased monitoring of rule of law benchmarks through the rule of law toolbox; calls on the Commission to refrain from politically motivated actions targeting right-wing, conservative governments or sanctions against Member States that are not in line with the Commission's agenda; deplores the fact that the Commission is abusing rule of law conditionality to withhold EU funds from governments on a political basis and is thereby violating the principle of sincere cooperation enshrined in the Treaties; calls on the Commission to adhere to the principle of sincere cooperation with the governments of all Member States, irrespective of their political leaning;

Or. en

Amendment 897

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 a. Recalls that final recipients should not be deprived of the benefits of Union funds where measures are applied to a Member State; stresses the need for ‘smart conditionality’ so citizens, local and regional authorities, civil society and other beneficiaries are not penalised for the actions of national governments; calls on the Commission to propose specific operational mechanisms to enable reallocating decommitted funds to direct or indirect management where appropriate, without weakening the application of conditionality and while maintaining the Member State’s legal obligation to pay;

Or. en

Amendment 898

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 b. Condemns the fact that the Commission has clearly demonstrated double standards for Member States when it comes to rule of law standards and has used it in a manner detrimental to Member States with conservative governments; notes that the Commission unfroze the EU funds for Poland following the election of a party belonging to the ruling European political family despite the fact that no new legislation had been adopted; strongly condemns the fact that leaders of right-wing parties

have been banned from running for office and persecuted by lawfare; condemns the attempts to imprison political leaders for defending the borders of their respective countries; denounces the physical intimidation and lawfare used against politicians for holding views that challenge the EU mainstream;

Or. en

Amendment 899

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar, Anouk Van Brug

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 b. Stresses that protecting the Union's financial interests requires a strengthened EU anti-fraud architecture; calls for adequate funding and staffing for bodies essential to budget protection and the rule of law, including EPPO, and for sufficient support to democracy and values programmes to strengthen democratic resilience;

Or. en

Amendment 900

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. reconnaît que, dans le contexte des PNNR, la Commission propose la possibilité de rendre à nouveau disponibles, pour les programmes en gestion directe ou indirecte, les financements qui sont dégagés en raison de l'absence de mesures correctives en

supprimé

réponse à une violation de l'état de droit constatée au titre du règlement sur la conditionnalité; exige des éclaircissements sur la manière dont ces montants dégagés pourraient être orientés vers d'autres programmes et demande l'interdiction de toute réaffectation de fonds gelés; insiste sur le fait que la décision d'utiliser des fonds dégagés relève de la prérogative de l'autorité budgétaire dans le cadre de la procédure budgétaire annuelle et ne devrait pas être laissée à la discrétion de la Commission;

Or. fr

Amendment 901
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Acknowledges that the Commission proposes, in the context of the NRPPs, the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds; insists that the decision to use de-committed funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 902

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Acknowledges **that the Commission proposes**, in the context of the NRPPs, the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds; insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

Amendment

47. **Positively** acknowledges **the Commission's proposal**, in the context of the NRPPs, **of** the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds;**body; believes that such an approach to conditionality may resolve the identified issues concerning the possibility to affect the suspended amounts and the protection of final recipients or beneficiaries, as the proposal provides for the funds to be made available again for use under direct or indirect management, in particular the instruments and programmes that contribute to supporting Europe's democracy, civil society, Union values or the fight against corruption; requests that funds suspended or frozen due to breaches of the values enshrined in Article 2 TEU must be considered forfeited by the Member State concerned and must not be reallocated to that state, but recommends that the funds made available again should at least partly be redirected to non-state actors primarily within the Member State where the violations occurred; i** insists that the decision to use de-committed funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 903
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Acknowledges that the Commission proposes, in the context of the NRPPs, the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; ***demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of*** frozen funds; insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

Amendment

47. Acknowledges that the Commission proposes, in the context of the NRPPs, the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; ***believes that such an approach to conditionality may resolve the identified issues concerning the possibility to affect the suspended amounts and the protection of final recipients or beneficiaries, as the proposal provides for the funds to be made available again for use under direct or indirect management, in particular the instruments and programmes that contribute to supporting Europe's democracy, civil society, Union values or the fight against corruption; requests that funds suspended or*** frozen due to breaches of the values enshrined in Article 2 TEU ***must be considered forfeited by the Member State concerned and must not be reallocated to that state, but recommends that the funds made available again should at least partly be redirected to non-state actors primarily within the Member State where the violations occurred;*** insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 904

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. nimmt zur Kenntnis, dass die Kommission im Zusammenhang mit den nationalen und regionalen Partnerschaftsplänen die Möglichkeit **vorschlägt**, dass Mittel, **die aufgrund fehlender Abhilfemaßnahmen als Reaktion auf einen festgestellten Verstoß gegen die Rechtsstaatlichkeit gemäß der Konditionalitätsverordnung durch Aufhebung freigegeben wurden, wieder für Programme in direkter oder indirekter Mittelverwaltung eingesetzt werden können; fordert, dass klargestellt wird, wie die Beträge** aus aufgehobenen Mittelbindungen anderen Programmen zugewiesen werden **könnten** und fordert das Verbot, **eingefrorene Mittel umzuwidmen**; hält daran fest, dass **es** im Rahmen des jährlichen Haushaltsverfahrens das Vorrecht der Haushaltsbehörde **ist**, über die Verwendung freigegebener Mittel zu entscheiden, und dass dies nicht im Ermessen der Kommission liegen sollte;

Amendment

47. nimmt zur Kenntnis, dass die Kommission im Zusammenhang mit den nationalen und regionalen Partnerschaftsplänen die Möglichkeit **vorsieht**, dass Mittel aus aufgehobenen Mittelbindungen anderen Programmen zugewiesen werden **können; fordert, dass klargestellt wird, wie solche Beträge anderen Programmen zugewiesen werden dürfen**, und fordert das Verbot **der Umwidmung eingefrorener** Mittel; hält daran fest, dass im Rahmen des jährlichen Haushaltsverfahrens das Vorrecht der Haushaltsbehörde **besteht**, über die Verwendung freigegebener Mittel zu entscheiden, und dass dies nicht im Ermessen der Kommission liegen sollte;

Or. de

Amendment 905

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Acknowledges that the Commission proposes, in the context of the NRPPs, the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified

Amendment

47. Acknowledges that the Commission proposes, in the context of the NRPPs, the possibility to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified

breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds; insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds; ***stresses that decommitted cohesion funds must prioritise reallocation to eligible final recipients rather than central redirection***; insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 906
Kinga Kollár

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. ***Acknowledges that the Commission proposes***, in the context of the NRPPs, ***the possibility*** to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds; insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the Commission;

Amendment

47. ***Welcomes the Commission's proposal***, in the context of the NRPPs, to make funding that is decommitted because of a lack of remedial measures in response to an identified breach of the rule of law under the Conditionality Regulation available again to programmes in direct or indirect management; ***stresses the need to have decommitted funds made available to final beneficiaries based in the country to which the decommitment of funds was applied***; demands clarification as to how such decommitted amounts could be channelled to other programmes and calls for the prohibition of reshuffling of frozen funds; insists that the decision to use decommitted funds is a prerogative of the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure and should not be left to the discretion of the

Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 907
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47 a. Deplores the European left's attempt to bypass national governments by the introduction of a so-called "smart conditionality", which in reality would interfere in the sovereignty of Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 908
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47 b. Condemns the fact that in December 2022 the Commission decided to exclude Hungarian universities from the Horizon Europe program, which is inexplicable and devastating, causing Hungarian students and researchers suffer a competitive disadvantage compared to others; highlights in that regard, that the use and accountability of Union funds has been transparent for decades at Hungarian universities; regrets that Hungarian universities have suffered enormous loss both in reputation and financially due to the inability to contract for tenders already won or submitted; calls on the Commission to immediately withdraw its discriminative

decision;

Or. en

Amendment 909
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47 c. Condemns the fact that in December 2022 the Commission decided to exclude Hungarian universities, students, teachers and researchers from Erasmus+; calls on the Commission to immediately withdraw its discriminative decision;

Or. en

Amendment 910
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

48. Emphasises that a steering mechanism will not compensate for any loss of Parliament's legislative or budgetary powers; insists that getting budgetary governance right and preserving the prerogatives of the budgetary authority are essential prerequisites to making the annual budgetary procedure more meaningful and that any steering mechanism can only function if the basic foundations are in place;

48. Emphasises that a steering mechanism will not compensate for any loss of Parliament's legislative or budgetary powers **and cannot replace the role of policy debates and decisions for setting budgetary priorities; underlines that the Strategic Steering Mechanism proposed by the European Commission is inadequate in a time where more agility is needed; underlines that the annual budget is an expression of policy priorities and plans agreed by the Union institutions and that the annual budgetary procedure is the implementation of those decisions rather than a strategic decision-making process in itself;** insists that

getting budgetary governance right and preserving the prerogatives of the budgetary authority are essential prerequisites to making the annual budgetary procedure more meaningful and that any steering mechanism can only function if the basic foundations are in place;

