



2025/2073(INI)

24.2.2026

COMPROMISE AMENDMENTS

1 - 47

Draft report

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

(PE776.922v01-00)

Global Gateway — past impacts and future orientation

(2025/2073(INI))

Amendment 1

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 1, 3, 4, 5, 2, 13, 17, 21, 22, 23, 25, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49 and 51

(COMP 40 A in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), in particular Articles **209, 212** and 322(1) thereof,

Amendment

– having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), in particular Articles **208** and 322(1) thereof,

– *having regard to Article 21 of the Treaty on European Union,*

– *having regard to the EU Global Health Strategy,*

– *having regard to the European Consensus on Humanitarian Aid and to the humanitarian–development–peace nexus as endorsed by the Council and the Commission,*

– *having regard to the conclusions of the Second World Summit for Social Development, held in Doha on 4-6 November 2025 which reaffirmed the centrality of social development, universal social protection, and the fight against poverty and inequality as essential pillars of sustainable development,*

– *having regard to the joint communication to the European Parliament and the Council of 25 November 2020 entitled ‘EU Gender Action Plan (GAP) III – An ambitious agenda for gender equality and women’s empowerment in EU external action’ (JOIN(2020)0017),*

– *having regard to the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), and its entry into force in the EU on 21 January 2011 in accordance with Council Decision 2010/48/EC of 26*

November 2009,

– having regard to the European Court of Auditors Special report 17/2025 of 16 September 2025: EU Aid for Trade to Least Developed Countries – Needs are Being Tackled, but EU Funding is not on Track to Meet 2030 Target,

– having regard to the United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (UNSDCF) as the primary planning and implementation instrument at country level for UN development activities,

– having regard to the EU Action Plan on Human Rights and Democracy 2020–2024,

– having regard to the European Pillar of Social Rights and its relevance for inclusive, sustainable and equitable economic development,

– having regard to the Paris Agreement adopted on 12 December 2015 under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, particularly its provisions related to adaptation, resilience and support for the most vulnerable countries,

– having regard to the Addis Ababa Action Agenda on Financing for Development and the Sevilla Commitment Outcome Document adopted at the Fourth International Conference on Financing for Development 30 June - 3 July 2025,

– having regard to the draft Memorandum of Understanding on a Clean Trade and Investment Partnership (CTIP) between the European Union and the Republic of South Africa, signed on the 20th of November 2025,

– having regard to the Memorandum of Understanding to be signed on behalf of the European Union with the Republic of South Africa establishing a Strategic Partnership on sustainable minerals and

metals value chains signed on the 20th of November 2025,

– having regard to the Opinion 03/2024 accompanying the Commission evaluation of the External Action Guarantee [COM(2024) 208] by the European Court of Auditors,

– having regard to the European Parliament resolution of 21 January 2021 on connectivity and EU-Asia relations (2020/2115(INI)),

– having regard to the joint communication from the Commission and the High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy of 16 September 2021 on The EU strategy for cooperation in the Indo-Pacific JOIN(2021) 24 final,

– having regard to the Council Conclusions of 20 October 2025 on the Implementation of the EU Strategy for Cooperation in the Indo-Pacific,

Or. en

Amendment 2

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60 and 61
(COMP 41 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the Global Gateway strategy was established on 1 December 2021, with the aim of becoming the Union's flagship framework for sustainable connectivity and global investment; whereas it is a strategy and policy initiative, and in itself not an instrument or regulation; whereas the Global Gateway draws on the financial architecture for the EU's external action, *especially* the NDICI-Global Europe instrument, for its

Amendment

A. whereas the Global Gateway strategy was established on 1 December 2021 with the aim of becoming the Union's flagship framework for sustainable connectivity and global investment, *and as an alternative to China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), and to align with the international community's broader objective of leveraging private sector involvement to move development finance from 'billions to trillions' to address the*

legal framework and funding;

costliest aspects of the SDGs; whereas it is a strategy and policy initiative, and in itself not an instrument or regulation; whereas the Global Gateway draws on the financial architecture for the EU's external action, ***particular the EU's development cooperation policy and budget and specifically*** the NDICI-Global Europe instrument, for its legal framework and funding;

Or. en

Amendment 3

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 62, 64, 65, 67, 68, INTA 1, INTA 2, 66, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74

(COMP 42 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas in an environment of increased geopolitical rivalry and uncertainty, the Global Gateway strategy ***aims to*** increase the EU's geopolitical weight in the global arena;

Amendment

B. whereas in an environment of increased geopolitical rivalry and uncertainty, the Global Gateway strategy ***if properly applied and communicated will*** increase the EU's geopolitical weight ***and visibility*** in the global arena ***by boosting smart, clean and secure links in digital, energy and transport and strengthening health, education and research systems across the world, within the framework of the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the relevant Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), as well as restoring Europe's industrial competitiveness, reducing its dependencies in critical sectors and counterbalancing China's growing influence***;

Ba. whereas the EU's Outermost Regions and Overseas Countries and Territories (OCT) are located near strategic areas for the development of Global Gateway projects; whereas the development of said

areas positively impacts the safety and prosperity of Outermost Regions and OCTs;

Bb. whereas EU funding under Global Gateway must not benefit entities that undermine European security and economic interests, including companies under EU sanctions, state-owned or state-controlled enterprises from systemic rivals, or operators involved in sanction circumvention, dual-use transfers to Russia or other hostile activities; whereas participation in Global Gateway projects should be conditional on full compliance with EU restrictive measures and on credible efforts to prevent circumvention;

Or. en

Amendment 4

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 78, 79, 81, 83, 86, 88, 95, 75, 76, 77, 80, 82, 84, 85, 87 and 89

(COMP 43 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas geopolitical influence and the ability to conclude long-term partnerships for mutual benefit are largely dependent on economic strength **and** access to markets; whereas Global Gateway **partnerships** and investments should rely on reciprocity and mutual benefit and interest;

Amendment

C. whereas geopolitical influence and the ability to conclude long-term partnerships for mutual benefit are largely dependent on economic strength, access to markets **and institutional capacity, mutually agreed roadmaps**; whereas Global Gateway **partnership** and investments should rely on reciprocity and **demonstrable, concrete** mutual benefit and interest; **whereas evolving from a donor-recipient to a mutually beneficial investment partnerships, will require new solutions adapted to specific contexts;**

Ca. whereas interdependence in digital, energy, and supply chains creates both opportunities and vulnerabilities for the EU; whereas effective private sector

involvement and project implementation, adequate financing, and strong coordination with partners, including civil society contributes to the EU's credibility as a global actor;

Cb. whereas it is in the interest of the EU to promote social, economic, human and environmental development, including through partnerships with civil society, enhanced diplomacy and business cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 5

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 100, 101, 104, 107, 90, 93, 99, 102, 103, 105, 106, and 108
(COMP 44 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the primary objective of EU development cooperation is the reduction and long-term eradication of poverty, **requiring** cooperation on education and health;

Amendment

D. whereas the primary objective of EU development cooperation, **as per Article 208 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union**, is the reduction and long-term eradication of poverty, **which requires investment in and cooperation on education, job creation and health, and social protection, among others to ensure the achievement of the Agenda 2030 and the Sustainable Development Goals, whilst protecting and advancing the EU's geopolitical interests;**

Da. whereas the NDICI-Global Europe has clearly defined quantitative targets for human development, gender equality and climate action; whereas Global Gateway commits to upholding high standards of human, social, and workers' rights, transparency, creating equal partnerships instead of dependencies, and offering a democratic investment agenda;

Db. whereas sustainable, value-driven investments in partner countries contribute to global stability and security; whereas 23% of the world's population lives in fragile contexts; whereas it remains in the EU's strategic interest to engage in Fragile and Conflict Affected States (FCAS), with specific instruments including through Global Gateway; whereas public-private partnerships and infrastructure projects in FCAS can be successful and have an important positive impact if they are rightly designed;

Dc. whereas the SDG investment gap in developing countries now stands at \$4 trillion per year; whereas the commitment of the private sector to the SDGs offers the possibility of increasing the scale of development actions and their sustainability by creating decent jobs, stimulating economic growth and eliminating poverty;

