

Brussels, 20 July 2026

To: Mr Matjaž Malgaj, Head of Unit, Sustainable Products, Directorate-General for Environment, European Commission

Ms Giulia Del Brenna, Deputy Director / Head of Unit - Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs, European Commission

Subject: Concerns regarding the potential omission of measures to address microplastic pollution from the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation delegated act on textiles

Dear Mr Matjaž Malgaj and Ms Giulia Del Brenna,

We, the undersigned research scientists and knowledge holders working in sustainability, environmental toxicology and microplastic pollution, are writing to express concern regarding the conclusions of the preliminary study undertaken to inform the European Commission's ongoing development of the delegated act on apparel under the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR) and in particular the absence of any proposed measures to reduce microplastic pollution from textiles.¹ The ESPR aims to reduce the overall environmental and climate impacts of products and set minimum sustainability requirements for products on the EU market, including in relation to microplastic pollution. However, the preliminary study concludes that it is not possible to identify pollution hotspots and that the absence of standardised definitions of biodegradability and standardised methods for the sampling, characterization and quantification of these pollutants in all release points of the value chain prevents the identification of mitigation strategies.

There is more than sufficient peer-reviewed evidence to guide urgent policy action on this issue. Synthetic microfibres released during the manufacture, washing and wearing of garments are a major source of microplastic pollution. Critically there is strong evidence that changes at the design stage, such as using different manufacturing, weaving and finishing processes will substantially reduce these releases.^{2,3,4,5,6} Delaying policy and technical measures along the entire value chain until standardised definitions of biodegradability and standardised methods for sampling, characterization and quantification of these pollutants are finalised, is not necessary and merely perpetuates avoidable environmental harm.

Microplastic emissions from textiles warrant immediate action because:

- Synthetic textiles are among the top contributors to microplastic pollution globally and in the EU.⁷ Current patterns indicate that emissions of microplastics from textiles are projected to rise by approximately 22% by 2030.⁸
- Synthetic microfibres originate from textiles made of synthetic materials.^{9,10,11} Releases of synthetic microfibres occur throughout the textile lifecycle - during manufacturing, wearing, washing, drying, and disposal.^{12,13}
- Synthetic microfibres are in the air we breathe, the water we drink and the food we eat and are found across all environmental compartments - air, freshwater, marine sediments, soil, and biota.^{14,15}
- Synthetic microfibers accumulating in the environment now contaminate the planet from the poles to the equator with clear evidence of environmental accumulation and toxicological effects from these fibres.^{16,17,18,19}
- Low rates of biodegradability for synthetic microfibres are well established and not in dispute.^{20,21} It is not clear how understanding rates of environmental degradation can help drive more sustainable design practice focused on increased durability and circularity.
- Synthetic microfibre emissions are not a marginal issue—they are central to reducing microplastic pollution. Design and manufacturing choices can substantially reduce shedding rates, according to fabric type, construction, and mechanical treatments.^{22,23,24}
- There is clear evidence that upstream measures can significantly reduce shedding during the manufacture and use phase, with the potential to reduce releases during laundering by around 65%.^{25,26,27, 28,29,30}

We urge the European Commission and Joint Research Centre to:

- Include microplastic shedding as a standalone performance and information requirement, in the form of performance-based limits on microplastic loss from garments. This should be addressed alongside durability, recyclability, chemical safety, and other environmental impacts.
- Act on available standards and measurement protocols. For example, the ISO 4484:2023 series provides test methods for assessing synthetic fibre loss. It is already in use and enables benchmarking across product, yarn and fibre types. ISO 4484-2:2023 can be used to assess microplastics present in samples collected at different stages of a textile's lifecycle, including during production processes.³¹
- Complement design focused measures by supporting mandatory filtration and pre-washing at the manufacturing stage.^{32,33,34} Upstream controls are vital, as evidence shows that manufacturing stages are among the highest emission points for microfibres.^{35,36,37}
- Ensure that any interventions advocated to capture microfibers are appropriately tested to ensure they are fit for purpose.³⁸

- As a member of the High Ambition Coalition and a major driver of the Global Plastic Treaty process, support mandatory provisions on product design that address microplastics pollution at the international level.
- In parallel, continue to support research to better understand the impacts of microplastic accumulation in the environment and effective design-based approaches to improve the sustainability and safety of apparel, including via approaches to minimise microfibre shedding from synthetic, semi-synthetic and natural fibres.