Or. en

Amendment 911
Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Emphasises that a steering mechanism will not compensate for any loss of Parliament's legislative or budgetary powers; insists that getting budgetary governance right and preserving the prerogatives of the budgetary authority are essential prerequisites to making the annual budgetary procedure more meaningful and that any steering mechanism can only function if the basic foundations are in place;

Amendment

48. Emphasises that a steering mechanism will not compensate for any loss of Parliament's legislative or budgetary powers; insists that getting budgetary governance right and preserving the prerogatives of the budgetary authority are essential prerequisites to making the annual budgetary procedure more meaningful and that any steering mechanism can only function if the basic foundations are in place; ***Calls on the Commission to urgently present the announced Competitiveness Coordination Tool as a Strategic Initiative to align investments by Member States and the Union in strategic areas to implement policy decisions and to improve the European added value of both Member States and Union investments, rejects any proposal that does not fill this gap;***

Or. en

Amendment 912
Rasmus Nordqvist
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. ***Emphasises that a steering mechanism will not compensate for any loss of Parliament's legislative or budgetary powers;*** insists that getting budgetary governance right and preserving the prerogatives of the budgetary authority are essential prerequisites to making the annual budgetary procedure more meaningful and that any steering mechanism can only function if the basic foundations are in place;

Amendment

48. Insists that getting budgetary governance right and preserving the prerogatives of the budgetary authority are essential prerequisites to making the annual budgetary procedure more meaningful and that any steering mechanism can only function if the basic foundations are in place;

Or. en

Amendment 913
Andrzej Halicki, Jagna Marczułajtis-Walczak

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

48 a. 48 a) Recalls that, in the preparation, implementation, monitoring, reporting and evaluation of the National and Regional Partnership Plans, the Member States and the Commission shall take all appropriate measures to prevent any discrimination based on gender, racial or racial origin, disability, age or sexual orientation. In this regard underlines the obligation to ensure full accessibility for persons with disabilities throughout the entire lifecycle of the Plans; recalls that Union and its Member States are parties to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UN CRPD; underlines that Union funds must not support actions or settings that reinforce institutionalisation of persons with disabilities; calls on the Member States and the Commission to ensure that projects financed by Union

Amendment

funds are fully aligned with the UN CRPD, the EU Guidance on Independent Living, and with strong principle of social inclusion, deinstitutionalisation and community-based support with services like personal assistance.

Or. en

Amendment 914

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Rejects any arrangement that would constrain the freedom of the budgetary authority to make autonomous decisions on budget priorities and allocations; firmly opposes any mechanism that would enable the Commission to predetermine budgetary choices through technical assessments; categorically rejects any possibility for the European Council to influence the annual budgetary procedure through European Council Conclusions, as this would constitute a clear breach of Treaty provisions and undermine the institutional balance established for budgetary decision-making; recalls that the Financial Regulation already provides for the possibility to lift budgetary reserves; calls for systematic coordination by the Commission with the budgetary authority before making any voluntary commitments on the use of in-built flexibility, and for any mobilisation to be approved by decision of the budgetary authority;

Amendment

49. Rejects any arrangement that would constrain the freedom of the budgetary authority to make autonomous decisions on budget priorities and allocations; firmly opposes any mechanism that would enable the Commission to predetermine budgetary choices through technical assessments; categorically rejects any possibility for the European Council to influence the annual budgetary procedure through European Council Conclusions, as this would constitute a clear breach of Treaty provisions and undermine the institutional balance established for budgetary decision-making; ***Calls the Commission to work on an improved and more regular dialogue and annual procedure with Parliament, allowing the budgetary annual procedure to be more flexible and better equipped to respond to upcoming challenges; Underlines the steering mechanism timeline could be also be alined with the annual rule of law report procedure;*** recalls that the Financial Regulation already provides for the possibility to lift budgetary reserves; calls for systematic coordination by the Commission with the budgetary authority before making any, voluntary commitments on the use of in-built flexibility, and for any mobilisation to be approved by decision of the budgetary

authority;

Or. en

Amendment 915
Jens Geier, Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Rejects any arrangement that would constrain the freedom of the budgetary authority to make autonomous decisions on budget priorities and allocations; firmly opposes any mechanism that would enable the Commission to predetermine budgetary choices through technical assessments; categorically rejects any possibility for the European Council to influence the annual budgetary procedure through European Council Conclusions, as this would constitute a clear breach of Treaty provisions and undermine the institutional balance established for budgetary decision-making; recalls that the Financial Regulation already provides for the possibility to lift budgetary reserves; calls for systematic coordination by the Commission with the budgetary authority before making any voluntary commitments on the use of in-built flexibility, and for any mobilisation to be approved by decision of the budgetary authority;

Amendment

49. Rejects any arrangement that would constrain the freedom of the budgetary authority to make autonomous decisions on budget priorities and allocations; ***clarifies that any proposed 'steering mechanism' can only serve as a political orientation and must under no circumstances legally or practically restrict the Parliament's right to amend the budget lines during the annual procedure or to depart from the Commission's initial proposal***; firmly opposes any mechanism that would enable the Commission to predetermine budgetary choices through technical assessments; categorically rejects any possibility for the European Council to influence the annual budgetary procedure through European Council Conclusions, as this would constitute a clear breach of Treaty provisions and undermine the institutional balance established for budgetary decision-making; recalls that the Financial Regulation already provides for the possibility to lift budgetary reserves; calls for systematic coordination by the Commission with the budgetary authority before making any voluntary commitments on the use of in-built flexibility, and for any mobilisation to be approved by decision of the budgetary authority;

Or. en

Amendment 916

Ruggero Razza, Carlo Fidanza, Nicola Procaccini, Antonella Sberna, Francesco Ventola, Francesco Torselli, Denis Nesci, Lara Magoni, Mariateresa Vivaldini, Daniele Polato, Stefano Cavedagna, Sergio Berlato, Carlo Ciccio, Mario Mantovani, Elena Donazzan, Michele Picaro, Giovanni Crosetto, Alessandro Ciriani, Alberico Gambino, Paolo Inselvini, Marco Squarta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

50. *osserva con preoccupazione che la Commissione propone di aumentare la deviazione dagli importi indicativi nella legislazione settoriale dall'attuale 15 % al 20 %; sottolinea che l'aumento proposto, combinato con la fusione di programmi e dotazioni di bilancio sostanzialmente più elevate per programma, creerebbe un importante potenziale di modifica senza il coinvolgimento dei colegislatori; esprime forti riserve quanto all'effetto cumulativo di tali cambiamenti sul controllo democratico e l'equilibrio dei poteri istituzionali; ritiene che tale ampliamento della flessibilità esecutiva comprometta le prerogative dell'autorità di bilancio; chiede pertanto che la deviazione massima dagli importi indicativi sia limitata al 5 % per l'intera durata dei programmi, garantendo che qualsiasi riassegnazione di bilancio sostanziale continui a essere soggetta a un adeguato controllo democratico e a un processo decisionale colegislativo; insiste sul fatto che tale soglia ridotta manterrebbe la necessaria flessibilità operativa, preservando nel contempo il ruolo essenziale del Parlamento nel controllo di bilancio;*

soppresso

Or. it

Amendment 917
Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

Amendment

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight; ***demands that the indicative budget for the European Framework Programme for Research and Innovation is exempt from this flexibility and that the budgetary authorities commit to strive to fully allocate this indicative budget to the activities of the Framework Programme;***

Or. en

Amendment 918

Rasmus Nordqvist, Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

Amendment

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 % ***in the Interinstitutional Agreement***; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ***without prejudice to the application of the relevant articles of the MFFR and the IIA*** ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 919
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. stellt mit Besorgnis fest, dass die Kommission vorschlägt, die Abweichung von den Richtbeträgen in den sektorspezifischen Rechtsvorschriften von derzeit 15 % auf 20 % zu erhöhen; betont,

Amendment

50. stellt mit Besorgnis fest, dass die Kommission vorschlägt, die Abweichung von den Richtbeträgen in den sektorspezifischen Rechtsvorschriften von derzeit 15 % auf 20 % zu erhöhen; betont,

dass diese vorgeschlagene Aufstockung in Verbindung mit der Zusammenlegung von Programmen und erheblich größeren Haushaltsmitteln pro Programm ein erhebliches Potenzial für Änderungen ohne die Einbeziehung der Mitgesetzgeber schaffen würde; äußert ernsthafte Bedenken hinsichtlich der kumulativen Auswirkungen dieser Änderungen auf die demokratische Kontrolle und das Gleichgewicht der institutionellen Befugnisse; ist der Ansicht, dass eine solche Ausweitung der exekutiven Flexibilität die Vorrechte der Haushaltsbehörde untergräbt; fordert daher, dass die maximale Abweichung von den Richtbeträgen **während der gesamten Laufzeit der Programme auf 5 % begrenzt wird, um sicherzustellen, dass alle wesentlichen Mittelumschichtungen weiterhin einer ordnungsgemäßen demokratischen Kontrolle und Mitentscheidung unterliegen**; beharrt darauf, dass dieser herabgesetzte Schwellenwert die notwendige operative Flexibilität aufrechterhalten und gleichzeitig die wesentliche Rolle des Parlaments bei der Haushaltskontrolle wahren würde;

dass diese vorgeschlagene Aufstockung in Verbindung mit der Zusammenlegung von Programmen und erheblich größeren Haushaltsmitteln pro Programm ein erhebliches Potenzial für Änderungen ohne die Einbeziehung der Mitgesetzgeber schaffen würde; äußert ernsthafte Bedenken hinsichtlich der kumulativen Auswirkungen dieser Änderungen auf die demokratische Kontrolle und das Gleichgewicht der institutionellen Befugnisse; ist der Ansicht, dass eine solche Ausweitung der exekutiven Flexibilität die Vorrechte der Haushaltsbehörde untergräbt; fordert daher, **fordert daher**, dass die maximale Abweichung von den Richtbeträgen **auf ein niedriges und klar begrenztes Niveau beschränkt wird**; beharrt darauf, dass dieser herabgesetzte Schwellenwert die notwendige operative Flexibilität aufrechterhalten und gleichzeitig die wesentliche Rolle des Parlaments bei der Haushaltskontrolle wahren würde;