De. whereas the EU and MS have committed to spend 0.7% of their gross national income (GNI) on official development assistance (ODA) which is intended to promote the economic development and welfare of developing countries; whereas geopolitical considerations must not override development effectiveness principles or distort partner-country priorities;

Df. whereas lasting results cannot be achieved without a commitment by partner countries to strengthen democratic development in the long term;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 110, 114, 109, 111, 112, 113, 115, 116 and 117
(COMP 45 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the Global Gateway's success relies on the Team Europe approach;

Amendment

E. whereas the Global Gateway's success relies on the Team Europe approach, ***which in the past three years has strived to demonstrate ownership, commitment, and a more unified EU voice in the world; whereas the Team Europe concept could be further improved concerning joint programming, project design, governance, decision-making and stakeholder inclusion;***

Ea. whereas some Global Gateway flagship projects have already been identified as priorities under the G7 PGII (Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment);

Eb. whereas some EU Member States established national partnership plans; whereas Member States are encouraged to share best practices;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Chloé Ridet, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 120, 122, 124, 126, 118, 119, 121, 123, 125, 127 and 128

(COMP 46 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas Parliament has ***repeatedly*** welcomed the Global Gateway, while pointing out deficiencies in its governance;

Amendment

F. whereas Parliament has welcomed the Global Gateway ***as a concerted EU response to global challenges***, while pointing out deficiencies in its governance, ***including alignment with partner country priorities, impact, stakeholder engagement and transparency; as well as lack of regular reporting on the impact of flagship initiatives; whereas decision-making is merely governed by the***

Commission's internal procedures as well as subject to Council decision-making, and not laid down in EU law;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 131, 136, 140, 145, 132, 134, 129, 130, 133, 135, 137, 139, 141, 142, 144, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 138 and 143

(COMP 47 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas Parliament should ***have a stronger*** role in Global Gateway policy and governance;

Amendment

G. whereas Parliament should ***fully exert its*** role in ***democratic scrutiny of*** Global Gateway policy and governance ***as well as accountability in project evaluation***;

Ga. whereas within the current Global Gateway strategy, in recipient countries, elected bodies, including parliaments, as well as civil society and independent experts have no active role in decision-making or monitoring and ensuring accountability;

Gb. whereas there is little public access to information on projects;

Gc. whereas targets, better monitoring and evaluation tools could help assessing the projects' contributions to developmental objectives and prevent detrimental impacts in recipient countries;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 162, 164, 168, 169, 171, 172, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 166, 167, 168, 169, 171 and 172

(COMP 1 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the Global Gateway initiative is a strategic geopolitical concept **integrating** foreign, economic and development policies, including the EU's engagement in climate protection and adaptation, the digital transformation **and** health and education, aiming at establishing long-term, strategic, mutually beneficial partnerships with a particular view to building and securing supply chains and resilience in both the EU and partner countries, notably through stronger private sector involvement;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the Global Gateway initiative is a strategic geopolitical concept **which integrates** foreign, economic and development **cooperation** policies, including the EU's engagement in climate protection and adaptation, the digital transformation, health, **research** and education, **and transport sectors** aiming at establishing long-term, strategic, mutually beneficial partnerships with a particular view to building and securing **sustainable** supply chains and resilience in both the EU and partner countries, notably through stronger private sector involvement; **underlines that the Global Gateway initiative should become a long-term strategy based on a whole-of-government model; welcomes in this regard the shift away from the donor-recipient model towards partnerships on equal footing; stresses that the focus should be on smart investments in quality infrastructure, respecting high social and environmental standards and aligned with the EU's interests and values, including the rule of law, human rights and relevant international norms and standards; stresses that coordination with international institutions, private sector involvement and tailored strategic communication, including in recipient countries, are essential for the instrument to reach the desired scale;**

Or. en

Amendment 10

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 173, 178, 179, 181, 184, 174, 175, 176, 177, 180, 182, 183, 185, 186, 187, 188, INTA 3, INTA 4 and 191

(COMP 2 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that in times of new geostrategic challenges such as the dismantling of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and deepening cuts in official development assistance (ODA), EU foreign and security policy and development actors must coordinate their actions in order to increase the EU's presence and visibility worldwide by means of mutually beneficial investments **that expand the Union's influence and weight, and** promote inclusive growth in partner countries and progress towards achieving the SDGs;

Amendment

2. Underlines that in times of new geostrategic challenges such as **the ongoing Russian war of aggression against Ukraine as well as the growing influence and assertiveness of China and Russia**, the dismantling of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and deepening cuts in official development assistance (ODA), **including in several EU Member States, have created significant financing gaps in the provision of essential public services; stresses that** EU foreign and security policy and development actors must **make better use of scarce resources and better** coordinate their actions in order to increase **leverage** the EU's presence, **influence** and visibility worldwide by means of mutually beneficial investments, promote **sustainable and** inclusive growth in partner countries, **enhanced equality and livelihoods of local communities** and progress towards achieving the SDGs;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 193, 195, 197, 198, 201, 206, 520, INTA 14, 192, 194, 196, 199, 200, 205, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 216, 217, 218 and 219
(COMP 3 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Believes that the** Global Gateway has the potential to accompany, facilitate and strengthen sustainable European investments globally, which provide partner countries with the opportunity to develop their industries and create added

Amendment

3. **Understands that** Global Gateway has the potential to accompany, facilitate and strengthen sustainable European investments globally, which provide partner countries with the opportunity to develop their industries and create added

value and jobs, and give those countries access to leading markets in the EU;

value and *decent local (SD 197)* jobs, and give those countries access to leading markets in the EU; ***underlines that the long-term partnerships offered by the EU under Global Gateway can create a genuine alternative offer to partner countries with regard to their development and economic objectives while also contributing to the EU's overarching targets of competitiveness, resilience, diversification and strategic autonomy as well as achieving jointly agreed international goals;***

4. Takes note of the political will of the President of the Commission to scale up the Global Gateway during the 2024-2029 legislative term; notes that over 250 flagship projects have been identified since 2022^{1a}; further notes that EUR 306 billion had been mobilised by the end of 2024 according to the Commission; deplores the lack of clarity and transparency regarding the funding track record and how the figure of EUR 306 billion was reached;

^{1a} Council of the EU, Press release, 10 December 2025

Or. en

Amendment 12

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 220, 225, 221, 222, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 237 and 238
(COMP 5 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that project selection has followed an overly centralised, top-down, approach; notes that the concept and criteria for Global Gateway projects have

Amendment

5. Notes that project selection has followed an overly centralised, top-down, approach ***without sufficient involvement of stakeholders***; notes that the concept and

remained unclear; *favours* a model in which demand in partner countries is matched with offers from European businesses;

criteria for Global Gateway projects have remained unclear *for both Member States and partner countries; underlines the importance of favouring* a model in which demand in partner countries is matched with offers from European businesses, *in line with EU foreign, economic and development objectives, and international commitments, notably 2030 Agenda for Sustainable development and the Paris Agreement;*

Or. en

Amendment 13

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 241, 242, 243, 330, 239, 170, 189, 244, 247, 330 and 671

(COMP 6 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. *Notes that* the Commission proposal for the new Global Europe instrument *fails* to include any substantive codifying provisions on the Global Gateway; states Parliament's willingness as co-legislator to come up with clarifying proposals;

Amendment

6. *Takes note that the Global Gateway Strategy is built on the NDICI-Global Europe regulation; further takes note of* the Commission proposal for the new Global Europe instrument *and notes that it did not seize the opportunity* to include any substantive codifying provisions on the Global Gateway; states Parliament's willingness as co-legislator to come up with clarifying proposals;

6a. Stresses that Global Gateway must remain anchored in the primary objective of EU development cooperation, namely the reduction and long-term eradication of poverty;

6b. Underlines that ensuring a balance between ODA and non-ODA funding is essential, while calling on the Commission to enhance coordination with non-development instruments and policies; asks the Commission to indicate if Global Gateway projects comply with

ODA-criteria;