In our view, the field is more than sufficiently mature to justify informed regulatory choices and the absence of standards across the entire value chain does not present a valid reason to delay in taking *practical* action now. We remain available to provide evidence or join a technical discussion on how to design credible, science-based policy requirements under the ESPR.

Signatures

Professor Richard Thompson OBE FRS, University of Plymouth, UK

Professor Philipp Schwabl, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

Associate Professor Maryam Naebe, Deakin University, Australia

Simon Beaudoin, Lecturer and Researcher, University of British Columbia, Canada

Professor Nanna Hartmann, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

Marie-France Dignac, Senior Scientist, INRAE, France

Xavier Cousin, Researcher, INRAE, France

Melanie Bergmann, Senior Scientist, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Germany

Francesca De Falco, Postdoctoral Researcher, University of Bayreuth, Germany

Professor Noreen O'Meara, University College Cork, Ireland

Professor Antonio Ragusa, Ospedale di Sassuolo Italy

Professor Barbro Melgert, Groningen University, Netherlands

Assistant Professor Hanna Dusza, Utrecht University, Netherlands

Professor Trisia Farrelly, Massey University, New Zealand

Professor Martin Wagner, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

Andy Booth, Chief Scientist, SINTEF Ocean, Norway

Florin-Constantin Mihai, Researcher, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași, Romania

Associate Professor Carmen Morales Caselles, University of Cádiz, Spain

Professor Bethanie Carney Almroth, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Professor Joachim Sturve, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Professor Deirdre McKay, Keele University, UK

Winnie Courtene-Jones, Lecturer, Bangor University, UK

Research Assistant Professor Eliane El Hayek, University of New Mexico, United States

Professor Elise Granek, Portland State University, United States

Assistant Professor Timnit Kefela, California State University Channel Islands, United States

Clarissa Raguso, Postdoctoral Researcher, Portland State University, United States

¹ JRC, 2025. Preparatory study on textiles for product policy instruments. <https://susproc.jrc.ec.europa.eu/product-bureau/product-groups/467/documents>

² De Falco, F., Cocca, M., Avella, M., & Thompson, R. C. (2020). Microfiber release to water, via laundering, and to air, via everyday use: A comparison between polyester clothing with differing textile parameters. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 54(6), 3288–3296. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06892>

³ Napper, I. E., & Thompson, R. C. (2016). Release of synthetic microplastic plastic fibres from domestic washing machines: Effects of fabric type and washing conditions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 112(1–2), 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.025>

⁴ Cui, H., & Xu, C. (2022). Study on the relationship between textile microplastics shedding and fabric structure. *Polymers*, 14(23), 5309. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14235309>

⁵ OECD (2021), Policies to Reduce Microplastics Pollution in Water: Focus on Textiles and Tyres, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/7ec7e5ef-en>

⁶ Akyıldız, S. H., Sezgin, H., Yalçın Eniş, İ., Balestra, V., Marini, P., & Bellopede, R. (2025). From production to pollution: a review of microfiber release mechanisms and mitigation strategies in the textile industry. *The Journal of The Textile Institute*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405000.2025.2547125>

⁷ European Commission: Directorate-General for Environment, *EU action against microplastics*, Publications Office of the European Union, 2023, <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2779/917472>