Or. de

Amendment 920

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create

Amendment

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create

significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight, **transparency** and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 921

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious **reservations** about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; **calls**, therefore, for

Amendment

50. Notes with concern that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses serious **concerns** about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; **urges**, therefore, for

the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 922

Anouk Van Brug, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Notes *with concern* that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses *serious* reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving

Amendment

50. Notes that the Commission proposes to increase the deviation from indicative amounts in sectoral legislation from the current 15 % to 20 %; underlines that this proposed increase, combined with the merging of programmes and substantially larger budgets per programme, would create significant potential for modifications without the involvement of co-legislators; expresses reservations about the cumulative effect of these changes on democratic oversight and the balance of institutional powers; considers that such an expansion of executive flexibility undermines the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; calls, therefore, for the maximum deviation from indicative amounts to be limited to 5 % over the entire duration of the programmes, ensuring that any substantial budgetary reallocations remain subject to proper democratic scrutiny and co-legislative decision-making; insists that this reduced threshold would maintain necessary operational flexibility while preserving Parliament's essential role in

Parliament's essential role in budgetary oversight;

budgetary oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 923
Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

50 a. Requests that the Commission presents key policy priorities of EU external action to the Parliament in meaningful way and to engage in a strategic debate on priorities to be funded under the annual budget; calls on the Commission to take into account the recommendations from the Parliament including on possible reviews and shifts in priorities for the budgets under Global Europe pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 924
Monika Hohlmeier, Jean-Marc Germain

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

50 a. Proposes to include a dedicated annex on cooperation concerning the conditionality regime; believes, in this context, that a regular dialogue between the three institutions on the current state of play concerning the protection of the EU budget, with regard to the rule of law, would be an effective way of improving coordination regarding the rule of law toolbox;

Amendment 925

João Oliveira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

50 A. Insta a que o financiamento do orçamento seja feito com base no RNB dos Estados-Membros, determinando que as maiores contribuições sejam suportadas pelos Estados que mais têm beneficiado com o mercado único, a moeda única e as políticas comuns ao longo do processo de integração; rejeita as propostas de novos recursos próprios apresentadas pela Comissão que debilitam, ainda mais, a função redistributiva do orçamento e arriscam acentuar assimetrias de desenvolvimento entre Estados Membros; rejeita a introdução de novos recursos próprios que representa, na prática, a instituição dos chamados 'impostos europeus'; defende a salvaguarda da competência soberana dos Estados em todas as dimensões da política económica, incluindo a política fiscal;

Or. pt

Amendment 926

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51. réaffirme la nécessité de disposer de recettes durables et résilientes pour le budget de l'Union, qui devraient correspondre aux dépenses; est toujours

supprimé

convaincu que, sans l'introduction de nouvelles véritables ressources propres pour financer le budget de l'Union, la charge financière pèsera inévitablement sur les États membres sous la forme d'une augmentation des contributions fondées sur le RNB; considère donc que l'introduction de nouvelles sources de recettes est une condition essentielle pour un CFP 2028-2034 ambitieux;

Or. fr

Amendment 927
Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51. wijst nogmaals op de noodzaak van duurzame en veerkrachtige inkomsten voor de begroting van de Unie die in overeenstemming moeten zijn met de uitgavenzijde; blijft ervan overtuigd dat als er geen echte nieuwe eigen middelen worden ingevoerd om de begroting van de Unie te financieren, de financiële lasten onvermijdelijk op de lidstaten zullen wegen door de verhoging van de bni-bijdragen; is daarom van mening dat het genereren van nieuwe inkomstenstromen een essentiële voorwaarde is voor een ambitieus MFK 2028-2034;

Schrappen

Or. nl

Amendment 928
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51. bekräftigt, dass der Unionshaushalt nachhaltige und widerstandsfähige Einnahmen aufweisen muss, welche der Ausgabenseite entsprechen sollten; bekräftigt seine Überzeugung, dass ohne die Einführung neuer echter Eigenmittel zur Finanzierung des Haushalts der Union die finanzielle Belastung durch erhöhte BNE-basierte Beiträge unweigerlich auf die Mitgliedstaaten fallen wird; ist daher der Ansicht, dass die Einführung neuer Einnahmequellen eine wesentliche Voraussetzung für einen ehrgeizigen MFR 2028-2034 ist;

entfällt

Or. de

**Amendment 929
Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 930
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that ***without*** the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget ***is indispensable; recalls that without those new resources*** the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034; ***believes it is important to set a clear timeline for the adoption of those new own resources and therefore calls the Commission to come-up with a clear and new roadmap; in the same line, requests a higher frequency of Own Resources dialogue;***

Or. en

Amendment 931

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reitera la necesidad de unos ingresos ***sostenibles y resilientes para el presupuesto*** de la Unión ***que se correspondan con la parte de los gastos; expresa su convicción constante de que, sin la introducción de*** nuevos recursos propios ***auténticos para financiar el presupuesto de la Unión, la carga financiera recaerá inevitablemente en los Estados miembros por medio del*** aumento de las contribuciones basadas en ***la RNB; considera, por tanto, que la introducción de nuevos flujos de ingresos es una***

Amendment

51. Reitera la necesidad de unos ingresos ***que aseguren la estabilidad presupuestaria y la disciplina fiscal, pudiendo dar respuesta a las necesidades y prioridades*** de la Unión; ; ***exige al Consejo que cumpla con el acuerdo alcanzado en 2020 sobre*** nuevos recursos propios ***para poder así hacer frente a la deuda de los fondos Next Generation que comenzaremos a pagar en este nuevo Marco Financiero; junto a estos nuevos recursos propios, deben explorarse otras vías como un*** aumento ***moderado*** de las

condición esencial para un MFP 2028-2034 ambicioso;

contribuciones nacionales basadas en el Producto Nacional Bruto y una mayor eficiencia presupuestaria basada en detalladas evaluaciones de impacto de cada uno de los programas europeos y su grado de ejecución, para poder dar así respuesta presupuestaria y financiera a las verdaderas prioridades de la Unión;

Or. es

Amendment 932

Rasmus Nordqvist, Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; ***reiterates its longstanding call for the introduction of new genuine own resources not only for NextGenerationEU debt repayment but also to finance the Union's policies;*** expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams ***of at least 60 billion/year*** is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Or. en

Amendment 933

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; ***expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;***

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; ***recalls that EU expenses will one way or another be financed by citizens, whether that is the case through own resources or GNI-based contributions;***

Or. en

Amendment 934

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Amendment

51. ***Reaffirms Parliament's strong commitment to the introduction of new own resources, to ensure a sustainable, long-term financing of the EU budget;*** reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Or. en

Amendment 935

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and **resilient revenue for** the Union budget **that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;**

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and **predictable financing of** the Union budget **in line with the principles of budgetary discipline and sound financial management; underlines that the Union budget has traditionally been financed primarily through national contributions based on gross national income, which ensure transparency, democratic accountability and respect for Member States' fiscal sovereignty; stresses that the level of Union expenditure must be determined by clear prioritisation and efficient use of resources, rather than by the expansion of new revenue streams at Union level;**

Or. en

Amendment 936

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that **without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;**

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side **with a view to ensuring maximum transparency, effectiveness of collection and minimal administrative burden on Member States and tax payers;** expresses its continued conviction that GNI-based contributions, **due to their transparency and efficiency, should remain the cornerstone of Union revenue, prioritising streamlined collection and redistribution mechanisms that minimise costs and**

enhance predictability;

Or. en

Amendment 937

Stine Bosse, Fabienne Keller, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Amendment

51. Reiterates the need for sustainable and resilient revenue for the Union budget that should match the expenditure side; expresses its continued conviction that without the introduction of new genuine own resources to finance the Union's budget, the financial burden will inevitably fall on Member States through increased GNI-based contributions; considers, therefore, that the introduction of ***genuine*** new revenue streams is an essential condition for an ambitious MFF 2028-2034;