6c. Calls on the Commission to establish clear and transparent methodologies for assessing, the DAC eligibility and development impact of Global Gateway interventions funded from EU ODA and involving EU private sector actors; stresses that such methodologies should be complemented by assessments of the strategic relevance, taking into account trusted connectivity and like-mindedness, resilience and long-term sustainability of projects, and calls for regular reporting to the European Parliament in a manner that reflects the dual development and geopolitical objectives of the Global Gateway;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 249, 265, 519, 512, 251, 253, 254, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, INTA 6, 270, 273, 277, 278 and 279
(COMP 7 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. ***Calls for effective measurement of the impact of Global Gateway projects locally, including their social outcomes; recommends monitoring the degree and success of the involvement of European companies;***

Amendment

7. ***Highlights the importance of more systematic monitoring and evaluation of the impacts of Global Gateway projects, to enhance accountability, transparency and effectiveness of the initiative;***

7a. Highlights that investments in female empowerment and social capital are not only in line with EU values but also economically profitable; stresses the importance of applying a gender-responsive approach in the Global Gateway programming, to ensure investments contribute to gender equality;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 286, 291, 282, 283, 284, 285, 287, 289, 294, 295 and 296

(COMP 9 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that the preference laid down in Article 12(2) of the NDICI-Global Europe Regulation for joint programming and implementation between the Commission and the Member States has not been **successful**, and has been replaced by looser Team Europe cooperation; asks that this joint programming imperative be integrated in the future Global Europe instrument and adequately implemented, as consistently requested by stakeholders;

Amendment

9. Regrets that the preference laid down in Article 12(2) of the NDICI-Global Europe Regulation for joint programming and implementation between the Commission and the Member States has not been **successfully applied**, and has been replaced by looser Team Europe cooperation; asks that this joint programming imperative be integrated in the future Global Europe instrument and adequately implemented, as consistently requested by **Global Gateway** stakeholders;

9a. Calls on the Member States to carry out a comprehensive assessment of the Team Europe framework, including Team Europe Initiatives, providing information on their current status of progress, the actors involved, the financing and the main development outcomes;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 204, 299, 306, 308, 311, 312, 342, 425, 297, 298, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305 and INTA 7

(COMP 10 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls for the EU to strengthen its

Amendment

10. Calls for the EU to strengthen its

strategic position, partnerships and global credibility by offering, through the Global Gateway, a sustainable, transparent and democratic alternative to competing infrastructure initiatives;

strategic position, partnerships and global credibility by offering, through the Global Gateway, a sustainable, transparent and democratic alternative to competing *models and* infrastructure initiatives; *aligned with fundamental EU values; further calls on the Commission to promote the Global Gateway effectively and rapidly as a sustainable alternative to the aggressive initiatives by rivals such as China and Russia, whose support has often proven to have high negative effects in the long-run, including huge debt dependency; underlines the importance of the Global Gateway's unique 360-degree approach, complementing investments with support for strengthening the rule of law in partner countries, including judicial independence and anti-corruption measures, as well as for the accountable and democratic institutions, thus ensuring the genuine impact of EU financed projects and alignment with fundamental EU values and the SDGs and the building of an enabling regulatory environment in line with international standards and Environmental, Social, and Governance framework;*

10b. Emphasises that the effectiveness of the Global Gateway depends on its responsiveness to the real priorities of partner countries; calls on the Commission, the EEAS and the Member States to ensure that Global Gateway initiatives are as inclusive and accountable as possible, designed jointly with local stakeholders, aligned with national and regional development strategies of partner countries in line with the principle of country ownership; highlights the need to link policy dialogue, investment, development cooperation and diplomatic action in order to ensure strategic coherence and lasting impact;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Chloé Ridet, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 315, 317, 324, 334, 335, 310, 433, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323 and 325
(COMP 11 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Invites the Commission to design Global Gateway projects to ensure strong complementarity with initiatives promoting a rules-based international order and multilateralism in the face of bloc formation and increased tensions;

Amendment

11. Invites the Commission to design Global Gateway projects to ensure strong complementarity **and alignment** with initiatives promoting a rules-based international order and multilateralism in the face of bloc formation and increased tensions;

11a. Calls for deeper coordination with like-minded partners, willing to uphold the aforementioned principles, seeking to diversify their relations and address development needs, going beyond transactional agreements, while being compatible with the strategic interests of the Union including in the G7, in the G20 and the UN, with a view to maximising the collective impact and ensuring coherent standards, financing and project implementation, in a competitive geopolitical environment;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Chloé Ridet, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 331, 338, 342, 343, 344, 316, 333, 99, 165, 240, 356, 439, 554, 328, 332, 334, 337, 339, 340, 341 and 99
(COMP 12 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls for more effective alignment between the Global Gateway and EU

Amendment

12. ***Reminds that the fundamental principle of Policy Coherence for***

common foreign and security policy and the SDGs; calls for the EU to make greater use of its normative strength, embedding democracy, the rule of law, human rights and sustainable development as cornerstones of all Global Gateway projects;

Development (PCD), enshrined in Article 208(1) TFEU, obliges the EU and its Member States to take into account the objectives of development cooperation in all policies that are likely to affect developing countries;

12. Calls for more effective alignment between the Global Gateway and EU common foreign and security policy, and the SDGs; calls for the EU to make greater use of its normative strength, embedding democracy, the rule of law, human rights and sustainable development as cornerstones of all Global Gateway projects, while ensuring additionality;

12a. Stresses that strengthening stability and security in partner countries contributes to fostering economic opportunities, resilience, and long-term sustainable development, thereby tackling the root causes of irregular migration;

underlines that the integration of the Policy Coherence for Sustainable Development (PCSD) agenda into the EU's Global Gateway is essential for joined-up sustainable and geo-economic-development approach;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 350, 352, 353, 357, 358, INTA 15, 335, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 354 and 355
(COMP 13 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Is concerned by reports that a number of Global Gateway projects are being implemented by Chinese companies in direct violation of the initiative's

objective of presenting an alternative to the Belt and Road Initiative, creating genuine national value in partner countries; calls, therefore, for an immediate investigation of involvement of all Chinese companies in Global Gateway;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 313, 314, 327, 336, 361, 380, 353, 360, 362, 364, 367, 368, 376, 379, 384, 408, 350, 377, 385, 388, 390, 507, 307, 359, 363, 365, 366, 369, 370, 371, 372 (part 1), 373, 374, 378, 381, 382, 383, 386, 387 and 389
(COMP 14 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for investments in energy **security**, critical raw materials and the green transition to be prioritised; stresses the need for Global Gateway investments to help close infrastructure and inequality gaps, including those related to digital and energy poverty, particularly in rural and peripheral areas;

Amendment

14. Calls for investments in energy, critical raw materials and the green transition to be prioritised, **to reduce the EU's dependence on foreign rivals, including in renewable energy networks, clean hydrogen corridors, and sustainable transport, thereby strengthening both global sustainable development and the Union's energy autonomy; further calls for enhanced digital sovereignty and trusted connectivity, while promoting democratic digital standards at the global level;**

14a. Stresses the need for Global Gateway investments **to be aligned with existing EU due diligence processes as well as to be open and beneficial to local and regional market actors and civil society, and** to help close infrastructure and inequality gaps, including those related to digital and energy poverty, particularly in rural and peripheral areas, **ensuring that benefits associated with renewable energy and mineral production, such as revenue and jobs, are felt by local communities and**

producer countries; calls for these gaps to be identified by partner countries in consultation with local enterprises, communities and civil society actors; stresses that these projects must come with local value creation and respect principles of universal accessibility and design for all to ensure that infrastructure does not create new barriers and encourages the full inclusion of persons with disabilities in the most vulnerable settings;

14b. Emphasises that Global Gateway energy projects should be designed with sufficient flexibility to respond to changing technological and market needs in partner countries; calls on the Commission to include mechanisms for periodic review and, where appropriate, reallocation or adjustment of investments to ensure that projects remain relevant, cost-effective, and aligned with both partner countries' evolving energy needs;