⁸ DG ENV. 2023. Combatting microplastic pollution in the European Union (Part 3/3). European Commission, Directorate-General for Environment. SWD(2023)332 Report No.: part 3/3. Available at [https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/documents7648/register/detail?ref=SWD\(2023\)332&lang=en&lang=en](https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/documents7648/register/detail?ref=SWD(2023)332&lang=en&lang=en)

⁹ Jiang, N., Chang, X., Huang, W., Khan, F. U., Fang, J. K.-H., Hu, M., Xu, E. G., & Wang, Y. (2024). Physiological response of mussel to rayon microfibers and PCBs exposure: Overlooked semi-synthetic micropollutant? *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 470, 134107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134107>

¹⁰ Vassilenko, E., Watkins, M., Chastain, S., Mertens, J., Posacka, A. M., Patankar, S., & Ross, P. S. (2021). Domestic laundry and microfiber pollution: Exploring fiber shedding from consumer apparel textiles. *PLOS ONE*, 16(7), e0250346. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250346>

¹¹ Zambrano, M. C., Pawlak, J. J., Daystar, J., Ankeny, M., Cheng, J. J., & Venditti, R. A. (2019). Microfibers generated from the laundering of cotton, rayon and polyester based fabrics and their aquatic biodegradation. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 149, 110742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.110742>

¹² Lant, N. J., Hayward, A. S., Pethhawadu, M. M. D., Sheridan, K. J., & Dean, J. R. (2020). Microfiber release from real soiled consumer laundry and the impact of fabric care products and washing conditions. *PLOS ONE*, 15(6): e0233332; <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233332>

¹³ Carney-Almroth, B. M., Åström, L., Roslund, S., Petersson, H., Johansson, M., & Persson, N.-K. (2018). Quantifying shedding of synthetic fibers from textiles; a source of microplastics released into the environment. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25, 1191–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0528-7>

¹⁴ Borriello, L., Scivico, M., Cacciola, N. A., et al. (2023). Microplastics, a global issue: Human exposure through environmental and dietary sources. *Foods*, 12(18), 3396. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12183396>

¹⁵ Taptiklis, P., Boulic, M., Phipps, R., Van Heerden, H., & Shaw, C. (2025). Microfibres and health: State of the evidence and research gaps. *Journal of Hazardous Materials Advances*, 19, 100766 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hazadv.2025.100766>

¹⁶ Browne, M. A., Galloway, T. S., & Thompson, R. C. (2010). Spatial patterns of plastic debris along estuarine shorelines. *Environmental science & technology*, 44(9), 3404–3409.

¹⁷ Obbard, R. W., Sadri, S., Wong, Y. Q., Khitun, A. A., Baker, I., & Thompson, R. C. (2014). Global warming releases microplastic legacy frozen in Arctic Sea ice. *Earth's Future*, 2(6), 315–320.

¹⁸ Parker, B., Lewis, C. N., & Galloway, T. S. (2025). Impacts of non-petroleum and petroleum-based microfibers on aquatic organisms: a meta-analysis. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* <https://doi.org/10.1093/etoinl/vgaf113>

¹⁹ Das, A., Dey, S., & Das, A. P. (2025). Ecotoxicological impacts of synthetic microfiber pollutants and development of sustainable mitigation strategies. *Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology*, 7, 201–210; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enceco.2024.12.003>