Or. en

Amendment 938

Roberts Zile

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51 a. Acknowledges that the GNI- based own resource has proved to be a reliable, transparent, and fair source of funding; points out that the fact that the member states themselves can decide how to raise the money for their EU contributions is in line with the subsidiarity principle and ensures that taxes are collected in an effective way; considers it unlikely that establishing new sources of financing will

succeed in eliminating the 'juste retour' logic because the offsetting of new own resources against the GNI-based own resource would immediately raise the issue of burden-sharing among the member states;

Or. en

Amendment 939
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51 bis. souligne que, selon la Cour des comptes européenne, dans son avis n° 04/2026, les contributions nationales augmenteraient de 48 % sur la période 2028-2034 en raison de l'augmentation proposée de l'enveloppe globale du CFP par rapport au cadre actuel, passant de 140,7 milliards d'euros à 208,5 milliards d'euros par an ; estime que cette évolution ferait peser une charge budgétaire irresponsable et intenable sur les budgets nationaux et sur les contribuables des États membres;

Or. fr

Amendment 940
Rasmus Nordqvist, Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51 a. Is highly concerned by the complete absence of progress on the reform of the system of own resources in the Council in particular, in the view of

*increasing needs and budgetary demands;
underlines that genuine new own
resources are also the necessary answer
in the times of budgetary constraints and
in order to ensure social cohesion and
much needed investments in Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 941
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*51 a. Is highly concerned by the
complete absence of progress on the
reform of the system of own resources in
the Council in particular, in the view of
increasing needs and budgetary demands;
underlines that genuine new own
resources are also the necessary answer
in the times of budgetary constraints and
in order to ensure social cohesion and
much needed investments in Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 942
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*51 a. Recalls that there is no need to
introduce new own resources, since the
current own resources system is
completely capable of delivering the
amounts needed;*

Or. en

Amendment 943
Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51 b. Considers that the system of rebates is complex, opaque and unjustified and highlights the fact that the main beneficiary Member States contribute a lower share of their GNI to the Union budget than most other Member States, particularly those with a lower GNI per capita; reiterates its position on the need for fairness, greater simplification, a reduced administrative burden and more transparency, including on the revenue side; reaffirms its long-standing critique that conceiving and negotiating the budget based on just-retour will inevitably result in a reduced European added value because the rationale to invest together in common and cross-border European public goods diminishes and the integrity and the potential policy incentives of the new own resources system will be compromised; calls on the Council and Member States to refrain from prolonging the lump-sum reductions, and to create an equitable overall budgetary balance for all by focussing on the synergies and EU-added value and in the spirit of solidarity among Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 944
Rasmus Nordqvist, Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 b (new)

51 b. Considers that the system of rebates is complex, opaque and unjustified and highlights the fact that the main beneficiary Member States contribute a lower share of their GNI to the Union budget than most other Member States, particularly those with a lower GNI per capita; reiterates its position on greater simplification, a reduced administrative burden and more transparency, including on the revenue side; reaffirms its long-standing critique that conceiving and negotiating the budget based on just-retour will inevitably result in a reduced European added value because the rationale to invest together in common and cross-border European public goods diminishes and the integrity and the potential policy incentives of the new own resources system will be compromised; calls on the Council and Member States to refrain from prolonging the lump-sum reductions, and to create an equitable overall budgetary balance for all by focussing on the synergies and EU-added value and in the spirit of solidarity among Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 945
Bogdan Rzońca**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51 b. Acknowledges that the GNI- based own resource has proved to be a reliable, transparent, and fair source of funding; points out that the fact that the member states themselves can decide how to raise the money for their EU contributions is in line with the subsidiarity principle and ensures that taxes are collected in an

effective way; considers it unlikely that establishing new sources of financing will succeed in eliminating the 'juste retour' logic because the offsetting of new own resources against the GNI-based own resource would immediately raise the issue of burden-sharing among the member states;

Or. en

Amendment 946

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52. estime que, face à des défis sans précédent nécessitant une augmentation substantielle des investissements dans de multiples domaines d'action au cours du prochain CFP, la base de recettes du budget de l'Union doit être élargie, renforcée, diversifiée et modernisée; insiste, à cette fin, sur le fait que les ressources propres existantes devraient être ajustées et mises à niveau, que de nouvelles ressources propres devraient être introduites sans plus tarder, que les recettes autres que les ressources propres devraient constituer un élément essentiel de la combinaison des sources de revenus, et que des opérations d'emprunt et de prêt bien définies, dont les passifs éventuels qui en découlent sont couverts en toute sécurité par la marge de manœuvre au titre des plafonds des ressources propres, devraient rester une option de repli réalisable, au moins en cas de crises imprévues;

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 947

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52. ist der Ansicht, dass angesichts beispielloser Herausforderungen, die im nächsten MFR-Zeitraum eine erhebliche Erhöhung der Investitionen in mehreren Politikbereichen erfordern, die Einnahmenbasis des EU-Haushalts erweitert, gestärkt, diversifiziert und modernisiert werden muss; besteht darauf, dass zu diesem Zweck die bestehenden Eigenmittel angepasst und aufgewertet werden sollten, dass unverzüglich neue Eigenmittel eingeführt werden sollten, dass andere Einnahmen als Eigenmittel ein wesentlicher Bestandteil des Mixes von Einnahmequellen sein sollten und dass genau definierte Anleihe- und Darlehensgeschäften, deren daraus resultierende Eventualverbindlichkeiten durch den Spielraum im Rahmen der Eigenmittelobergrenzen sicher gedeckt sind, zumindest in Zeiten unvorhergesehener Krisen eine praktikable Auswechoption bleiben sollten;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 948
Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52. is van mening dat de inkomstenbasis van de EU-begroting moet worden verbreed, versterkt, gediversifieerd en gemoderniseerd in het licht van de ongekende uitdagingen waardoor in de

Schrappen

volgende MFK-periode veel meer moet worden geïnvesteerd in verschillende beleidsterreinen; dringt erop aan dat daartoe de bestaande eigen middelen worden aangepast en opgewaardeerd, dat onverwijld nieuwe eigen middelen worden ingevoerd, dat andere ontvangsten dan eigen middelen een belangrijk deel uitmaken van de mix van inkomstenbronnen, en dat welomschreven lenings- en kredietverstrekingsoperaties waarvan de daaruit voortvloeiende voorwaardelijke verplichtingen veilig worden gedekt door de marge onder de maxima van de eigen middelen, een haalbaar alternatief blijven, ten minste in tijden van onvoorziene crises;

Or. nl

Amendment 949

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52. *Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be broadened, strengthened, diversified and modernised; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be adjusted and upgraded, new own resources should be introduced without further delay, revenue other than own resources should be an essential ingredient to the mix of income sources, and well-defined borrowing and lending operations whose ensuing contingent liabilities are safely covered by the headroom under the own resources ceilings should remain an actionable fall-back option, at least in times of*

deleted

Amendment 950

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Considera que, ante los retos sin precedentes que requieren aumentos sustanciales de la inversión en múltiples ámbitos políticos en el próximo período del MFP, debe ampliarse, reforzarse, diversificarse y modernizarse la base de ingresos del presupuesto de la Unión; insiste en que, a tal fin, **los recursos propios existentes deben ajustarse y mejorarse, deben introducirse nuevos recursos propios sin más demora**, los ingresos distintos de los recursos propios deben ser un elemento esencial de la combinación de fuentes de ingresos, y las operaciones de empréstito y préstamo bien definidas cuyos pasivos contingentes correspondientes estén cubiertos de forma segura por el margen de maniobra dentro de los límites máximos de los recursos propios deben seguir siendo una opción alternativa a la que se pueda recurrir, al menos en tiempos de crisis imprevistas;

Amendment

52. Considera que, ante los retos sin precedentes que requieren aumentos sustanciales de la inversión en múltiples ámbitos políticos en el próximo período del MFP, debe ampliarse, reforzarse, diversificarse y modernizarse **de manera justificada** la base de ingresos del presupuesto de la Unión; insiste en que, a tal fin, **que el presupuesto de la UE debe financiarse dando prioridad a la eficiencia, la simplificación y la eliminación de duplicidades, en consonancia con las recomendaciones del Tribunal de Cuentas Europeo. Para ello**, los ingresos distintos de los recursos propios deben ser un elemento esencial de la combinación de fuentes de ingresos, y las operaciones de empréstito y préstamo bien definidas cuyos pasivos contingentes correspondientes estén cubiertos de forma segura por el margen de maniobra dentro de los límites máximos de los recursos propios deben seguir siendo una opción alternativa a la que se pueda recurrir, al menos en tiempos de crisis imprevistas; **Subraya que el reembolso de la deuda del NGEU debe gestionarse de manera prudente y flexible, mediante ajustes en el perfil de amortización y, cuando sea posible, operaciones de refinanciación, con el fin de minimizar la presión sobre el MFP 2028-2034 y evitar la introducción de nuevos recursos propios de carácter distorsionador;**

Amendment 951
Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be broadened, strengthened, diversified and modernised; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be adjusted and upgraded, new own resources should be introduced without further delay, revenue other than own resources should be an essential ingredient to the mix of income sources, and well-defined borrowing and lending operations whose ensuing contingent liabilities are safely covered by the headroom under the own resources ceilings should remain an actionable fall-back option, at least in times of unforeseen crises;