14c. Stresses that green energy investments under Global Gateway must be conflict-sensitive and grounded in principles of just and transparent resource governance, particularly where the extraction of critical raw materials is involved; calls to monitor towards potential detrimental environmental and human rights impacts, and the risk of exacerbating inequality and conflict, including in fragile contexts; stresses, in particular, that this concerns large infrastructure projects such as hybrid powerplants, hydrogen projects, lithium mining, data centres, port expansions, airports and transport corridors;

14d. Stresses that growing water insecurity is starting to pose a major threat to economic growth perspectives in partner countries, suggests that Global Gateway includes water projects and policies; recognises that sustainable economic development also relies on

healthy water bodies, and calls for the systematic integration of a ‘blue dimension’ in Global Gateway investments, which underpin water security, climate resilience and long-term socio-economic development;

3b. Emphasises the potential that Global Gateway offers for climate mitigation efforts, with investments that can contribute to the generation of high-quality carbon credits in partner countries, delivering mutually beneficial outcomes;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 394, 406, 397, 398, 403, 407, 421, 310, 686, 433, 392, 393, 395, 396, 399, 400, 401, 402, 404, INTA 8, 292, 329 and 375
(COMP 15 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Calls for clarification as to what extent the Global Gateway continues to be fully part of the Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment (PGII) as a shared G7 commitment to support public and private investments in sustainable, inclusive, resilient and quality infrastructure;

15b. Calls for closer cooperation with like-minded partners, with a view to building a resilient web of trusted connectivity, especially but not exclusively partners such as the United Kingdom, Canada, Japan, Australia, New Zealand and the Republic of Korea, India and Taiwan;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 405, 409, 411, 417, 418, 421, 424, 425, 410, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 419, 420, 422, 426

(COMP 16 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the need for continued cooperation with the UN; calls on the Commission and all Global Gateway partners to build on the UN's local knowledge and its role in creating an enabling environment **and** promoting inclusive development;

Amendment

16. Highlights the need for continued cooperation **and coordination** with the UN **and its agencies, which has the potential to improve the global presence and perception of the EU in third countries**; calls on the Commission, **together with Member States through Team Europe** and all **other relevant/like-minded** Global Gateway partners to **thus** build on the UN's local knowledge, **technical expertise** and its role in creating an enabling environment, **ensuring local ownership**, promoting inclusive **and sustainable** development **and supporting partner countries in building resilient systems for health, education, and social protection**;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 438, 440, 429, 427, 428, 431, 432, 433, 435, 436, 441 and 442

(COMP 17 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that the EU and its Member States remain the largest providers of ODA globally; underlines that the EU has a major asset in its ability to provide access to an attractive internal market, while being able to understand and respect local realities as well as to respond to

Amendment

17. Stresses that the EU and its Member States remain the largest providers of ODA globally; underlines that the EU has **also** a major **economic** asset in its ability to provide access to an attractive internal market, while being able to understand and respect local realities as

needs for human development and local job creation;

well as to respond to needs for human development, *environmental protection*, and local, *decent* job creation;

17a. Underlines the potential of Global Gateway as an impactful tool for mobilising the funds needed for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals, and for responding to many partner countries' interest in private investment and the global integration of local value chains;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 245, 430, 444, 445, 446, 448, 451, 453, 454, 455, 423, 443, 447, 449, 450, 452, 456, 457, 458 and 459
(COMP 18 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Underlines that EU development cooperation must continue to provide support to partner countries in contexts where the Global Gateway is not an adequate answer to their needs; stresses that fragile contexts require specific attention and *types* of investment, such as grants; ***underlines that ODA remains*** an essential tool for supporting sectors such as healthcare, education, agriculture and social protection, which are prerequisites for stable, prosperous societies;

Amendment

18. Underlines that EU development cooperation must continue to provide support to partner countries in contexts where the Global Gateway is not an adequate answer to their needs; stresses that fragile ***and conflict-affected*** contexts require specific attention and ***tailored forms*** of investment, such as grants ***and concerted action among humanitarian, development and peace actors, including in least developed countries (LDCs), complementing or going beyond Global Gateway, with a particular focus on engaging with and empowering local actors; calls for an ambitious strategy with a people-centered approach to fragile contexts in order to implement peacebuilding through the humanitarian-development-peace nexus; stresses in this context that traditional ODA should not be diverted from where it is most needed and that it must remain*** an essential tool

for supporting sectors such as healthcare, education, *local small-scale* agriculture, *food security, nutrition, water, adequate housing* and social protection, which are prerequisites for stable, *inclusive and* prosperous societies, *whilst the EU should improve monitoring to ensure that ODA would not be diverted to criminal or corrupt purposes; underlines that public-private partnerships can complement but must not replace ODA for human development;*

18a. Calls for continued investments through Global Gateway projects for strengthening global preparedness against health threats by supporting the development of prevention, preparedness and response capacities and sustainable local manufacturing and capacity-building initiatives in partner countries;

18b. Recognises that a significant number of Global Gateway partner countries heavily rely on agriculture as a primary source of income, employment and food security; calls on the Commission to ensure that future strategic reviews and implementation assessments of the Global Gateway take into account the specific needs and development potential of the agricultural sector in partner countries; recognises that robust public-sector financing, supported by sustained donor commitments, is essential to achieving holistic and sustainable human development outcomes; affirms that private investment and innovative finance can play important complementary roles alongside, but not in place of, strong public funding;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 206, 437, 463, 460, 461, 464, 466, 467, 465, 469, 471, 472, 492, 473, 475, 498, 663, 429, 330, 462, 468, 470, 202, 203 and 207

(COMP 19 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. ***Underlines*** the importance of the Global Gateway's 360-degree approach, ***complementing*** investments ***with support for the building of a regulatory environment in line with international standards, including on good governance, as well as support for the development of technology, skills and education;***

Amendment

19. ***Stresses that the 360 degree approach also implies support for the development of education and training, equality and inclusion, especially regarding youth and gender equality; notes that this requires, comprehensive policy interventions and capacity building; emphasises that through this approach, the EU can create a level playing field for European businesses - especially vis-a-vis other foreign investors while respecting local content - support the development needs of partner countries and increase the visibility of Team Europe;***

19a. Emphasises the need for Global Gateway projects to mainstream children's rights as part of the Union's fundamental principles, ensuring protection against exploitation and child labour, as well as programmes that improve access to education, health and social protection; calls for consolidated efforts to improve the investment climate at local and national levels of partner countries, including capacity-building to accompany reforms in areas such as investment facilitation, public procurement, competition policy and the overall business environment, and support for strong accounting systems; stresses that building stable economic infrastructure, a reliable functioning state, and raising local productive capacity are important factors for the long-term eradication of poverty; recalls that local companies often face monetary and liquidity challenges due to exchange-rate instability and limited convertibility of their currencies, which can restrict their participation in international

projects and undermine their financial stability;

19d. Emphasises the importance of academic and scientific cooperation as a pillar of the Global Gateway's digital and innovation agenda; calls on the Commission to leverage Horizon Europe, Erasmus+ and global exchange programmes in synergy with Global Gateway projects to allow talented students to study in Europe and increase the local expertise in partner countries, boost collaborative research, knowledge exchange and digital skills development; underlines that such an approach would strengthen education and research capacities globally and ensure that connectivity investments are accompanied by human capital development and technology transfer;

19e. Calls for the EU's political and economic weight to be used to advance a global agenda for fairness and long-term sustainability; stresses that Global Gateway investments must help reduce inequalities, support human development and promote inclusive and equitable systems that involve and reach the poorest 40% of the population as well as those most at risk of exclusion, including persons with disabilities, women, girls and displaced populations; highlights that development cooperation should strengthen the financial systems of partner countries; underlines that such efforts should be grounded in the Global Gateway's 360-degree approach and be monitored;

19f. Believes that investments should promote decent, accessible and sustainable jobs, with a particular focus on the labour market inclusion of vulnerable groups ; stresses, moreover, that investments should be supported by vocational training, skills, knowledge and technology transfer, with related local

educational institutions, training centres and through teacher training, foundational learning, accessible infrastructure and adapted learning materials, while ensuring that programmes are aligned with the socio-economic context of each partner country, and strengthen the productive fabric;