- ²⁰ An, Y., Kajiwar, T., Padermshoke, A., Nguyen, T. V., Feng, S., Mokudai, H., Masaki, T., Takigawa, M., Masunaga, H., Sasaki, S., & Takahara, A. (2023). Environmental degradation of nylon, poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET), and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) fishing line fibers. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, 5(6), 4427–4436. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.3c00552>
- ²¹ Zambrano, M. C., Pawlak, J. J., & Venditti, R. A. (2020). Effects of chemical and morphological structure on biodegradability of fibers, fabrics, and other polymeric materials. *BioResources*, 15(4), 9786–9833. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.3c00552>
- ²² De Falco, F., Cocca, M., Avella, M., & Thompson, R. C. (2020). Microfiber release to water, via laundering, and to air, via everyday use: A comparison between polyester clothing with differing textile parameters. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 54(6), 3288–3296. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06892>
- ²³ Napper, I. E., & Thompson, R. C. (2016). Release of synthetic microplastic plastic fibres from domestic washing machines: Effects of fabric type and washing conditions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 112(1–2), 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.025>
- ²⁴ Cui, H., & Xu, C. (2022). Study on the relationship between textile microplastics shedding and fabric structure. *Polymers*, 14(23), 5309. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14235309>
- ²⁵ De Falco, F., Cocca, M., Avella, M., & Thompson, R. C. (2020). Microfiber release to water, via laundering, and to air, via everyday use: A comparison between polyester clothing with differing textile parameters. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 54(6), 3288–3296. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06892>
- ²⁶ Napper, I. E., & Thompson, R. C. (2016). Release of synthetic microplastic plastic fibres from domestic washing machines: Effects of fabric type and washing conditions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 112(1–2), 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.025>
- ²⁷ Cui, H., & Xu, C. (2022). Study on the relationship between textile microplastics shedding and fabric structure. *Polymers*, 14(23), 5309. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14235309>
- ²⁸ Hazlehurst, A., Sumner, M., & Taylor, M. (2024). Investigating the influence of yarn characteristics on microfibre release from knitted fabrics during laundering. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 12, Article 1340229. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1340229>
- ²⁹ Jabbar, A., Bryant, M., Armitage, J., & Tausif, M. (2024). Oxygen plasma treatment to mitigate the shedding of fragmented fibres (microplastics) from polyester textiles. *Cleaner Engineering and Technology*, 23, Article 100851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2024.100851>
- ³⁰ Chen, M., Cui, H., & Shen, Z. (2025). Reducing microfiber release from polyester fabrics through optimization of spinning process parameters. *Fashion and Textiles*, 12, Article 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-025-00441-y>
- ³¹ <https://www.iso.org/standard/80011.html>
- ³² Carney Almroth, B. et al. (2018), Quantifying shedding of synthetic fibers from textiles; a source of microplastics released into the environment, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, Vol. 25/2, pp. 1191-1199. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0528-7>
- ³³ ZDHC Wastewater Guidelines (2024). <https://downloads.roadmaptozero.com/output/ZDHC-Wastewater-Guidelines>
- ³⁴ Wang, M., Yang, J., Zheng, S., Jia, L., Yong, Z.Y., Yong, E.L., See, H.H., Li, J., Lv, Y., Fei, X. and Fang, M. (2023). Unveiling the microfiber release footprint: Guiding control strategies in the textile production industry. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 57(50), pp.21038-21049.
- ³⁵ Swerea IVF (2018). Investigation of the Occurrence of Microplastics from the Waste Water at Five Different Textile Production Facilities in Sweden; https://shop.textalk.se/shop/14494/art15/160003315-645dbe-Investigation_of_the_occurrence_of_microplastics_from_the_waste.pdf, Swerea IVF-report 18004; Swerea: Mölndal, Sweden, 2018.
- ³⁶ Lim, J., Choi, J., Won, A., Kim, M., Kim, S., & Yun, C. (2022). Cause of microfibers found in the domestic washing process of clothing: Focusing on the manufacturing, wearing, and washing processes. *Fashion and Textiles*, 9, Article 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-022-00306-8>
- ³⁷ Zhou, H., Zhou, L. and Ma, K., (2020). Microfiber from textile dyeing and printing wastewater of a typical industrial park in China: Occurrence, removal and release. *Science of the Total Environment*, 739, p.140329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140329>
- ³⁸ Napper, I.E., Barrett, A.C. and Thompson, R.C., (2020). The efficiency of devices intended to reduce microfibre release during clothes washing. *Science of the Total Environment*, 738, p.140412.