Amendment

52. Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be broadened, strengthened, diversified and modernised; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be adjusted and upgraded, new own resources should be introduced without further delay, revenue other than own resources should be an essential ingredient to the mix of income sources, and well-defined borrowing and lending operations whose ensuing contingent liabilities are safely covered by the headroom under the own resources ceilings should remain an actionable fall-back option, at least in times of unforeseen crises; ***stresses that any new own resources must be fair and not disproportionately penalise any specific Member State based on its economic model; notes that own resources decisions require unanimity in the Council, consultation with the European Parliament, and national ratification by all Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 952
Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52. Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be ***broadened, strengthened, diversified and modernised***; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be adjusted and upgraded, ***new own resources should be introduced without further delay***, revenue other than own resources should be an essential ingredient to the mix of income sources, ***and well-defined borrowing and lending operations whose ensuing contingent liabilities are safely covered by the headroom under the own resources ceilings should remain an actionable fall-back option, at least in times of unforeseen crises***;

52. Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be diversified and modernised; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be adjusted and upgraded, ***and*** revenue other than own resources should be an essential ingredient to the mix of income sources;

Or. en

Amendment 953

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be broadened, strengthened, diversified and modernised; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be ***adjusted and upgraded, new own resources should be introduced without further delay, revenue other than own resources should be an essential ingredient to the mix of income sources, and well-defined borrowing and lending operations whose ensuing contingent liabilities are safely covered by the headroom under the own resources***

Amendment

52. Considers that, in the face of unprecedented challenges requiring substantial increases in investment across multiple policy areas in the next MFF period, the revenue base of the EU budget must be broadened, strengthened, diversified and modernised ***whilst safeguarding transparency and administrative efficiency***; insists that, to this end, the existing own resources should be ***optimised for effective collection and reduced administrative costs and calibrated to ensure fair burden-sharing, particularly for smaller, open economies***;

ceilings should remain an actionable fall-back option, at least in times of unforeseen crises;

Or. en

Amendment 954

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. begrüßt die Bemühungen der Kommission, neue Eigenmittel zu ermitteln und bestimmte Kalibrierungen für traditionelle Eigenmittel vorzuschlagen, um die finanzielle Autonomie der Union zu stärken und die Abhängigkeit von nationalen Beiträgen zu verringern; unterstützt den von der Kommission vorgeschlagenen Ansatz eines weiter gefassten Spektrums von Eigenmitteln, da dies ein realistischer Weg ist, um die erforderliche Unterstützung im Rat sicherzustellen; nimmt die verschiedenen vorgeschlagenen Arten von Eigenmitteln zur Kenntnis; betont jedoch, dass jedes Spektrum neuer Eigenmittel unbedingt gleichzeitig mit dem nächsten mehrjährigen Finanzrahmen angenommen werden muss und einen stabilen und ausreichenden Einnahmenstrom von mindestens etwa 60 Mrd. EUR pro Jahr generieren muss, da dieses Niveau von wesentlicher Bedeutung ist, um eine kontinuierliche Rückzahlung der im Rahmen von NGEU aufgenommenen Mittel sicherzustellen, die Verpflichtungen der Union zu erfüllen und gemeinsame Investitionen in europäische öffentliche Güter zu finanzieren; fordert den Rat auf, rasch eine Einigung über neue Eigenmittel zu erzielen, um langfristig für

entfällt

Amendment 955

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. is ingenomen met de inspanningen van de Commissie om nieuwe eigen middelen vast te stellen en bepaalde aanpassingen aan de traditionele eigen middelen voor te stellen, teneinde de financiële autonomie van de Unie te versterken en de afhankelijkheid van nationale bijdragen te verminderen; steunt de bredere aanpak die de Commissie voorstelt, aangezien dit een realistische manier is om de nodige steun in de Raad te verkrijgen; neemt kennis van de verschillende soorten eigen middelen die worden voorgesteld; onderstreept echter dat elk pakket nieuwe eigen middelen noodzakelijkerwijs tegelijk met het volgende meerjarig financieel kader moet worden vastgesteld en een stabiele en toereikende inkomstenstroom van ten minste ongeveer 60 miljard EUR per jaar moet genereren, aangezien dit niveau van essentieel belang is om een gestage terugbetaling van leningen in het kader van NGEU te waarborgen, de toezeggingen van de Unie na te komen en gezamenlijke investeringen in Europese collectieve goederen te financieren; verzoekt de Raad snel een akkoord te bereiken over nieuwe eigen middelen om de begrotingszekerheid op lange termijn veilig te stellen;

Schrappen

Amendment 956
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. *salue les efforts déployés par la Commission pour identifier de nouvelles ressources propres, ainsi que pour proposer certains calibrages des ressources propres traditionnelles, en vue de renforcer l'autonomie financière de l'Union et de réduire la dépendance à l'égard des contributions nationales; soutient l'approche globale proposée par la Commission, qui constitue un moyen réaliste d'obtenir le soutien nécessaire au sein du Conseil; prend acte des différents types de ressources propres envisagés; souligne toutefois que tout panier de nouvelles ressources propres doit impérativement être adopté en même temps que le prochain cadre financier pluriannuel et doit générer un flux de recettes stable et suffisant d'au moins 60 milliards d'euros par an afin de garantir le remboursement régulier des emprunts contractés au titre de NextGenerationEU, de respecter les engagements de l'Union et de financer des investissements conjoints dans les biens publics européens; invite le Conseil à parvenir rapidement à un accord sur les nouvelles ressources propres afin d'assurer une sécurité budgétaire à long terme;*

supprimé

Or. fr

Amendment 957
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. *Welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 958

Joachim Streit, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. *Welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various*

Amendment

53. *Takes note of the Commission's proposals concerning the future financing of the Union budget; recalls that borrowing undertaken under the NextGenerationEU instrument was conceived as temporary and exceptional; stresses that the repayment of such borrowing must not serve as a justification for the permanent introduction of new own resources or for a structural increase in Union revenue;*

types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;

underlines that long-term budgetary sustainability requires credible debt-reduction paths, expenditure control and a gradual return to a Union budget financed within existing own resources and national contributions;

Or. en

Amendment 959

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. *Welcomes* the Commission's efforts to *identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to* traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy *and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed;* underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's

Amendment

53. *Takes note of* the Commission's efforts to *calibrate* traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;

commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;

Or. en

Amendment 960
Georgios Aftias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;

Amendment

53. Welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty; ***in the event that negotiations do not succeed, it calls on the Commission to submit a revised proposal for new genuine own resources, while taking into account of the contributive capacity of individual Member States, as***

Amendment 961

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. **Welcomes** the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; **supports** the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources **must imperatively** be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; **calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;**

Amendment

53. **Notes** the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; **notes** the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources **could** be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods;

Amendment 962

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;

Amendment

53. Welcomes the Commission's efforts to identify new own resources, as well as putting forward certain calibrations to traditional own resources, with a view to strengthening the Union's financial autonomy and reducing reliance on national contributions; supports the broader basket approach proposed by the Commission as this represents a realistic pathway to securing the necessary support in the Council; takes note of the various types of own resources proposed; ***strongly*** underlines, however, that any basket of new own resources must imperatively be adopted at the same time as the next multiannual financial framework and must generate a stable and sufficient flow of revenues of at least roughly EUR 60 billion per year, as this level is essential to ensure steady repayment of borrowing undertaken under NGEU, meet the Union's commitments and finance joint investment in European public goods ***while ensuring that European programmes do not suffer from the repayment of the debt***; calls on the Council to swiftly reach agreement on new own resources in order to provide long-term budgetary certainty;

Or. en

Amendment 963

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. ***Acoge con satisfacción*** los esfuerzos de la Comisión por definir nuevos recursos propios, así como por presentar determinadas calibraciones de recursos propios tradicionales, con vistas a reforzar la autonomía financiera de la

Amendment

53. ***Toma nota de*** los esfuerzos de la Comisión por definir nuevos recursos propios, así como por presentar determinadas calibraciones de recursos propios tradicionales, con vistas a reforzar la autonomía financiera de la Unión; apoya

Unión *y reducir la dependencia de las contribuciones nacionales*; apoya el enfoque basado en una «cesta» más amplio propuesto por la Comisión, ya que representa una vía realista para garantizar el apoyo necesario en el Consejo; *toma nota de los distintos tipos de recursos propios propuestos; subraya, no obstante, que toda cesta de nuevos recursos propios debe adoptarse imperativamente al mismo tiempo que el próximo marco financiero plurianual y debe generar un flujo estable y suficiente de ingresos de al menos aproximadamente 60 000 millones EUR al año, ya que este nivel es esencial para garantizar el reembolso constante de los empréstitos contraídos en el marco de NextGenerationEU, cumplir los compromisos de la Unión y financiar la inversión conjunta en bienes públicos europeos*; pide al Consejo que alcance rápidamente un acuerdo sobre nuevos recursos propios con el fin de proporcionar seguridad presupuestaria a largo plazo;

el enfoque basado en una «cesta» más amplio propuesto por la Comisión, ya que representa una vía realista para garantizar el apoyo necesario en el Consejo; *sin embargo, expresa serias reservas con respecto a la creación de nuevos recursos propios genuinos que impondrían una carga fiscal adicional a las empresas y los ciudadanos. se opone firmemente, en particular, a la introducción del recurso CORE debido a su carácter distorsionador e ineficaz; apoya, en cambio, un enfoque pragmático basado en un ajuste moderado de los recursos existentes, un aumento razonable y temporal de las contribuciones nacionales basadas en la RNB y, como último recurso, la asignación al presupuesto de la UE de una pequeña parte adicional de los impuestos especiales ya armonizados a nivel de la UE, sin crear nuevas figuras tributarias*; pide al Consejo que alcance rápidamente un acuerdo sobre nuevos recursos propios con el fin de proporcionar seguridad presupuestaria a largo plazo;