3a. Notes that many partners lack the financial resources for large-scale infrastructure projects; emphasises in that regard that Global Gateway places a strong focus on infrastructure development and capacity-building to serve as a catalyst for sustainable growth and regional integration as well as connectivity with the EU; notes that Global Gateway flagship projects for 2023, 2024, and 2025, have particularly focused on infrastructure, with less than 10% on in education and research, and health sector projects making up a little over 10 % of projects; highlights that higher levels of investment in health, education AND RESEARCH could yield higher economic and social returns to infrastructure;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 479, 496, 484, 476, 477, 484, 476, 483, 487, 478, 474, 480, 481, 482, 485, 486, 488, 489, 490, 491, 493, 494, 495, and 497
(COMP 20 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Points out that many developing countries, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, face high levels of public debt which hamper their capability to invest and to deliver social and basic services; underlines that Global Gateway projects

Amendment

20. Points out that many developing countries, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, face high levels of public debt which hamper their capability to invest and to deliver social and basic services; *notes that China is the largest bilateral creditor to*

should *not worsen this ‘debt-trap’*; *suggests* that the Global Gateway should offer debt-for-nature or debt-for-climate swaps;

many developing countries and that its lending practices have contributed to rising debt levels; notes that Global Gateway projects are present in several heavily indebted poor countries (HIPC); underlines that Global Gateway projects should avoid worsening or creating new ‘debt-traps’; calls for the EU and its Member States to reduce and alleviate the debt burden on developing countries, particularly those in the Global South, by supporting global debt relief and debt restructuring taking into account the UN Conference on Trade and Development principles on promoting responsible sovereign lending and borrowing as well as the OECD debt sustainability principles; notes that the current credit rating system benefits countries in the Global North disproportionately over those in the Global South, calls for the Commission to take these higher perceived risks and borrowing costs into account; insists that Global Gateway should offer just transition and investment swaps, debt-for-nature or debt-for-climate swaps; notes that Global Gateway green transition projects should be accounted for their contribution to high-quality international credits under Article 6(4) of the Paris Agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 500, 504, 605, 667, INTA 19, 499, 501, 502, 503, 505, 506 and 508

(COMP 21 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes initiatives that aim to support developing countries in overcoming obstacles to accessing

Amendment

21. *Highlights that access to financing remains a key challenge for many developing countries;* welcomes initiatives

financing; acknowledges the importance of grants in unlocking other forms of finance;

that aim to support developing countries in overcoming obstacles to accessing financing; acknowledges the importance of grants in unlocking other forms of finance;

21a. Urges the Commission to establish the necessary mechanisms to ensure that all partners participating in Global Gateway projects fully comply with EU legislation and relevant international standards in the fields of anti-bribery, anti-corruption, and financial integrity; including integrity checks, risk-assessment and management tools and monitoring systems to prevent, detect and address corruption, illicit financial flows and other unethical conduct;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 510, 509, 520, 521, 519, 515, 683, 571, 587, INTA 14, 511, 512, 513, 514, 516, 517, 518 and 523
(COMP 22 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Stresses the need for early and adequate consultation with partner countries in the framework of Global Gateway governance, before setting up projects; highlights the importance of SMEs in local job creation and value addition;

Amendment

22. Stresses the need for early, ***inclusive*** and adequate consultation with partner countries in the framework of Global Gateway governance, before setting up projects ***to ensure the respect for development effectiveness principles, including local ownership;***

22a. Calls on the Commission to take the necessary measures to facilitate participation of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), including cooperatives and social economy enterprises in Global Gateway including by establishing streamlined processes and dedicated financial windows targeted outreach, simplified access to tenders and support mechanisms to enhance their

international competitiveness; highlights the importance of SMEs in local job creation, *for local ownership* and value addition, *and requests that their singularities, for example regarding the project size, financial volumes and capacities for fulfilling reporting obligations, are duly taken into account*; stresses that, alongside partner countries' SMEs, European SMEs are essential implementation partners for Global Gateway; *underlines that Global Gateway should generate reciprocal benefits by creating sustainable value chains, innovation and quality jobs in the Union*;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 528, 525, 526, 530, 532, 195, 524, 527, 529, 534 and 535

(COMP 23 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Asks the Commission to involve local and regional authorities more *deeply* and at an earlier stage in project design, decision-making and funding;

Amendment

23. Asks the Commission to involve local and regional authorities more *effectively* and at an earlier stage in project design, decision-making and funding; *highlights their dual role as implementing partners and beneficiaries; recalls that many decisions regarding the implementation of projects are taken at subnational level where citizens' interests and needs should be taken closely into account*;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 539, 537, 538, 540, 541, 542, INTA 9 and

INTA 10
(COMP 24 in the voting list)
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Governance **and policy coherence**
24. Recommends revising the Global Gateway governance structure;

Amendment

Governance
24. Recommends revising the Global Gateway governance structure **and demands a more meaningful involvement of the European Parliament on equal footing with Member States**;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 544, 546, 543, 545 and 547
(COMP 25 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Regrets that the Global Gateway Board has only met twice so far, in December 2022 and October 2025;

Amendment

25. Regrets that the Global Gateway Board, **despite plans for annual meetings**, has only met twice so far, in December 2022 and October 2025, **with, but limited scope for in-depth analysis and discussion or steering of future actions and projects**; **calls on the Commission to ensure high-level participation**;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 215, 548, 555, 549, 556, 434, 551, 552, 553 and 281

(COMP 26 in the voting list)
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Notes that the Board should function in a complementary manner to the future Global Europe Investment Board; asks that the method for selecting flagship projects be based on objective and qualitative criteria while avoiding the detrimental proliferation of projects; insists on promoting 'European content' in flagship projects and on clearly indicating their benefits in partner countries;

Amendment

26. Notes that the Board should function in a complementary manner to the future Global Europe Investment Board, ***and asks the Commission to clarify and delimit their respective mandates;***

26a. Asks that the method for selecting flagship projects be based on objective and qualitative criteria while avoiding the detrimental proliferation of projects, maximising the impact and ensuring measurable success; calls for the Commission to clarify the added value of Global Gateway flagship projects compared to non-flagship projects;

26b. Recommends that flagship projects be designed as eco-systems in which businesses in partner countries and in the EU can effectively operate and flourish; insists on promoting 'European content' in flagship projects and on early involvement of partner countries and on clearly indicating their benefits in partner countries; encourages all stakeholders to embrace long-term cooperation since investments especially in infrastructure, in energy and in mining rely heavily on long-term engagement and planning security;

26c. Calls on the Global Gateway Board to consider stakeholder reports and systematically draw on statistical evidence, to be shared in a timely and user-friendly manner with Member States and Parliament, so as to guide political steering, improve coordination and adjust future programming where necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 558, 560, 562, 557 and 563
(COMP 27 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Proposes that the Global Gateway Board become the central operational body for the implementation of **the** Global Gateway, the selection of flagship projects and the provision of adequate framework conditions and financing for them;

Amendment

27. Proposes that the Global Gateway Board become the central operational body for the implementation of Global Gateway, ***especially in relation to defining the criteria for flagship projects***, the selection of flagship projects and the provision of adequate framework conditions and financing for them; ***asks for consistency and alignment between projects and partnerships deemed “strategic” in other contexts and flagship projects under Global Gateway; underlines that the composition of the Board needs to be changed to participants competent at operational level, the frequency of meetings has to be increased and agendas oriented towards decision-making; suggests that the advisory bodies undergo the same “operationalisation” process;***

Or. en

Amendment 34
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 565, 566, 567, 580, 578, 615, 573, 574, 575, 568, 569, 570, 572, 576, 577 and 581
(COMP 28 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Holds the opinion that the approach to the Global Gateway should be changed from a top-down to a demand-driven approach; recalls that stronger European private sector involvement is at the core of

Amendment

28. Holds the opinion that the approach to the Global Gateway should be changed from a top-down to a demand-driven approach; recalls that stronger European private sector involvement is at the core of

the Global Gateway; suggests *inviting* representatives of partner countries to Board meetings, on an ad hoc basis, including representatives of local authorities, civil society and business;

the Global Gateway; suggests *therefore to ensure a regular and transparent participation of European private sector representatives in helping to shape and further developing Global Gateway; recalls also that the Global Gateway Initiative should be partner-driven, respecting and matching national and local development plans; urges that* representatives of partner countries *be invited* to Board meetings, on an ad hoc basis, including representatives of local authorities, *EU delegations in partner countries*, civil society and business;

28a. Stresses the crucial role of EU Delegations in coordinating with local stakeholders, identifying potential investment opportunities, building in-depth knowledge about EU's competitors and existing gaps, and supporting local partners in aligning their project applications with local as well as the EU's priorities, and therefore calls for providing EU Delegations with sufficient human, financial and technical resources, including through targeted training programmes, for effectively fulfilling these tasks; strongly opposes any plans to cut the staff of EU delegations in partner countries;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 525, 584, 583, 594, 586, 526, 530, 532, 566, 522, 531, 564, 195, INTA 14, 582, 585 and 588
(COMP 29 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Calls on the Commission and all stakeholders involved to ensure the meaningful consultation and participation

Amendment

29. Calls on the Commission and all stakeholders involved to ensure the meaningful consultation and participation

of the Global Gateway Civil Society and Local Authorities Advisory Platform, and to create the conditions for *the platform* to play *its due* role beyond providing a rubber stamp;

of the Global Gateway Civil Society and Local Authorities Advisory Platform, *as well as local civil society organisations, marginalised groups and trade unions, women's organisations and organisations of persons with disabilities as well as local SMEs and indigenous peoples* and to create the conditions for *those actors* to play *their democratic and partnership* role beyond providing a rubber stamp, *including by foreseeing appropriate timeframes, frequency and follow-up; notes that the Platform should play a complementary role in the governance of Global Gateway and contribute meaningfully to the selection, design and implementation of projects, thus improving the legitimacy and local acceptance of GG projects;*

Or. en

Amendment 36

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 589, 591, 559, 590, 592 and 593
(*COMP 30 in the voting list*)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Stresses the need for more frequent and operational consultations with the Business Advisory Group (BAG) aimed at decision-making and concrete results; insists that potential conflicts of interest must be addressed, including through financial transparency requirements;

Amendment

30. Stresses the need for more frequent and operational consultations with the Business Advisory Group (BAG), *including with business representatives from the Global South*, aimed at decision-making and concrete results; insists that potential conflicts of interest must be addressed, including through financial transparency requirements; *suggests that BAG members declare their engagement in Global Gateway projects; insists on the importance of the inclusion of European and local SMEs into the Business Advisory Group;*

Or. en

Amendment 37

Chloé Ridet, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 246, 288, 290, 561, 602, 603, 604, 645, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600 and 601

(COMP 31 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Believes that stronger involvement of Parliament is essential to ensure the Global Gateway's democratic legitimacy and policy coherence, and to enhance its visibility; underlines, as the Global Gateway becomes increasingly owned by Member States, the value of streamlining the scrutiny and oversight cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in order to mirror the Team Europe approach; calls on Parliament to ensure adequate consultation, participation and representation, including in the future more operational Board;

Amendment

31. ***Recalls the Parliament's strong role in shaping the EU's economic, climate, digital, trade, and competitiveness policies; highlights the current governance of Global Gateway has not yet allowed Parliament exercise its scrutiny duties and parliamentary diplomacy possibilities;***

31. Believes that stronger involvement of Parliament, as the Union's only directly democratically elected institution, is essential to ensure the Global Gateway's democratic legitimacy, transparency and policy coherence, and to enhance its visibility; underlines Parliament's crucial scrutiny and strategic steering role, including enhanced parliamentary oversight of project identification, selection and implementation, as well as systematic evaluation of results and impact; emphasises that Parliament must play a central role in ensuring that Global Gateway investments make effective use of resources and are fully aligned with EU values, development objectives and sustainability standards;

31a. Calls on the Commission to provide regular progress reports to Parliament on

the implementation of Global Gateway projects, and a regular and structured strategic review of Global Gateway implementation by Parliament's competent committees in order to ensure that its objectives, priorities and geographical focus remain relevant, coherent and aligned with the Union's external action goals, development priorities and evolving geopolitical context;

*31b. Additionally underlines, as the Global Gateway becomes increasingly owned by Member States, the value of streamlining the scrutiny and oversight cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments in order to mirror the Team Europe approach; calls on Parliament to ensure adequate consultation, participation and representation, including in the future more operational Board; **calls for the involvement of partner-country parliaments, including through regular information-sharing and existing multilateral assemblies;***

Or. en

Amendment 38

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 606, 571, 632, 236, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614

(COMP 32 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Takes note of the newly created Global Gateway Investment Hub and welcomes the intended streamlining of project applications; notes with concern, however, the lack of representation from partner countries, particularly of civil society;

Amendment

32. Takes note of the newly created Global Gateway Investment Hub and welcomes the intended streamlining of project applications; ***stresses that the application process and coordination with Team Europe at national level must be transparent;*** notes with concern, however, the lack of representation from partner

countries, particularly of civil society; ***underlines that better information on Global Gateway opportunities is also needed for European companies, in particular SMEs, and urges that the Hub is implemented in a way that facilitates SME access; further calls for a clear public, up-to date and easily accessible indication of all planned, ongoing and completed tenders, projects, as well as for funding opportunities, paying special attention to SMEs and companies from smaller Member States that often lack permanent representations in partner countries and are less likely to have the public administration resources to create pathways for private sector investment; suggests to establish a matching platform for potential business-partners and off-takers;***

Or. en

Amendment 39

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 617, 622, 533, 327, 309, 293, 536, 616, 618, 620, 621

(COMP 33 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Points out that projects need to be economically viable and that the viability of Global Gateway projects depends on coherent legal frameworks and policies, such as trade agreements, tax policies, offtake agreements, emissions trading systems and carbon border adjustment mechanisms;

Amendment

33. Points out that projects need to be economically viable, ***or to support the provision of public goods***, and that the viability of Global Gateway projects depends on coherent legal frameworks and policies, such as trade agreements, tax policies, offtake agreements, emissions trading systems and carbon border adjustment mechanisms; ***calls on the Commission to better align the Global Gateway initiative with its internal policy agenda and initiatives, such as the Competitiveness Agenda, the European***

Green Deal or the Digital Decade;

33a. Recommends that the Commission, when selecting projects, prioritises those that have significant strategic importance;

33b. Highlights the importance of cross-border Global Gateway projects in strengthening trade and development with key partners and supporting deeper regional economic integration in partner countries; stresses that infrastructure development should, where feasible, contribute to intra-regional trade integration, notably among Southern Neighbourhood, African, Latin American and Southeast Asian economies; underscores the strategic importance of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) in addressing regional fragmentation and calls for the Global Gateway to contribute, in a targeted manner, to addressing selected obstacles and bottlenecks that have slowed its implementation; underlines the importance of supporting the gradual development of intra-regional value chains and regional connectivity, including through dialogue and technical assistance aimed at reducing regulatory challenges and non-tariff barriers;

33c. Highlights the strategic value of Clean Trade and Investment Partnerships (CTIPs), which follow a trade-driven and programme-driven logic, complementing the project-based approach of Global Gateway, while enabling better regional integration and contributing to the global goal of achieving the SDGs; underlines that combining market access with EU financing and funding tools, like Global Europe and Global Gateway is essential; encourages the Commission to explore how CTIPs, strengthened through Global Gateway, can help reduce non-tariff barriers for partner countries, including through targeted exemptions from selected EU legislation or through

recognition of equivalence; invites the Commission to foster broad stakeholder involvement from businesses, especially SMEs, trade unions, civil society and local communities; underscores its willingness to strengthen parliament to parliament collaboration, to properly scrutinize the ongoing implementation and to contribute to building a people to people partnership as the basis for further mutual success;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 248, 249, 250, 252, 255, 261, 263, 268, 269, 274, 272, 275, 280, 266, 326, 498, 665, 682, 700, 703, 705, 710, 714, 550, INTA 5, 262, 267, 271, 276, 391, 623