Or. es

Amendment 964

Fabienne Keller, Stine Bosse, Lucia Yar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53 a. Underlines that Parliament will not give its consent to the MFF without an agreement on appropriate and sustainable EU revenue, such as custom duties, own resources system, including the introduction of a basket of new own resources that are better aligned with and incentivised progress in major EU policy priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 965
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53 bis. rappelle que la Cour des comptes européenne, dans son avis n° 04/2026, alerte sur le fait que la proposition de la Commission visant à introduire cinq nouvelles sources de recettes rendrait le système des ressources propres plus complexe;

Or. fr

Amendment 966
Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53 ter. rappelle qu'au lieu d'imaginer créer de nouvelles ressources propres qui pèseraient de manière scandaleuse sur les citoyens et les entreprises, il paraît indispensable de plutôt faire rentrer les recettes déjà prévues et qui échappent actuellement au budget de l'Union européenne (par la fraude, les sous-déclarations, l'absence de contrôles efficaces, etc.);

Or. fr

Amendment 967
Alexander Jungbluth, Stanisław Tyszka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. fordert, dass der Rat, falls er bestimmte Elemente des Spektrums abschafft, die sich daraus ergebende Lücke durch höhere Abrufsätze für andere Quellen oder durch deren Ersetzung durch eine andere Quelle ersetzt, wobei die neue Quelle die Wettbewerbsfähigkeit kleiner und mittlerer Unternehmen nicht beeinträchtigen sollte; ist in diesem Sinne der Ansicht, dass das Einnahmepotenzial einer Steuer auf digitale Dienstleistungen, die auf große digitale Plattformen abzielt, als mögliche Lösung für den Fall geprüft werden sollte, dass andere vorgeschlagene Eigenmittel nicht von den Mitgliedstaaten unterstützt werden, um Steuergerechtigkeit und ein dem Bedarf entsprechendes Einnahmenniveau sicherzustellen;

entfällt

Or. de

Amendment 968

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

54. demande que, si le Conseil supprime certains éléments du panier, il comble le vide ainsi créé en augmentant les taux d'appel pour d'autres sources ou en les remplaçant par une autre source, étant entendu que la nouvelle source ne doit pas nuire à la compétitivité des petites et moyennes entreprises; estime, en ce sens, que le potentiel de recettes d'une taxe sur les services numériques visant les grandes plateformes numériques devrait être étudié en tant que solution éventuelle si d'autres ressources propres envisagées

supprimé

ne recueillent pas le soutien des États membres, afin de garantir l'équité fiscale et un niveau de recettes proportionné aux besoins;

Or. fr

Amendment 969

Rasmus Nordqvist, Rasmus Andresen
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Amendment

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs; *those other new own resources could be - amongst others - revenues from a uniform call rate to the capital gains tax base of crypto assets, revenues collected from the application of a levy on ultra-high-net-worth-individuals, revenues generated from the application of a financial transaction tax or revenues from the application of a frequent flyer levy or kerosene tax or revenues from gambling;*

Or. en

Amendment 970

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services *tax* aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Amendment

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; ***believes, in particular, that the proposal on CORE will put at risk the competitiveness of European firms even those with losses, especially at a time when Europe is lagging behind the US in terms of competitiveness and when firms already face a significant tax and administrative burden in many Member States;*** considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services *levy* aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Or. en

Amendment 971

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. ***Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-***

Amendment

54. ***Considers that a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be as a new own resource to ensure tax fairness and and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs, provided it does not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-***

sized enterprises; *considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain* support among Member States, *in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs*;

sized enterprises *and gains sufficient* support among Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 972

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Solicita que, en caso de que el Consejo elimine determinados elementos de la cesta, colme el déficit resultante con un aumento de los tipos de referencia para otras fuentes o las sustituya por otra fuente, al mismo tiempo que la nueva fuente no debe perjudicar la competitividad de las pequeñas y medianas empresas; *considera*, en este sentido, que *debe explorarse el potencial de ingresos de un impuesto sobre los servicios digitales dirigido a las principales plataformas digitales como posible solución en caso de que otros recursos propios propuestos no obtengan apoyo entre los Estados miembros, con el fin de garantizar la equidad fiscal y un nivel de ingresos proporcional a las necesidades*;

Amendment

54. Solicita que, en caso de que el Consejo elimine determinados elementos de la cesta, colme el déficit resultante con un aumento de los tipos de referencia para otras fuentes o las sustituya por otra fuente, al mismo tiempo que la nueva fuente no debe perjudicar la competitividad de las pequeñas y medianas empresas *o la competitividad europea en su conjunto; Pide*, en este sentido, que *se dé prioridad al examen de los ingresos derivados de los mercados europeos del carbono correspondientes a las políticas públicas europeas*;

Or. es

Amendment 973

Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible **solution** should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Amendment

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms, **taxing extreme wealth, a fossil fuel profit contribution, a levy on aviation or a financial sector tax** should **all** be explored as a possible **solutions** should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Or. en

Amendment 974

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, **while** the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Amendment

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source; **strongly emphasises that** the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;

Amendment 975

Nina Carberry

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; ***considers in this sense that the revenue potential of a digital services tax aimed at major digital platforms should be explored as a possible solution should other proposed own resources not gain support among Member States, in order to ensure tax fairness and a revenue level that is commensurate with needs;***

Amendment

54. Demands that, should the Council eliminate certain elements of the basket, it should replace the ensuing gap by increased call-rates for other sources or by replacing them with another source, while the new source should not harm the competitiveness of small and medium-sized enterprises; ***Calls on the Commission and the Council to exercise caution in advancing proposals for new own resources, particularly where such measures may disproportionately affect companies headquartered in third countries, and thereby risk exacerbating geopolitical tensions with strategic partners, notably the United States;***

Amendment 976

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

54 a. Recalls that, in previous years, multiple attempts have been made both by the Commission and the Parliament, to identify several promising sources for additional revenue to be added to the basket of own resources of the Union,

Amendment

including, but not limited to, a financial transaction tax and a share buyback excise duty; takes note of the extensive preparatory work done on these proposals, and calls for these effort to not be wasted and these proposals to be seriously re-examined as potential sources of additional own resources for the next MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 977

Karlo Ressler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54 a. Stresses the need for significant investments at national level to finance decarbonisation in order to achieve the Union's climate objectives; in this context, calls for a gradual phasing-in of the ETS own resource to ensure that the maximum necessary financial means for decarbonisation are secured for Member States to ensure the rapid and just transition from carbon-intensive economies;

Or. en

Amendment 978

Roberts Zile

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54 a. Expresses its concerns about the excessively regressive impact of the ETS-based own resource in low-income and carbon-intensive Member States;

considers therefore the ETS-based own resource very problematic from the perspective of social sustainability;

Or. en

Amendment 979

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54 b. Considers that, given the increasingly disproportionate share of European wealth being held by a small segment of the population, while large numbers of EU citizens face serious economic hardship, the potential of a European-wide tax on ultra-high net worth individuals should be examined; takes note of the frequent intra-European mobility of such individuals, and the need to address issues of tax justice and inequality; calls therefore on the Commission to conduct a comprehensive impact assessment examining the feasibility, legal basis, and economic impact of a potential EU-level tax on ultra-high net worth individuals;

Or. en

Amendment 980

Bogdan Rzońca

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54 b. Expresses its concerns about the

excessively regressive impact of the ETS-based own resource in low-income and carbon-intensive Member States; considers therefore the ETS-based own resource very problematic from the perspective of social sustainability;

Or. en

Amendment 981

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54 c. Calls on the Commission to propose, as part of the next Multiannual Financial Framework and in accordance with Articles 113 and 311 TFEU, the establishment of a new EU own resource based on a harmonised levy on online gambling and betting services with the dual objective of strengthening the Union's revenue base and combating illegal and black-market gambling, while reducing regulatory fragmentation around digital services in the Single Market; stresses that, in the absence of an EU-level regulatory framework for gambling, such an own resource must be preceded by a limited and targeted harmonisation of the taxable base through an EU Directive, accompanied by common reporting obligations and enhanced administrative cooperation between Member States, in order to ensure legal certainty, prevent tax evasion and money laundering, and protect consumers; reiterates that increased revenue from own resources such as this one would allow investment in EU public goods, including for education, skills and digital literacy that are so direly needed,

thereby actively contributing to the achievement of the Unions' policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 982