(COMP 33A in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 a. *Calls for a significant improvement in transparency, democratic accountability and information, robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, and regular reporting;*

33.Aa *Calls for effective measurement of the impact of Global Gateway projects locally, including their social, environmental and economic outcomes, such as contribution to poverty eradication, as well as their financial and economic sustainability, using indicators related to job creation, knowledge transfer, social inclusion and gender equality, in line with global social and human capital related objectives, such as the Sustainable Development Goals and the Gender Action Plan III;*

33 Ab. *Asks furthermore to provide evidence about the benefits of Global Gateway projects for local enterprises,*

especially small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), sustainable and inclusive businesses such as cooperatives and social enterprises, local communities and the workforce in partner countries; invites the Commission to monitor and evaluate the impact of the projects and publish such evidence to ensure, ex-ante and ex-post, that Global Gateway projects respect human rights commitments, have positive effects on the local economy, and positive knock-on effects for wider segments of society; takes note of concerns from studies and investigations on external investments sometimes finding limited evidence of local benefits including for SMEs and communities in partner countries;

33Ac. Calls on the Commission to develop new instruments based on an evidence-based framework to monitor and assess the distribution and impact of interventions in partner countries, particularly in areas such as the quality and quantity of jobs, inclusive education, health, living standards, impacts on the most vulnerable groups, inequality and social cohesion, climate adaptation and environmental protection, and the development of local enterprises, including small and medium-sized enterprises as this would help optimise and broaden the local impact of current EU interventions and partnerships, and support the design of future projects with lasting effects in partner countries; welcomes the inequality marker and the distributional impact assessment as useful tools to monitor the impacts of Global Gateway projects; asks to disaggregate the data by gender and age to track women and girls' inclusion and benefits; underlines that such an empirical approach enhances transparency in spending and strengthens the Parliament's oversight role as part of the budgetary authority;

33Ad. Recommends monitoring the degree and success of the involvement of European and local companies; further recommends to monitor the establishment of supply and value chains, innovation gains, resilience and economic added value in both partner countries and the EU;

33Ae. Calls on the Commission to regularly publish standardised, detailed and comparable statistics on the implementation of Global Gateway, including, inter alia, the share of procurement and contracts awarded to EU and non-EU companies, the volume and sectoral distribution of private investment mobilised from each Member State and the main economic and social benefits generated and to provide evidence of the leverage effect of EU guarantees, financial instruments and blended finance; underlines that such data are essential to assess the real level of ‘European content’ in Global Gateway projects and to ensure value for money for EU taxpayers; further stresses that with such transparency it is possible to assess whether a project delivers on its stated objective of mobilising significant private capital for sustainable and strategic projects;

33Af. Reiterates the need for a unified, publicly accessible methodology for calculating mobilised investments under the Global Gateway, including a clear distinction between EU budget funding, Member State contributions, private sector leverage and re-labelled pre-existing projects; calls on the Commission to publish annual audited figures;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 624, 190, 208, 220, 425, 626, 629, 642,

665, 682, 607, INTA 13, INTA 14, 625, 627, 628, 630, 631, 633 and 634
(COMP 34 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Welcomes recent improvements to provide information on the Global Gateway on the Commission's websites but underlines the need for more detailed information on Global Gateway projects, funding sources, ex ante impact assessments, stakeholder participation, implementing partners, local added value and expected as well as measured outcomes;

Amendment

34. Welcomes recent improvements to provide information on the Global Gateway on the Commission's websites but underlines the need for more detailed information on Global Gateway projects, ***selection criteria***, funding sources, ex ante impact assessments, stakeholder participation, implementing partners, local added value, and expected as well as measured outcomes, ***including in terms of trade impacts and progress towards achieving the SDGs; believes that transparency and effective communication is essential to ensure predictability, foster partner-country trust, secure buy-in, build momentum, and demonstrate the added value of Global Gateway; takes note of the Commission proposal for a new tracking and performance framework which should contribute to streamlining monitoring and reporting, based on key performance indicators; points out that limited awareness of the Global Gateway initiative in many partner countries remains a major obstacle to its success; calls therefore for more visibility, a stronger narrative and a coherent communication strategy;***

34a. Deplores that the Global Gateway brand still lacks recognition and visibility, especially among partner countries; calls therefore for well-resourced, clear and coordinated communication strategy, which includes multi-lingual communication focussing on EU and partner countries' interests and results for local stakeholders as Europe's positive offer;

34b. Urges the Commission to improve

transparency in EFSD+ implementation by publishing detailed, regularly updated information on guarantee agreements, signed and disbursed investments, implementing partners, and beneficiaries, as recommended by the European Court of Auditors;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 637, 636, 635, 638, 639, 640, 641, 644, 646, 647, 648, INTA 11, INTA 12, INTA 16, INTA 17 and INTA 18
(COMP 35 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Calls for the Global Gateway to be codified and integrated into the Global Europe regulation to be adopted before the next multiannual financial framework for 2028-2034; is of the opinion that such an improvement is urgently needed to ensure the legal certainty and transparency of the mandate;

Amendment

35. Calls for the Global Gateway to be codified and integrated into the Global Europe regulation to be adopted before the next multiannual financial framework for 2028-2034; is of the opinion that such an improvement is urgently needed to ensure the legal certainty and transparency of the mandate; ***underlines Parliament's guiding principles in this regard: central operational role of the Global Gateway Governance Board in defining and selecting projects, a change from Commission-driven to bottom-up approach focusing on a transformative sustainable agenda in mutual interest (by) stronger business sector, partner and Parliament's involvement as well as increased accountability;***

Or. en

Amendment 43

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 651, 649, 684, 587, 571, 659, 650, 652, 653, 654 and 655

(COMP 36 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Emphasises that the financial instruments available at national and European level for Global Gateway projects must be adjusted to take account of the strategic context, the supply chain imperative, the sector specificities and the competitive environment;

Amendment

36. Emphasises that the financial instruments available at national and European level for Global Gateway projects must be adjusted to take account of the strategic context, the supply chain imperative, the sector specificities and the competitive environment ***and be transformed into a toolbox allowing to create mutually beneficial partnerships and a level playing field for European businesses in third countries; stresses that ensuring a balance between ODA and non-ODA financing is essential; reminds the European Commission about the current requirement that at least 93% of the expenditure under the NDICI-Global Europe fulfil the criteria for ODA;***

36 a. Stresses that projects implemented under the Global Gateway have at its core the involvement of the European, as well as the partner countries' private sector, or trusted partners private sector; highlights that consortia participating in Global Gateway projects shall be significantly constituted by European entities, entities of partner countries or entities of like-minded partners;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 358, 656, 657, 659, 668, INTA 15, 658, 660, 661, 662, 664, 666, 669, 672, 673 and INTA 21

(COMP 37 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37. Underlines that procurement policies, due diligence processes and the conception of tenders have a strong influence on the success or failure of Global Gateway projects; calls for **more frequent** use of criteria **on** quality, innovation, resilience and European participation;

37. Underlines that procurement policies, due diligence processes and the conception of tenders have a strong influence on the success or failure of Global Gateway projects; calls for **the** use of **environmental, social and governance (ESG) criteria as well as criteria regarding** quality, innovation, resilience and European participation, **as well as , security, reliability, sustainability, and competitiveness; asks the Commission to define ‘strategic procurement’ and to ensure that procurement procedures and project-selection criteria reflect the requirements outlined above, emphasising qualitative criteria over price, maintaining transparency, promoting fair competition, and value for money, and safeguarding the strategic interests and autonomy as well as aligning with the values of the Union; calls for the Commission to consider adjustments to its public procurement approach and rules to ensure a level playing field for European companies;**

37a. Calls on the Commission to implement such eligibility criteria for companies taking part in Global Gateway projects so that European, partner and like-minded country companies would always retain operational control in the projects concerned;