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54 d. Calls on the Commission to explore, within the framework of the ongoing reflection on new own resources, the feasibility of introducing a European own resource aimed at speculative real estate transactions, in particular short-term resale transactions, multiple transactions carried out within a limited period of time and large-scale investment activities undertaken by investment funds that contribute to distortions in housing markets; stresses that such an instrument should be carefully designed to avoid negative impacts on primary residence ownership and small private property ownership, and fully respect the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, while contributing to tax fairness, social cohesion and the financing of common European priorities

Or. en

Amendment 983

Auke Zijlstra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

55. neemt kennis van het voorstel van de Commissie voor een nieuw soort crisismechanisme op basis van buitengewone leningen, gedekt door een extra marge onder het maximum van de eigen middelen en verankerd in de consequent uitstekende kredietrating van de EU-begroting; merkt op dat dit instrument tijdgebonden is en onderworpen is aan vooraf vastgestelde criteria en beperkingen met betrekking tot het doel, het toepassingsgebied en de omvang ervan, die worden afgebakend door het extra beschikbare specifieke margecompartiment; is van mening dat het aangaan van gezamenlijke leningen een haalbare optie is die de Unie de mogelijkheid biedt om snel te reageren op onverwachte ontwikkelingen; spreekt zijn tevredenheid uit over het feit dat het voorgestelde mechanisme niet gebaseerd zou zijn op artikel 122 VWEU, dat het Parlement zoals in eerdere gevallen terzijde schuift, maar op een verordening van de Raad uit hoofde van artikel 311, lid 4, VWEU, die een goedkeuringsprocedure omvat, waardoor het institutionele evenwicht en de procedurele verantwoordingsplicht worden gewaarborgd;

Schrappen

Or. nl

**Amendment 984
Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55**

55. Takes note of the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing backed by additional headroom under the own resources ceiling and

deleted

anchored in the EU budget's consistently excellent credit rating; notes that this instrument is time-bound, subject to pre-defined criteria and limitations on its purpose, scope and size delimited by the extra available dedicated headroom compartment; considers that joint borrowing presents a viable option that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; expresses satisfaction that the proposed mechanism would not be based on Article 122 TFEU, which sidelines Parliament as in previous cases, but on a Council regulation under Article 311(4) TFEU, which entails a consent procedure, thus ensuring institutional balance and procedural accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 985

Anouk Van Brug, Katri Kulmuni, Moritz Körner, Joachim Streit

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. *Takes note of the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing backed by additional headroom under the own resources ceiling and anchored in the EU budget's consistently excellent credit rating; notes that this instrument is time-bound, subject to pre-defined criteria and limitations on its purpose, scope and size delimited by the extra available dedicated headroom compartment; considers that joint borrowing presents a viable option that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; expresses satisfaction that the proposed mechanism would not be based on Article 122 TFEU, which sidelines Parliament as in previous cases, but on a Council*

Amendment

55. *Strongly opposes joint borrowing by the EU and points at the merit of conventional sources of revenue to finance the EU budget;*

regulation under Article 311(4) TFEU, which entails a consent procedure, thus ensuring institutional balance and procedural accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 986

Isabel Benjumea Benjumea, Fernando Navarrete Rojas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. Toma nota de la propuesta de la Comisión de un nuevo tipo de mecanismo de crisis basado en empréstitos extraordinarios respaldados por un margen de maniobra adicional por debajo del límite máximo de los recursos propios y anclados en la calificación crediticia constantemente excelente del presupuesto de la Unión; observa que este instrumento está acotado en el tiempo, sujeto a criterios predefinidos y a limitaciones en cuanto a su finalidad, y que su alcance y volumen están delimitados por el margen de maniobra específico que se encuentra disponible de manera adicional; *considera que el empréstito conjunto presenta una opción viable que ofrece a la Unión la capacidad de responder rápidamente a acontecimientos imprevistos*; expresa su satisfacción por que el mecanismo propuesto no se base en el artículo 122 del TFUE, que dejar al margen al Parlamento como en casos anteriores, sino en un Reglamento del Consejo en virtud del artículo 311, párrafo cuarto, del TFUE, que conlleva un procedimiento de aprobación, garantizando así el equilibrio institucional y la responsabilidad procesal;

Amendment

55. *Considera que el empréstito conjunto presenta una opción viable siempre que facilite la financiación oportuna de políticas europeas con un claro valor añadido europeo y que se ajuste plenamente a las prioridades estratégicas de la UE que prevén bienes públicos europeos, o que facilite las inversiones en proyectos transfronterizos o paneuropeos que aumenten el potencial de crecimiento de la UE; Recuerda que, con el fin de garantizar que no se impongan límites indebidos a otras prioridades políticas ni cargas indebidas a los Estados miembros, los contribuyentes y las generaciones futuras, la UE debe desarrollar normas y límites explícitos para su endeudamiento, además de políticas claras para la gestión de su deuda, con el objetivo de minimizar los costes financieros globales para el contribuyente;*

Toma nota de la propuesta de la Comisión de un nuevo tipo de mecanismo de crisis basado en empréstitos extraordinarios respaldados por un margen de maniobra

adicional por debajo del límite máximo de los recursos propios y anclados en la calificación crediticia constantemente excelente del presupuesto de la Unión; observa que este instrumento está acotado en el tiempo, sujeto a criterios predefinidos y a limitaciones en cuanto a su finalidad, y que su alcance y volumen están delimitados por el margen de maniobra específico que se encuentra disponible de manera adicional; expresa su satisfacción por que el mecanismo propuesto no se base en el artículo 122 del TFUE, que dejar al margen al Parlamento como en casos anteriores, sino en un Reglamento del Consejo en virtud del artículo 311, párrafo cuarto, del TFUE, que conlleva un procedimiento de aprobación, garantizando así el equilibrio institucional y la responsabilidad procesal;

Or. es

Amendment 987

Alexander Jungbluth, Stanislaw Tyszka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. nimmt den Vorschlag der Kommission für **eine neue Art von** Krisenmechanismus zur Kenntnis, der auf einer **außerordentlichen** Kreditaufnahme beruht, **die** durch zusätzlichen Spielraum im Rahmen der Eigenmittelobergrenze **gestützt und in der anhaltend hervorragenden Bonitätseinstufung des EU-Haushalts verankert ist**; stellt fest, dass **dieses Instrument zeitlich begrenzt ist und im Voraus festgelegten Kriterien und Beschränkungen in Bezug auf seinen Zweck, seinen Anwendungsbereich und seinen Umfang unterliegt, die durch den zusätzlichen verfügbaren speziellen Spielraum begrenzt sind; ist der Ansicht, dass die gemeinsame Kreditaufnahme**

Amendment

55. nimmt den Vorschlag der Kommission für **einen neuen** Krisenmechanismus zur Kenntnis, der auf einer **außergewöhnlichen** Kreditaufnahme beruht **und** durch zusätzlichen Spielraum im Rahmen der Eigenmittelobergrenze **abgesichert werden soll; betont, dass ein solches Instrument streng zeitlich befristet, klar zweckgebunden und auf genau definierte außergewöhnliche Umstände beschränkt sein muss**; stellt fest, dass Zweck, Anwendungsbereich, Umfang, **Laufzeit sowie Auslöse- und Beendigungsbedingungen ex ante eindeutig festzulegen sind und einer vollumfänglichen parlamentarischen Kontrolle unterliegen müssen; hebt**

eine praktikable Option darstellt, die der Union die Möglichkeit bietet, rasch auf unerwartete Entwicklungen zu reagieren; bringt seine Zufriedenheit darüber zum Ausdruck, dass der vorgeschlagene Mechanismus nicht auf Artikel 122 AEUV beruhen würde, durch den das Parlament wie in früheren Fällen an den Rand gedrängt wird, sondern auf einer Verordnung des Rates gemäß Artikel 311 Absatz 4 AEUV, die ein Zustimmungsverfahren umfasst, wodurch das institutionelle Gleichgewicht und die verfahrensrechtliche Rechenschaftspflicht sichergestellt würden;

hervor, dass jede Inanspruchnahme eines solchen Instruments die uneingeschränkte Beteiligung des Europäischen Parlaments als Haushaltsbehörde voraussetzt und auf einer geeigneten Rechtsgrundlage beruhen muss, die das institutionelle Gleichgewicht wahrt und die demokratische Rechenschaftspflicht sicherstellt;

Or. de

Amendment 988

Jana Nagyová, Klara Dostalova, Ondřej Knotek, Jaroslava Pokorná Jermanová, Jaroslav Bžoch, Jaroslav Knot, Tomáš Kubín

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. Takes note of the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing backed by additional headroom under the own resources ceiling and anchored in the EU budget's consistently excellent credit rating; notes that this instrument is time-bound, subject to pre-defined criteria and limitations on its purpose, scope and size delimited by the extra available dedicated headroom compartment; *considers that joint borrowing presents a viable option that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; expresses satisfaction that the proposed mechanism would not be based on Article 122 TFEU, which sidelines Parliament as in previous cases, but on a Council regulation under Article 311(4) TFEU, which entails a consent procedure, thus*

Amendment

55. Takes note of the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing backed by additional headroom under the own resources ceiling and anchored in the EU budget's consistently excellent credit rating; notes that this instrument is time-bound, subject to pre-defined criteria and limitations on its purpose, scope and size delimited by the extra available dedicated headroom compartment; *stressed, however, that increased EU borrowing undermines sovereignty, risks long-term fiscal sustainability amid shifting global debt markets, and insists that EU budgetary decisions must prioritise selectivity, effectiveness and intergenerational responsibility over additional debt that burdens future generations; calls instead for rigorous prioritisation within existing*

ensuring institutional balance and procedural accountability;

resources; notes the proposed mechanism would be based on a Council regulation under Article 311(4) TFEU, which entails a consent procedure, thus ensuring institutional balance and procedural accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 989

Julien Sanchez, Angéline Furet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. *prend acte de* la proposition de la Commission visant à mettre en place un nouveau *type de* mécanisme de crise fondé sur des emprunts extraordinaires soutenus par une marge de manœuvre supplémentaire au titre du plafond des ressources propres *et ancrés dans l'excellente* notation de crédit *dont bénéficie habituellement* le budget de l'Union; *note que cet instrument est limité dans le temps et soumis à des critères prédéfinis et à des restrictions quant à son objectif, à sa portée et à son ampleur, qui sont délimités par la marge de manœuvre supplémentaire disponible*; estime que *les emprunts conjoints constituent une option viable qui offre à l'Union la capacité de réagir rapidement à des évolutions inattendues; se réjouit du fait que le mécanisme proposé ne soit pas fondé sur l'article 122 du traité FUE, qui écarte le Parlement comme cela a été le cas précédemment, mais sur un règlement du Conseil au titre de l'article 311, alinéa 4, du traité FUE, qui implique une procédure d'approbation, garantissant ainsi l'équilibre institutionnel et la responsabilité procédurale*;

Amendment

55. *rejette* la proposition de la Commission visant à mettre en place un nouveau mécanisme de crise fondé sur des emprunts *communs* extraordinaires soutenus par une marge de manœuvre supplémentaire au titre du plafond des ressources propres ; *considère que le recours à des emprunts conjoints, même présentés comme temporaires et strictement encadrés, comporte des risques budgétaires et financiers significatifs et crée un précédent susceptible d'affaiblir durablement la discipline budgétaire de l'Union ; souligne que l'adossement de tels mécanismes à la notation de crédit du budget de l'Union expose celui-ci à des engagements financiers accrus, au détriment de la soutenabilité à long terme du cadre financier pluriannuel ; estime que la multiplication d'instruments fondés sur l'endettement commun réduit la transparence budgétaire et affaiblit la responsabilité politique ; rappelle que la capacité de réaction de l'Union face aux crises doit prioritairement reposer sur des réallocations internes, une meilleure hiérarchisation des priorités et une utilisation rigoureuse des instruments existants, plutôt que sur la création de*

Amendment 990

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. ***Takes note of*** the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing backed by additional headroom under the own resources ceiling and anchored in the EU budget's consistently excellent credit rating; notes that this instrument ***is time-bound, subject to pre-defined criteria and limitations on its purpose, scope and size delimited by the extra available dedicated headroom compartment***; considers that joint borrowing presents a viable option that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; expresses satisfaction that the proposed mechanism would not be based on Article 122 TFEU, which sidelines Parliament as in previous cases, but on a Council regulation under Article 311(4) TFEU, which entails a consent procedure, thus ensuring institutional balance and procedural accountability;

Amendment

55. ***Welcomes*** the Commission's proposal for a novel type of crisis mechanism based on extraordinary borrowing backed by additional headroom under the own resources ceiling and anchored in the EU budget's consistently excellent credit rating; notes that this instrument ***will enable the Union to mobilise up to €395 billion in loans to Member States when severe crises, severe hardship, or serious threats thereof occur during the 2028-2034 period***; considers that joint borrowing presents a viable option that offers the Union the ability to respond swiftly to unexpected developments; expresses satisfaction that the proposed mechanism would not be based on Article 122 TFEU, which sidelines Parliament as in previous cases, but on a Council regulation under Article 311(4) TFEU, which entails a consent procedure, thus ensuring institutional balance and procedural accountability;

Amendment 991

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

55 a. *Considers this mechanism a crucial step towards building genuine fiscal capacity at EU level to respond swiftly and effectively to increasing and often unforeseen needs; emphasises that this crisis borrowing capability, while essential for immediate crisis response, should be viewed as part of a broader trajectory towards the regular and sizeable issuance of an common EU safe asset, as repeatedly advocated by Mario Draghi; believes that establishing a permanent and liquid EU safe asset would not only facilitate the Union's response to urgent needs, but would also strengthen monetary policy transmission, support risk-sharing across Member States, provide a benchmark for European capital markets comparable to US Treasuries, and accelerate progress towards the Capital Markets Union; calls on the Commission and Member States to use the experience gained from NextGenerationEU and the proposed crisis mechanism to build political and institutional momentum towards permanent common debt issuance for strategic European public goods;*

Or. en

Amendment 992

Jean-Marc Germain

on behalf of the S&D Group

Sandra Gómez López, Victor Negrescu, Giuseppe Lupo, Matthias Ecke, Nils Ušakovs, Mohammed Chahim, Matjaž Nemeč

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 56 – point iii – paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. The Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters may be used to finance rapid responses to specific emergency needs within the Union for emergency responses and support operations, and the recovery and reconstruction needs following natural and climate related disasters.

2. The Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters shall not exceed a maximum annual amount of EUR XXXX million (in 2025 prices). Any portion of the annual amount not used in year n may be used in the following years until 2034. On 1 October of each year, at least one quarter of the annual amount of the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters shall remain available in order to cover needs arising until the end of that year. In exceptional cases and if the remaining financial resources available are not sufficient to cover the amounts considered necessary in the year of occurrence of an event as referred to in paragraph 1, the Commission may propose that the difference be financed through the annual amount referred to in paragraph 2 available in the following year, up to a maximum amount of EUR XXX million (in 2025 prices).

3. The appropriations for the Solidarity Reserve for Natural Disasters shall be entered in the general budget of the Union as a provision.

Or. en

Amendment 993
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56 – point iii – paragraph 60 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Mid-term revision of the MFF and extraordinary revision clause in case of a

Amendment 994
Hélder Sousa Silva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56 – point iii – paragraph 63

Motion for a resolution

Chapter 4 - Article 9 – paragraph *1a (new)*

Amendment

Chapter 4 - Article 9 – paragraph *1b (new)*

1b. In the event of a major defence crisis, including armed aggression against a Member-State of the Union, the Commission shall present a review of the functioning of the MFF, allowing the rapid mobilisation of additional funding, strictly purpose-bound and time-limited. This review shall, as appropriate, be accompanied by a legislative proposal for the revision of this Regulation in accordance with the procedures set out in the TFEU. Pre-allocated national envelopes according to the Regulation XXXX/2027 [NRPPs] shall not be reduced through such a revision.

Amendment 995
Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 – subparagraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Section A – point 7

Amendment

Section A – point 7 7

In line with Article 312(5) TFEU, the Institutions shall take any measure necessary to facilitate the adoption of a new MFF or a revision thereof, in accordance with the special legislative

procedure referred to in Article 312(2) TFEU. Such measures shall include:

- (a) regular meetings and exchange of information between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission at political and technical level throughout the entire process, including the presence of the European Parliament's representatives at formal and informal meetings of the General Affairs Council at which the MFF is discussed;*
- (b) on the initiative of the Commission, including where requested by the European Parliament or the Council, meetings of the Presidents of the Institutions as set out in Article 324 TFEU in order to promote consultation and the reconciliation of the positions of the Institutions; The Commission shall duly follow up, without undue delay, requests from the President of the Parliament or the President of the European Council to convene an interinstitutional meeting pursuant Article 324 TFEU.*
- (c) provision by the Commission of timely data and information, including at the request of the European Parliament or the Council, and the organisation of technical briefings for both institutions;*
- (d) trilateral negotiations. Where a proposal for a new MFF or for a substantial revision has been presented, the Institutions shall seek to determine specific arrangements for cooperation and dialogue between them throughout the procedure leading to its adoption on the basis of a calendar proposed by Commission, including in particular the measures laid down in the first subparagraph. Where there are delays to the calendar referred to in the second subparagraph that give rise to a risk of no Council regulation determining a new financial framework being adopted by the end of the previous financial framework, the Commission shall present a contingency plan to the European*

Amendment 996
Siegfried Mureşan, Carla Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 – subparagraph 73 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Before announcing financial pledges, including loans, in support of third countries, which involve the use of flexibilities, cushions or otherwise unallocated amounts, the Commission will inform the budgetary authority and examine its remarks. Before announcing financial pledges, the Commission shall provide detailed and comprehensive information on the source of financing for financial commitments irrespective of whether new resources are to be mobilised.