37b. Commends the European Investment Bank on rolling out its Strategic Procurement Roadmap and already having a substantial part of EIB financed projects implemented by EU companies; asks the EIB to critically assess and change its risk-averse approach;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): INTA 10, INTA 11, INTA 12, INTA 14, INTA 16, INTA 18

(COMP 37A in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Trade and investment promotion

37 a. Stresses that the Global Gateway should, for projects having a trade and investment dimension, enhance and facilitate mutually beneficial trade and investment relations, notably by developing and opening new trade routes and business opportunities, including for small and medium enterprises, and by supporting the development and modernisation of trade-enabling infrastructure, which is key to address high trade costs; recognises that, in an increasingly competitive global environment where trade dependencies are heavily weaponized and used as tools of economic coercion, the Global Gateway plays a key role in supporting the diversification of supply chains, reducing critical dependencies in key sectors, strengthening the Union's geopolitical position and enhancing its strategic resilience, economic security and long-term competitiveness, as highlighted in the Draghi report, while, in conformity with the EU Policy Coherence for Development, supporting partner countries' development priorities and respecting their democratic decision-making processes;

37b. Stresses that the success of Global Gateway investments depends on a stable and predictable trade framework; calls for Global Gateway investments to include, where relevant, trade-facilitation components, ensuring real, sustainable development and market-access gains and export potential for partner countries; calls for ensuring synergies between the Global Gateway initiatives and EU's trade

policy, including trade agreements, as well as implementation priorities under their TSD chapters, the Generalised Scheme of Preferences, and other existing trade frameworks and mechanisms; underlines that ensuring such synergies also requires integrating security and strategic-risk screening of Global Gateway flagship projects, in particular where state-controlled companies from authoritarian states may gain control over critical digital, maritime, transport, energy, or communications infrastructure; calls for the Global Gateway to be well aligned with WTO rules, and, to the extent possible, support the inclusion of partner countries in the rules-based regional and global trade system; calls on the Commission to assess the trade and investment flows generated under the Global Gateway;

37c. Calls for the Global Gateway to engage the private sector more directly, through trade and investment promotion, and to secure the commitment of European businesses to engage in partner countries on flagship projects;

37d. Calls for increased technical assistance to support institutional capacity and regulatory reform, particularly aimed at streamlining trade processes, and strengthening partner countries' ability to comply with international standards, including SPS, technical standards, sustainability standards, customs digitalisation and value-chain traceability; underlines that the Global Gateway must, nonetheless, respect domestic regulatory space, and not be conditioned on regulatory reforms that weaken regulatory authorities;

37e. Calls for the Global Gateway to support trade-enabling projects that can achieve socially inclusive and environmentally sustainable economic growth, integration and job creation, and

that respect human rights; underlines that these projects, notably transport corridors, must not come at the expense of employment conditions, and labour and environmental protection; calls for ensuring that projects enhance mutual competitiveness, including by enabling alignment with climate targets, and supporting compliance with the EU's trade-related autonomous sustainability measures tackling carbon emissions and human rights and adverse environmental impacts; believes that the Global Gateway, while seeking to secure resilient supply of critical raw materials needed for the green transition and for the economic security of the Union, should take into account inclusive development and ensure that trade partners benefit from resource extraction through local processing, transfer of skills and know-how, and generate added value for local communities; reminds that, in line with the EU Green Diplomacy, the Global Gateway should contribute to the energy transition; calls for the Global Gateway financing to ensure interoperability with EU standards, transparent procedures, and environmental, social, and governance standards, including through continuous monitoring systems;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 675, 674, 579, 679, 713, 708, INTA 20, 264, 643, 676, 677, 678, 680 and 681
(COMP 38 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Calls on the Commission to carry out an impact assessment of the European Fund for Sustainable Development and the

Amendment

38. ***Underlines that the EU has been implementing development finance and guarantee-based investment operations***

European Fund for Sustainable Development Plus, which should form the basis of the next Global Europe Fund supporting the Global Gateway in the next multiannual financial framework; insists that any future financial instruments must be based on a sound assessment of existing financing;

well before the launch of the Global Gateway in 2021, including through the EFSD+;

38. Calls on the Commission to carry out an impact assessment of the European Fund for Sustainable Development and the European Fund for Sustainable Development Plus, which should form the basis of the next Global Europe Fund supporting the Global Gateway in the next multiannual financial framework, *which must also measure progress in terms of reducing inequalities, and enhancing human development, inclusion and accessibility, as well as promotion of social and environmental standards;* insists that any future financial instruments must be based on a sound assessment of existing financing; *is of the opinion that greater transparency and reporting are needed, as well as improved risk management and governance structure, also in terms of the detail of the budget nomenclature; considers that the barriers currently preventing European SMEs from participating more broadly in Global Gateway projects should be removed, while recognising the challenges arising from the large-scale financing context and with multinational companies as key private sector partners;*

38a. Emphasises the importance of an inclusive Team Europe approach; encourages the Commission, the EIB, the EBRD and national development finance institutions to design and implement Global Gateway de-risking instruments in a way making them simpler and more predictable that actively opens access for businesses from all Member States – including those where no national DFIs are in place, providing proportionate

entry requirements and tailored opportunities for SMEs, and to devise dedicated political risk insurance schemes to Global Gateway projects;

38b. Highlights the importance of trade and export promotion tools, including export credit agencies (ECAs), guarantees, insurance products and business partnerships, as integral components of the Global Gateway toolbox; encourages the Commission and Member States to enhance coordination on the use of ECAs, economic diplomacy activities and high-level political visits under a Team Europe approach, with a view to facilitating sustainable exports and market entry for European companies, in particular SMEs, in partner countries; calls for robust safeguards to ensure full compliance with international rules, EU development objectives and EU standards on human rights, environment, climate transparency and debt sustainability;

38c. Calls for the Commission and Member States to ensure full transparency of any operations involving joint or coordinated action between European Export Credit Agencies and DFIs, including publication of project selection criteria, beneficiaries, and impact assessments;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Chloé Ridel, Hildegard Bentele

Compromise amendment replacing Amendment(s): 689, 694, 571, 587, 687, 688, 693, 699, 711, 697, 685, 690, 691, 692, 695, 696, 698, 701, 702, 704, 706, 707, 709 and 712
(COMP 39 in the voting list)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. Recalls that EIB Global, the

39. Recalls that EIB Global, the

European Investment Bank's development arm, is one of the key implementers of the Global Gateway and is therefore expected to apply the highest standards of transparency and accountability in its projects; encourages the Commission to maximise cooperation with the European Investment Bank as the EU's bank;

European Investment Bank's development arm, is one of the key implementers of the Global Gateway and is therefore expected to apply the highest standards of transparency and accountability in its projects; ***urges the Commission and implementing partners to publish related information in a timely and accessible manner***; encourages the Commission to maximise cooperation with the European Investment Bank as the EU's bank ***which should be reflected in the next MFF***; ***encourages DFIs implementing Global Gateway projects, such as the EIB, to make each project-related debt assessment publicly available***; ***reiterates for EIB Global to be centred around an equitable and sustainable development agenda in recipient countries***;

39a. Underlines the need for an increased use of blended finance and concessional instruments where appropriate to make projects commercially viable, welcomes EIB efforts to further maximise efficiencies and streamline processes, including by applying mutual reliance with dedicated Team Europe partners wherever possible;

39b. Further calls for an assessment regarding the extent to which official development assistance (ODA) resources could be mobilised under the Global Gateway with the help of the EIB, in order also to achieve a clearer distinction between the EU's competitiveness agenda coming from non-ODA sources and the development agenda covered by ODA; ***points out that new and innovative technologies and SMEs need specific funding instruments and that grants should be available as well as loans, de-risking instruments and potentially equity***;

39c. Recalls that, in addition to EIB Global, other multilateral development banks involved in the implementation of

the Global Gateway must equally adhere to high standards of transparency and accountability; stresses that all MDBs participating in Global Gateway operations should demonstrate development additionality, avoid practices that increase debt vulnerabilities in partner countries, and align their financing with equitable, sustainable and locally beneficial development outcomes; calls on the Commission to ensure full compliance with these principles;

Or. en